# Microscopic Approach to Scattering of Unstable Nuclei

Kosho Minomo K. Ogata, M. Kohno<sup>A</sup>, Y. R. Sihimizu and M. Yahiro

Kyushu University, Kyushu Dental College<sup>A</sup>

### Introduction

The properties of nuclei are obtained by analysis of nuclear reaction.

Conventional (phenomenological) approach

Distorted wave Born approximation (DWBA), etc.

An optical potential between projectile and target is necessary as an input.

- ☐ Features of experiments with unstable nuclei
- $\checkmark$  The beam intensity is weak.
- ✓ Unstable nuclei are fragile.

An experiment with unstable nuclei is more difficult than that with stable nuclei so that one cannot construct an optical potential phenomenologically.

### We must construct the microscopic reaction theory.

REACTIO



## Schroedinger equation with resummation 2/15

#### ✓ Introduction an effective interaction

Multistep of  $v_{ij}$  between i th nucleon in projectile and j th nucleon in target



M. Yahiro, K. Minomo, K. Ogata, M. Kawai, Prog. Theor. Phys. No 120 Vol. 4 (2008), 767

## Nucleon-nucleus scattering

✓ Schrödinger equation with resummation

$$\left[K + h_{\rm T} + \sum_{j \in {\rm T}} \tau_j - E\right] \Psi = 0 \qquad \text{(That KMT expression)}$$

□ Folding model

The equation for the relative motion  $\chi(oldsymbol{R})$ 

$$\begin{bmatrix} K + U - E_{\text{in}} \end{bmatrix} \chi(\mathbf{R}) = 0$$
i
$$T_{ij}$$
Folding potential
$$U = \left\langle \varphi_{\text{T}} \right| \sum_{j \in \text{T}} \tau_{ij} \middle| \varphi_{\text{T}} \right\rangle$$
Target

 $|\varphi_{\rm T}\rangle$  : ground-state wave function of the target

We obtain the localized folding potential with the Brieva-Rook (BR) method.

F. A. Brieva and J. R. Rook, Nucl. Phys. A 291, 317 (1977).

#### ✓ Hartree-Fock method with finite-range Gogny force

It is applicable to obtain the ground-state wave function of all nuclei.

The properties of many stable nuclei such as the binding energy are well reproduced.



We find that this method is reliable.



### ✓ Melbourne *g*-matrix

Two-body interaction which depends on the target density

K. Amos, P. J. Dortmans, H. V. von Geramb, S. Karataglidis and J. Raynal, Adv. Nucl. Phys. **25**, 275 (2000).

 $\Box$  The framework in this study

HF method with Gogny force Melbourne *g*-matrix BR localization

Pure theoretical framework without any parameter

5/15



# *p*+<sup>90</sup>Zr elastic scattering



### <sup>6,8</sup>He+*p* elastic scattering



# Non-locality and BR localization

In general, nucleon-nucleus potential has non-locality.

The definition of the equivalent local potential

With the BR localization, we obtain the approximate form of  $U_{\text{loc}}(\mathbf{R})$ .

The essence of the BR localization is the local semi-classical approximation.

$$\chi(\boldsymbol{r}) = \chi(\boldsymbol{R} + \boldsymbol{s}) \approx \chi(\boldsymbol{R}) e^{i\boldsymbol{k}(\boldsymbol{R})\cdot\boldsymbol{s}}$$

Local wave number:  $\hbar k(\mathbf{R}) = \sqrt{2\mu(E - U_{\text{loc}}(\mathbf{R}))}$ 

The BR localized potential is obtained by self-consistent calculation for  $k(\mathbf{R})$ .

8/15

### The validity of BR localization

It is necessary to test the accuracy of the BR localization.

We have to solve the Schrödinger equations

Exact: 
$$(T_{\mathbf{R}} - E)\chi(\mathbf{R}) = \int U(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{r})\chi(\mathbf{r})d\mathbf{r}$$

For only elastic scatterings, one can calculate the exact form.

BR: 
$$(T_{\boldsymbol{R}} + U_{\text{loc}}(\boldsymbol{R}) - E)\chi(\boldsymbol{R}) = 0$$

We tested the validity of the BR localization by comparison of the exact calculation and BR calculation.

K. Minomo, K. Ogata, M. Kohno, Y. R. Shimizu, and M. Yahiro, J. Phys. G (arXiv:nucl-th0911.1184)

### Exact vs BR for p+90Zr



 $^{90}Zr$ 

### Exact vs BR for <sup>6</sup>He+*p* and <sup>8</sup>He+*p*



2010/06/16

11/15

# Application

□ For deuteron induced reaction

$$\left[K + h_{pn} + h_{\mathrm{T}} + \sum_{j \in \mathrm{T}} \left(\tau_{pj} + \tau_{nj}\right) - E\right] \Psi = 0$$



Optical potentials as an input

$$U_{pT} = \left\langle \varphi_{T} \right| \sum_{j \in T} \tau_{pj} \left| \varphi_{T} \right\rangle$$
$$U_{nT} = \left\langle \varphi_{T} \right| \sum_{j \in T} \tau_{nj} \left| \varphi_{T} \right\rangle$$

 $\checkmark$  non-local potential complicated

✓ localized potential (BR method) useful

#### ✓ Continuum-Discretized Coupled-Channels method (CDCC)

It is a standard direct reaction theory to describe real and virtual breakup.

### d + 58Ni elastic scattering



# Summary

Systematic description for nucleon-nucleus elastic scattering

We formulated Schrodinger equation with resummation.

#### ✓ Structure model

Hartree-Fock method with finite range Gogny force

#### ✓ Effective interaction for reaction dynamics Melbourne g-matrix interaction is recommendable.

#### ✓ Localization

Brieva-Rook method is useful in a wide-incident energy region.

For nucleon elastic scattering from stable and unstable nucleus, we succeeded to reproduce the data with no free parameters.

#### $\Box$ Application to the other reaction

### ✓ Framework of microscopic CDCC

Deuteron elastic scattering from <sup>58</sup>Ni is successful.

# Future work

□ Nucleus-nucleus scattering

Double folding potential

$$U = \left\langle \varphi_{\mathrm{P}} \varphi_{\mathrm{T}} \right| \sum_{i \in \mathrm{P}, j \in \mathrm{T}} \tau_{ij} \left| \varphi_{\mathrm{P}} \varphi_{\mathrm{T}} \right\rangle$$



Descriptions of reaction process
Microscopic CDCC

three-body model

- ✓ Single folding potential between valence and target
- ✓ Double folding potential between core and target



Analysis of reactions with unstable nuclei  $\Rightarrow$  New insight

