

Off-equilibrium effects in transport evolution "Saclay" approach

Miguel A. Escobedo

Instituto Galego de Física de Altas Enerxías

19th of December, 2019

Open quantum evolution

General structure

$$\frac{dD_s}{dt} = -i[H_s, D_s] + \int_0^{t-t_0} d\tau \{ \mathcal{L}^{ss}(\tau) D_s(t-\tau) + \mathcal{L}^{so}(\tau) D_o(t-\tau) \},$$
$$\frac{dD_o}{dt} = -i[H_o, D_o] + \int_{t_0}^t dt' \{ \mathcal{L}^{os}(\tau) D_s(t-\tau) + \mathcal{L}^{oo}(\tau) D_o(t-\tau) \}.$$

Where, for example

$$\mathcal{L}^{ss}(\tau) D_s(t') = -g^2 C_F \int_{\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}'} \left\{ \Delta_{-}^{\geq}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}') \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{X}} U_o(\tau) \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{X}'} D_s(t') U_s^{\dagger}(\tau) \right. \\ \left. + \Delta_{-}^{\leq}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}') U_s(\tau) D_s(t') \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{X}'} U_o^{\dagger}(\tau) \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{X}} \right\},$$

with $t' = t - \tau$.

Open quantum evolution

General structure

$$\frac{dD_s}{dt} = -i[H_s, D_s] + \int_0^{t-t_0} d\tau \{ \mathcal{L}^{ss}(\tau) D_s(t-\tau) + \mathcal{L}^{so}(\tau) D_o(t-\tau) \},$$
$$\frac{dD_o}{dt} = -i[H_o, D_o] + \int_{t_0}^t dt' \{ \mathcal{L}^{os}(\tau) D_s(t-\tau) + \mathcal{L}^{oo}(\tau) D_o(t-\tau) \}.$$

Where, for example

$$\mathcal{L}^{ss}(\tau) D_s(t') = -g^2 C_F \int_{\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}'} \left\{ \Delta_{-}^{\geq}(X, X') \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{X}} U_o(\tau) \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{X}'} D_s(t') U_s^{\dagger}(\tau) \right. \\ \left. + \Delta_{-}^{\leq}(X, X') U_s(\tau) D_s(t') \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{X}'} U_o^{\dagger}(\tau) \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{X}} \right\},$$

with $t' = t - \tau$.

- Markovian evolution can be obtained under the approximation that the non-unitary evolution is a perturbation.
- Expression formed by operators written in two different basis (coordinate and Hamiltonian eigenvalues).

Quantum coherence criterion

- Our derivation is completely quantum.
- We obtain a master equation ruling the evolution of the density matrix. However, it is very difficult to use it to get predictions.

Quantum coherence criterion

- Our derivation is completely quantum.
- We obtain a master equation ruling the evolution of the density matrix. However, it is very difficult to use it to get predictions.

Classical limit

- Using a technique called multiple-scale analysis we can derive a rate equation whenever the energy gap is much bigger than the decay width.
- Octet to octet transition is mathematically similar to the QED case, which is well described by a Langevin equation. Moreover, in the large N_c limit the potential is zero.

Quantum coherence criterion

- Our derivation is completely quantum.
- We obtain a master equation ruling the evolution of the density matrix. However, it is very difficult to use it to get predictions.

Classical limit

- Using a technique called multiple-scale analysis we can derive a rate equation whenever the energy gap is much bigger than the decay width.
- Octet to octet transition is mathematically similar to the QED case, which is well described by a Langevin equation. Moreover, in the large N_c limit the potential is zero.

In practice we use a semi-classical equation, but we have a very clear criterion to determine the regime of validity, the relation between the energy gap and the decay width. (Intuitively, it must take many wave function oscillations to decay).

Langevin equation for the octet

- We need as an input the momentum diffusion coefficient $\kappa = \frac{T\gamma M}{2}$, can not be computed in our framework and the total volume of the medium Ω .

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial p_{\mathbf{p}}^o}{\partial t} - \gamma \nabla(\mathbf{p} p_{\mathbf{p}}^o) - \frac{T\gamma M}{2} \Delta^2 p_{\mathbf{p}}^o = \\ - \frac{g^2}{2N_c} \frac{1}{\Omega} \left(p_{\mathbf{p}}^o - p^s e^{-\frac{E_{\mathbf{p}}^o - E^s}{T}} \right) \int_{\mathbf{q}} \Delta^>(\omega_{\mathbf{p}}^o - E^s, \mathbf{q}) |\langle s | \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{q}, \hat{r}} | o, \mathbf{p} \rangle|^2, \end{aligned}$$

Langevin equation for the octet

- We need as an input the momentum diffusion coefficient $\kappa = \frac{T\gamma M}{2}$, can not be computed in our framework and the total volume of the medium Ω .

$$\frac{\partial p_{\mathbf{p}}^o}{\partial t} - \gamma \nabla(\mathbf{p} p_{\mathbf{p}}^o) - \frac{T\gamma M}{2} \Delta^2 p_{\mathbf{p}}^o = -\frac{g^2}{2N_c} \frac{1}{\Omega} \left(p_{\mathbf{p}}^o - p^s e^{-\frac{E_{\mathbf{p}}^o - E^s}{T}} \right) \int_{\mathbf{q}} \Delta^>(\omega_{\mathbf{p}}^o - E^s, \mathbf{q}) |\langle s | \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{q}, \hat{r}} | o, \mathbf{p} \rangle|^2,$$

- Decay of octet into singlet is suppressed by the inverse of the volume. Very slow equilibration.
- We made the derivation in the dilute limit (two heavy quarks in a thermal environment).

$\Upsilon(1S)$ survival probability in static QGP brick

	$\Omega = 1 \text{ fm}^3$			$\Omega = 100 \text{ fm}^3$		
	5 fm/c	100 fm/c	eq.	5 fm/c	100 fm/c	eq.
$T = 200 \text{ MeV}$	0.86	0.136	0.0814	0.85	0.0438	0.00089
$T = 400 \text{ MeV}$	0.39	0.0515	0.0175	0.36	0.0002	0.00018

- We used an initial condition with one singlet and no octets.
- Volume dependence indicates that the octet contribution (in the dilute limit) is important at very large times, but not at LHC.
- Therefore, small sensitivity to the parameters that enter the Langevin equation of the octet.

Since in the paper we only considered a QGP brick, we needed further assumptions to complete the homework

- Bjorken evolution for the medium.
- We neglected the contribution of the octet.
 - ▶ We have indications that it is a small effect.
 - ▶ In this way we did not have to know the dependency of the wave function with the temperature (numerically costly).

Under these approximations we get

$$R_{AA}(1S) = e^{-1.5aT_0t_0\left(\left(\frac{T_0}{T_f}\right)^2 - 1\right) - 3bT_0^2t_0\left(\frac{T_0}{T_f} - 1\right)}$$

where a and b are obtained from fitting the decay width with the formula $\Gamma(1S) = aT + bT^2$.