


# The High-Rate Data Challenge: Computing for the CBM Experiment

CHEP 2016

Volker Friesse  
GSI Darmstadt  
for the CBM Collaboration


International Conference on Computing in High-Energy and Nuclear Physics  
San Francisco, 10-14 October 2016

# The Experiment




- Compressed **Baryonic Matter**: a heavy-ion experiment at the future facility FAIR in Darmstadt
- Fixed-target operation on extracted beams, 2 – 45 GeV/nucleon
- Spectrometer: silicon tracking system in a dipole magnetic field
- Hadron, lepton and photon ID: RICH, Muon System, TRD, TOF, ECAL
- Observables: yields, spectra, flow, correlations, fluctuations of bulk hadrons, multi-strange hyperons, open charm and charmonium; low-mass di-leptons
- First beam in 2022

# Characteristics




- Versatility: exchange or replace detector systems according to physics aim (e.g. electrons / muons) or conditions (beam energy)




- Complexity: up to 600 charged tracks per collision in the acceptance
- Capability: up to  $10^7$  collisions per second

Central Au+Au @ 10A GeV




# The Rate Problem

- CBM targets at extremely rare probes, which necessitates very high interaction rates (design rate 10 MHz).
- That entails a raw data rate of up to 1 TB/s.
- To be reduced online to a storage rate of several GB/s.
- Trigger signatures are mostly complex (e.g. weak cascade decays) and cannot be realized in hardware.
- Readout concept:
  - No hardware trigger
  - Self-triggered front-end electronics deliver time-stamped data
  - Data-push architecture to online compute farm
  - Event reconstruction and –selection to be performed on CPU




Central Au+Au @ 10A GeV





# Online Data Flow




- Data are aggregated and pre-processed in an FPGA layer near the experiment.
- Time-slice building is performed on CPU (input nodes).
- Event reconstruction and –selection is performed in real-time on CPU (compute nodes) in the GSI "Green Cube" (already existing at GSI).

# Consequences for Online Computing

- Reconstruction does not start from events (defined by hardware trigger) but from „time slices“ containing many events.
  - size of time slice adjusted to architecture of compute farm
  - typical value: 100 MB (1000 Au+Au events @ 10A GeV)
  - one time slice delivered to one compute node; avoid intercommunication between compute nodes
  - events can overlap in time; no trivial event definition: "4-D reconstruction"
- All online algorithms have to be extremely fast
  - Trivial data-level parallelism for time slices (one time slice per node)
  - Use massive parallelisation also within one node (many-core CPU/GPU/...)



# Parallelisation Within a Time Slice

Data Level Parallel.



# Example: CA Track Finder

CBM Au+Au 25A GeV 10 MHz STS only



Track finding is performed on a stream of hits.  
Events can be defined based on found tracks.


Good scalability of algorithm with multi-threading  
on many core CPUs



# Framework and Data Model

- CBM uses the FairRoot framework (built on ROOT) for simulation, reconstruction and analysis
- The current data model is based on the ROOT TTree.
  - Different data branches: raw data (digis), clusters, hits, tracks, vertices, ...
  - A “run” produces an output tree from an input tree
- Conventionally, one tree entry corresponds to one event (collision)
- We have to deal with both time slices and events (at the same time)
  - In simulation: convert events (Monte-Carlo) into time slices (destroy association of data to events)
  - In reconstruction: reconstruct events from time slices

# Event Data Model




- No data copy when associating data to event
- Small overhead (one pointer/index per data object)
- Events can be defined based on any data level
- Algorithms are flexible to run on entire time slice (4-d reco) or on defined events (analysis)
- Ideal case (event-by-event) described in the same format (one event per time slice)

# Ideas on Offline Computing

- Raw data volume per typical runtime (2 months): about 5 PB
- Limiting factor will not be computing capacity but storage costs
- Ansatz: store only raw data
  - For offline analysis: reconstruct on-the-fly
  - Assumes fast online algorithms deliver close-to-final precision
- Storage model is time slice with raw data, skimmed online from “uninteresting” data
- Consequence: no formal difference of online and offline algorithms
  - Use same framework
- But: no support of concurrency in the current ROOT-based framework

# Outlook: A Concurrency Framework



- FairMQ: extension of FairRoot with a message queue-based data transport framework, providing asynchronous inter-process communication
  - See M. Al-Turany et al., J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 513 (2014) 022001 (Proc. of CHEP 2013)
- Promises flexibility w.r.t. architecture and data model
- Will be explored by CBM in the near future

# Summary: Computing Challenges for CBM

- Huge interaction and data rates necessitate real-time event reconstruction and data selection
  - Reduce about 1 TB/s to several GB/s in real time in software
- Basis of the data model is a time slice containing many events
- Fast 4-D reconstruction algorithms under developments
  - Many achievements, but still some way to go
- Quest for a common online and offline software framework
  - Concurrency needed
  - Common data model allowing time-based and event-based analysis without change of code
  - Make use of the extension of the current FairRoot to FairMQ