Light nuclei formation in FRIGA



Light nuclei formation in FRIGA

- A clusterisation approach...
- An application: the hypernucleus production.
- How are influenced the (hyper-)isotope yields and phase space distributions by:
 - the clusterisation time,
 - the cluster binding energy,
 - the ingredients (EOS, in-medium properties) of the transport model.

Spectator versus fireball cluster formation: cold-static versus hot-sequential clustering



Fragment Recognition In General Applications



Frigg / Friga, spinning the clouds



Fragment Recognition In General Applications

* Simulated Annealing Procedure: *PLB301:328,1993*; later called SACA (Simulated Annealing Clusterisation Algorithm) with:



Frigg / Friga, spinning the clouds



Fragment Recognition In General Applications

- * Simulated Annealing Procedure: *PLB301:328,1993*; later called SACA (Simulated Annealing Clusterisation Algorithm) with:
- * P.B. Gossiaux, R. Puri, Ch. Hartnack, J. Aichelin, Nuclear Physics A 619 (1997) 379-390



Frigg / Friga, spinning the clouds



Fragment Recognition In General Applications

- * Simulated Annealing Procedure: *PLB301:328,1993*; later called SACA (Simulated Annealing Clusterisation Algorithm) with:
- * P.B. Gossiaux, R. Puri, Ch. Hartnack, J. Aichelin, Nuclear Physics A 619 (1997) 379-390
- * **FRIGA =** 2010-2017 development version: A. Le Fèvre et al., *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* 668 (2016) 012021.

a more complete publication in progress.



Frigg / Friga, spinning the clouds



Fragment Recognition In General Applications

- * Simulated Annealing Procedure: *PLB301:328,1993*; later called SACA (Simulated Annealing Clusterisation Algorithm) with:
- * P.B. Gossiaux, R. Puri, Ch. Hartnack, J. Aichelin, Nuclear Physics A 619 (1997) 379-390
- * **FRIGA =** 2010-2017 development version: A. Le Fèvre et al., *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* 668 (2016) 012021.

a more complete publication in progress.

* So far applied with various transport models: BQMD, IQMD, pHSD.



Frigg / Friga, spinning the clouds



Fragment Recognition In General Applications

- * Simulated Annealing Procedure: *PLB301:328,1993*; later called SACA (Simulated Annealing Clusterisation Algorithm) with:
- * P.B. Gossiaux, R. Puri, Ch. Hartnack, J. Aichelin, Nuclear Physics A 619 (1997) 379-390
- * **FRIGA =** 2010-2017 development version: A. Le Fèvre et al., *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* 668 (2016) 012021.

a more complete publication in progress.

- * So far applied with various transport models: BQMD, IQMD, pHSD.
- * Applicable from the Fermi energy domain (50 A.MeV, multi-fragmentation) up to (ultra-)relativistic energies (spectator participant decay).



Frigg / Friga, spinning the clouds



Fragment Recognition In General Applications

- * Simulated Annealing Procedure: *PLB301:328,1993*; later called SACA (Simulated Annealing Clusterisation Algorithm) with:
- * P.B. Gossiaux, R. Puri, Ch. Hartnack, J. Aichelin, Nuclear Physics A 619 (1997) 379-390
- * **FRIGA =** 2010-2017 development version: A. Le Fèvre et al., *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* 668 (2016) 012021.
- a more complete publication in progress.
- * So far applied with various transport models: BQMD, IQMD, pHSD.
- * Applicable from the Fermi energy domain (50 A.MeV, multi-fragmentation) up to (ultra-)relativistic energies (spectator participant decay).
- * Prediction of (light and heavy) (hyper)isotope yields and full phase space distribution.







Frigg / Friga, spinning the clouds





Motivations

The major difficulty that are facing transport models is the formation of clusters. For this reason, this aspect is often oversimplified, when not omitted.



- The major difficulty that are facing transport models is the formation of clusters. For this reason, this aspect is often oversimplified, when not omitted.
- Having the clusters correctly formed is as important as the transport and creation of their constituents in the curse of the collisions.



- The major difficulty that are facing transport models is the formation of clusters. For this reason, this aspect is often oversimplified, when not omitted.
- Having the clusters correctly formed is as important as the transport and creation of their constituents in the curse of the collisions.
- Because, apart from emitted elementary particles, they carry the only information that the experimental instruments can measure.



- The major difficulty that are facing transport models is the formation of clusters. For this reason, this aspect is often oversimplified, when not omitted.
- Having the clusters correctly formed is as important as the transport and creation of their constituents in the curse of the collisions.
- Because, apart from emitted elementary particles, they carry the only information that the experimental instruments can measure.
- * Making clusters is not an easy task, because it involves, in a complex environment:
 - the fundamental nuclear properties,
 - quantum effects,
 - and variable timescales.





If we want to identify fragments early, one has to use momentum space info as well as coordinate space info.



If we want to identify fragments early, one has to use momentum space info as well as coordinate space info.



If we want to identify fragments early, one has to use momentum space info as well as coordinate space info.

Idea by Dorso et al. (Phys.Lett.B301:328,1993):



If we want to identify fragments early, one has to use momentum space info as well as coordinate space info.

Idea by Dorso et al. (Phys.Lett.B301:328,1993) : a) Take the positions and momenta of all nucleons at time t.



If we want to identify fragments early, one has to use momentum space info as well as coordinate space info.

Idea by Dorso et al. (Phys.Lett.B301:328,1993) :

a) Take the positions and momenta of all nucleons at time t.

b) Combine them in all possible ways into fragments or leave them as single nucleons.



If we want to identify fragments early, one has to use momentum space info as well as coordinate space info.

Idea by Dorso et al. (Phys.Lett.B301:328,1993) :

a) Take the positions and momenta of all nucleons at time t.

b) Combine them in all possible ways into fragments or leave them as single nucleons.

c) Neglect the interaction among clusters.



If we want to identify fragments early, one has to use momentum space info as well as coordinate space info.

Idea by Dorso et al. (Phys.Lett.B301:328,1993) :

a) Take the positions and momenta of all nucleons at time t.

b) Combine them in all possible ways into fragments or leave them as single nucleons.

c) Neglect the interaction among clusters.

d) Choose that configuration which has the highest binding energy.



If we want to identify fragments early, one has to use momentum space info as well as coordinate space info.

Idea by Dorso et al. (Phys.Lett.B301:328,1993) :

a) Take the positions and momenta of all nucleons at time t.

b) Combine them in all possible ways into fragments or leave them as single nucleons.

c) Neglect the interaction among clusters.

d) Choose that configuration which has the highest binding energy.

Simulations show: Clusters chosen that way at early times are the pre-fragments of the final state clusters, because fragments are not a random collection of nucleons at the end but initial-final state correlations.



If we want to identify fragments early, one has to use momentum space info as well as coordinate space info.

Idea by Dorso et al. (Phys.Lett.B301:328,1993) :

a) Take the positions and momenta of all nucleons at time t.

b) Combine them in all possible ways into fragments or leave them as single nucleons.

c) Neglect the interaction among clusters.

d) Choose that configuration which has the highest binding energy.

Simulations show: Clusters chosen that way at early times are the pre-fragments of the final state clusters, because fragments are not a random collection of nucleons at the end but initial-final state correlations.



Steps:

1) Pre-select good «candidates» for fragments according to proximity criteria: coordinate and momentum space coalescence = Minimum Spanning Tree (MST) procedure.





Steps:

1) Pre-select good «candidates» for fragments according to proximity criteria: coordinate and momentum space coalescence = Minimum Spanning Tree (MST) procedure.

2) Take randomly 1 nucleon out of one fragment







Steps:

1) Pre-select good «candidates» for fragments according to proximity criteria: coordinate and momentum space coalescence = Minimum Spanning Tree (MST) procedure.

2) Take randomly 1 nucleon out of one fragment



3) Add it randomly to another

fragment





Steps:

1) Pre-select good «candidates» for fragments according to proximity criteria: coordinate and momentum space coalescence = Minimum Spanning Tree (MST) procedure.

3) Add it randomly to another

2) Take randomly 1 nucleon out of one fragment

t of one fragment $F = E^{1}_{kin} + E^{2}_{kin} + V^{1} + V^{2}$ If E' < E take the new configuration fragment $F^{*} = E^{1}_{kin} + E^{2}_{kin} + V^{1} + V^{2}$



Steps:

1) Pre-select good «candidates» for fragments according to proximity criteria: coordinate and momentum space coalescence = Minimum Spanning Tree (MST) procedure.

2) Take randomly 1 nucleon out of one fragment



3) Add it randomly to another

fragment



<u>If E' < E</u> take the new configuration <u>If E' > E</u> take the old with a probability depending on E'-E



Steps:

1) Pre-select good «candidates» for fragments according to proximity criteria: coordinate and momentum space coalescence = Minimum Spanning Tree (MST) procedure.

2) Take randomly 1 nucleon out of one fragment



HELMHOLTZ

3) Add it randomly to another

fragment



5

<u>If E' < E</u> take the new configuration <u>If E' > E</u> take the old with a probability depending on E'-E Repeat this procedure very many times... (Metropolis procedure)

Steps:

1) Pre-select good «candidates» for fragments according to proximity criteria: coordinate and momentum space coalescence = Minimum Spanning Tree (MST) procedure.

2) Take randomly 1 nucleon out of one fragment



HELMHOLTZ

3) Add it randomly to another

fragment



 $\underline{If E' < E}$ take the new configuration $\underline{If E' > E}$ take the old with a probability depending on E'-E Repeat this procedure very many times... (Metropolis procedure) It leads automatically to **the most bound configuration**.

Λt

p

n

Λ





Λt

D

Λ

Ingredients of the binding energy of the clusters :



Λt

Λ

Ingredients of the binding energy of the clusters :

(1) Volume component: mean field (Skyrme, dominant), for NN. For NA(hypernuclei), we consider the strange quark as inert as a first approach \Rightarrow U(NA) = 2/3.U(NN)



Δt

Λ

Ingredients of the binding energy of the clusters :

(1) Volume component: mean field (Skyrme, dominant), for NN. For NA(hypernuclei), we consider the strange quark as inert as a first approach \Rightarrow U(NA) = 2/3.U(NN)

(2) Surface effect correction: Yukawa term. <u>And optionally</u>:


Δt

Λ

Ingredients of the binding energy of the clusters :

- (1) Volume component: mean field (Skyrme, dominant), for NN. For NA(hypernuclei), we consider the strange quark as inert as a first approach \Rightarrow U(NA) = 2/3.U(NN)
- ② Surface effect correction: Yukawa term. <u>And optionally</u>:
- (3) Asymmetry energy (potential part): 23.3 MeV. $(\langle \rho'_B \rangle)^{\gamma_{ASY}} [(\langle \rho'_n \rangle \langle \rho'_p \rangle)/\langle \rho'_B \rangle]^2$



Δt

Λ

Ingredients of the binding energy of the clusters :

- (1) Volume component: mean field (Skyrme, dominant), for NN. For NA(hypernuclei), we consider the strange quark as inert as a first approach \Rightarrow U(NA) = 2/3.U(NN)
- (2) Surface effect correction: Yukawa term. <u>And optionally</u>:

(3) Asymmetry energy (potential part): 23.3 MeV. $(\langle \rho'_B \rangle)^{\gamma_{ASY}} [(\langle \rho'_n \rangle - \langle \rho'_p \rangle)/\langle \rho'_B \rangle]^2$

(4) Extra « structure » energy $(N,Z,\rho) = B_{MF}(\rho).((B_{exp}-B_{BW})/(B_{BW}-B_{Coul}-B_{asy}))(\rho_0)$



Δt

Λ

Ingredients of the binding energy of the clusters :

- (1) Volume component: mean field (Skyrme, dominant), for NN. For NA(hypernuclei), we consider the strange quark as inert as a first approach \Rightarrow U(NA) = 2/3.U(NN)
- ② Surface effect correction: Yukawa term. <u>And optionally</u>:

(3) Asymmetry energy (potential part): 23.3 MeV. $(\langle \rho'_B \rangle)^{\gamma_{ASY}} [(\langle \rho'_n \rangle - \langle \rho'_p \rangle)/\langle \rho'_B \rangle]^2$

- (4) Extra « structure » energy $(N,Z,\rho) = B_{MF}(\rho).((B_{exp}-B_{BW})/(B_{BW}-B_{Coul}-B_{asy}))(\rho_0)$
- (5) Secondary decay: GEMINI.



Δt

Λ

Ingredients of the binding energy of the clusters :

- (1) Volume component: mean field (Skyrme, dominant), for NN. For NA(hypernuclei), we consider the strange quark as inert as a first approach \Rightarrow U(NA) = 2/3.U(NN)
- (2) Surface effect correction: Yukawa term. <u>And optionally</u>:
- (3) Asymmetry energy (potential part): 23.3 MeV. $(\langle \rho'_B \rangle)^{\gamma_{ASY}} [(\langle \rho'_n \rangle \langle \rho'_p \rangle)/\langle \rho'_B \rangle]^2$
- (4) Extra « structure » energy $(N,Z,\rho) = B_{MF}(\rho).((B_{exp}-B_{BW})/(B_{BW}-B_{Coul}-B_{asy}))(\rho_0)$
- (5) Secondary decay: GEMINI.
- (6) Rejection of « non-existing » isotopes and hyper-clusters.



Λt

Λ

Ingredients of the binding energy of the clusters :

- (1) Volume component: mean field (Skyrme, dominant), for NN. For NA(hypernuclei), we consider the strange quark as inert as a first approach \Rightarrow U(NA) = 2/3.U(NN)
- (2) Surface effect correction: Yukawa term. <u>And optionally</u>:
- (3) Asymmetry energy (potential part): 23.3 MeV. $(\langle \rho'_B \rangle)^{\gamma_{ASY}} [(\langle \rho'_n \rangle \langle \rho'_p \rangle)/\langle \rho'_B \rangle]^2$
- (4) Extra « structure » energy $(N,Z,\rho) = B_{MF}(\rho).((B_{exp}-B_{BW})/(B_{BW}-B_{Coul}-B_{asy}))(\rho_0)$
- (5) Secondary decay: GEMINI.
- (6) Rejection of « non-existing » isotopes and hyper-clusters.

Remarks:

HELMHOLTZ

- The clusterisation has to happen quite early (passing time) such as to produce hypernuclei.
- ∧ yields and phase space distribution as regard to the hadronic matter has to be realistic
 ⇒ influence of the EOS, in medium-properties, etc. of the transport model.



More detailed structure corrections to apply

☞ In order to account for all major structure effects which make the binding energy deviate from the liquid drop model, for each nucleus (N,Z), what we call «shell» binding energy will be the difference in b i n d i n g e n e r g y b e t w e e n experimental measurements (hypernuclei included) and the Bethe-Weizäcker formula (without pairing).







More detailed structure corrections to apply

☞ In order to account for all major structure effects which make the binding energy deviate from the liquid drop model, for each nucleus (N,Z), what we call «shell» binding energy will be the difference in binding energy between experimental measurements (hypernuclei included) and the Bethe-Weizäcker formula (without pairing).

$\rightarrow \Delta B_{\text{shell}}(N,Z,\rho_0).$

Strategy adopted in FRIGA: whatever the cluster density ρ , $\Delta B_{pairing}(N,Z,\rho)$ is determined from the assumption of a fixed proportion $\Delta B_{shell}/B_{surf.+vol.}$

HELMHOLTZ







More detailed structure corrections to apply

[©]In order to account for all major structure effects which make the binding energy deviate from the liquid drop model, for each nucleus (N,Z), what we call «shell» binding energy will be the difference in binding energy between experimental measurements (hypernuclei included) and the Bethe-Weizäcker formula (without pairing).

$\rightarrow \Delta B_{\text{shell}}(N,Z,\rho_0).$

Strategy adopted in FRIGA: whatever the cluster density ρ , $\Delta B_{\text{pairing}}(N,Z,\rho)$ is determined from the assumption of a fixed proportion $\Delta B_{\text{shell}}/B_{\text{surf.+vol.}}$





50

20

10

10

30



*: Ch.Hartnack et al., Eur. Phys. J. A 1(1998) 151.

HELMHOLTZ

SSOCIATION

GSĬ

Æ

A

Influence of the secondary decays on light isotope yields

An example: Au+Au @ 600 A.MeV (min. bias), b<6 fm (passing time = 2 t_{pass}) from BQMD*+FRIGA

Primary cluster excitation energy



9









An example: HypHI experiment, 6Li+12C @ 2A GeV (SIS), b=6 fm (passing time = 4.4 fm/c) from IQMD+FRIGA

> HyPHI experiment @ GSI Ch. Rappold et al., PLB 747 (2015) 129-13:

n

Λ

 $R=Y(^{3}H)/Y(^{4}H)=1.4 \pm 0.8$

Λt

p

IQMD-FRIGA: without Easy: $R=2.7 \pm 0.5$ with Easy: $R=4.6 \pm 1.1$





n p At

An example: HypHI experiment, ${}^{6}Li+{}^{12}C$ @ 2A GeV (SIS), b=6 fm (passing time = 4.4 fm/c) from IQMD+FRIGA



An example: HypHI experiment, ${}^{6}Li+{}^{12}C$ @ 2A GeV (SIS), b=6 fm (passing time = 4.4 fm/c) from IQMD+FRIGA

- Remarks:
- At mid-rapidity (fireball), the instantaneous hyper-light cluster yields are strongly changing up to at least 4 times the passings
- In the spectator region, they are stabilising faster



Λt

HyPHI experiment @ GSI Ch. Rappold et al., PLB 747 (2015) 129-13:

Λ

 $R=Y(^{3}H)/Y(^{4}H)=1.4 \pm 0.8$

IQMD-FRIGA : without Easy: R=2.0 \pm 0.4 with Easy: R=2.5 \pm 0.5





Arnaud Le Fèvre - EMMI Workshop: Probing dense baryonic matter with hadrons: Status and F

n A Δt

An example: HypHI experiment, ${}^{6}Li+{}^{12}C @ 2A \text{ GeV} (SIS)$, b=6 fm (passing time = 4.4 fm/c) from IQMD+FRIGA



- Yields and transverse momentum distributions are well reproduced
- Except at very low p_t where predicted Lambdas are not numerous enough to induce large hyper-cluster yields.





EOS, in medium-properties and hypernuclei yields





Arnaud Le Fèvre - EMMI Workshop: Probing dense baryonic matter with hadrons: Status and Perspective – Feb. 2019 – GSI Darmstadt

Λt

р

n

EOS, in medium-properties and hypernuclei yields

Λt

р

n





EOS, in medium-properties and hypernuclei yields

Λt

р

n





EOS, in medium-properties and hypernuclei yields

Λt

р

n





EOS, in medium-properties and hypernuclei yields

FOPI system

IQMD*+FRIGA 58Ni+58Ni @1.91A.GeV b < 6 fm $(t = 2.3 \text{ t}_{pass})$



*: Ch.Hartnack et al.,Eur. Phys. J. A 1(1998) 151.

Λt

р





Arnaud Le Fèvre - EMMI Workshop: Probing dense baryonic matter with hadrons: Status and Perspective - Feb. 2019 - GSI Darmstadt 14













Some successful applications in the spectator regime

participant (fireball) (collective flow)

spectators (equilibrated)







Arnaud Le Fèvre - EMMI Workshop: Probing dense baryonic matter with hadrons: Status and Perspective - Feb. 2019 - GSI Darmstadt 15

Another world: the fireball regime

spectators (equilibrated)

participant (fireball) (collective flow)

Central

Au+Au: IQMD-FRIGA (dashed lines) vs FOPI data (markers)*

-⊕-p Central Au+Au - static iso-MST (FOPI: markers, IQMD-FRIGA: dashed lines) 🗕 d Multiplicity 05 🔫 ³He -⊖ ⁴He 10 10^{-1} 1.4 1.6 E_{inc.} (A.GeV) 0.2 0.6 0.8 1.2 0.4 1 0

MST (200 fm/c)



*: W. Reisdorf at al., FOPI Collaboration / Nuclear Physics A 848 (2010) 366-427

Another world: the fireball regime



*: W. Reisdorf at al., FOPI Collaboration / Nuclear Physics A 848 (2010) 366-427

Another world: the fireball regime

participant (fireball) (collective flow)

spectators (equilibrated)

Central

Au+Au: IQMD-FRIGA (dashed lines) vs FOPI data (markers)*

⊃ In central collisions, the <u>static/</u> <u>instantaneous</u> FRIGA strategy (including asymmetry and structure binding energies) does not provides accurate light isotope yields at large incident energies.

⇒ Reversely, the <u>static</u> MST coalescence approach fails at these lowest energies, and is more reliable so far (but not the final word) at the highest energies.

HELMHOLTZ





*: W. Reisdorf at al., FOPI Collaboration / Nuclear Physics A 848 (2010) 366-427

Still to be worked on: the fireball regime



Binding energy of early (2 t_{pass}) tritons from coalescence (MST)

Central

Au+Au: IQMD-FRIGA





Still to be worked on: the fireball regime



spectators (equilibrated)

Central

Au+Au: IQMD-FRIGA

In the contrary of the rather cool central source of intermediate energies (and spectator),

in the hot fireball, early pre-fragments are mostly hot and unbound.

Invalidity of FRIGA as an early
 afterburner » in the fireball regime.
 Better alternative: follow the process
 of cluster formation up to a relatively
 longer time.

HELMHOLTZ

Binding energy of early (2 t_{pass}) tritons from coalescence (MST)





The fireball regime: a high degree of clusterisation

FOPI Collaboration / Nuclear Physics A 848 (2010) 366-427


Extrapolation -> clustered fraction >10% up to 4A GeV. Persistence of a significant probability to clusterize at freeze-out up to an available energy per nucleon more than two orders of magnitude higher than typical nucleonic binding energies

Signal of local cooling accompanying the fireball expansion

Strong constraint on the associated entropy.

HELMHOLTZ

SSOCIATION

GSI



FOPI Collaboration / Nuclear Physics A 848 (2010) 366-427

Pions in a large system: At low energy: perturbative, low incidence on the dynamics and available N/Z.

At high energy: nonperturbative, modify the available N/Z (1.49->1.26 : 8 protons converted into neutrons), and modify the dynamics (carry 20% of the total energy)

HELMHOLTZ

SSOCIATION

= 55 Ŭ

骨



FOPI Collaboration / Nuclear Physics A 848 (2010) 366-427

412

FOPI Collaboration / Nuclear Physics A 848 (2010) 366-427





Fig. 42. ³He and ³H multiplicities in central ${}^{40}Ca + {}^{40}Ca$ collisions as function of the incident beam energy. The straight line is a common fit linearly decreasing with the logarithm of the energy.

Fig. 43. ³He and ⁴He multiplicities in central Au + Au collisions as function of the incident beam energy. The 'crossing' energy is 0.5A GeV.





beam energy (A GeV)

Fig. 43. ³He and ⁴He multiplicities in central Au + Au

Fig. 42. ³He and ³H multiplicities in central ${}^{40}Ca + {}^{40}Ca$ collisions as function of the incident beam energy. The straight line is a common fit linearly decreasing with the logarithm of the energy.

HELMHOLTZ

collisions as function of the incident beam energy. The 'crossing' energy is 0.5A GeV.



Au+Au $b_0 < 0.15$ ⁴He ∆ ³He multiplicity 10^{0} 10^{0} 10^{-1} beam energy (A GeV)

Fig. 42. ³He and ³H multiplicities in central $^{40}Ca + ^{40}Ca$ collisions as function of the incident beam energy. The straight line is a common fit linearly decreasing with the logarithm of the energy.

Fig. 43. ³He and ⁴He multiplicities in central Au + Au collisions as function of the incident beam energy. The 'crossing' energy is 0.5A GeV.

> A simple perturbative coalescence model cannot explain this behavior.

HELMHOLTZ

Small symmetric

N/Z for cluster

stay unchanged up

to high energies.

⇒ The available

system:

The fireball regime: droplet formation?



FOPI Collaboration / Nuclear Physics A 848 (2010) 366-427

417





The fireball regime: droplet formation?

b_o<0.15 0.1 12 .5A GeV 1.5A GeV 1.5A GeV 0.5 10 0.12 M_{A3}*100/Z₈₉₈ 0.4 8 varxm0 Varxz 0.08 0.3 6 0.2 0.04 0.1 A=3 A=3 A=3 120 120 40 80 160 40 80 160 40 80 120 160 Z_{sys} Z_{sys} Z_{sys} 0.8 0.16 1.0A GeV 1.0A GeV - 1.0A GeV 2.5 2.0 0.6 0.12 MA4*100/Zsys varxm0 Varxz 1.5 0.4 0.08 1.0 0.04 0.2 0.5 ⁴He ⁴He 'He 40 80 120 160 40 80 120 160 40 80 120 160 Z_{sys} Z_{svs} Z_{sys}

Fig. 48. System size dependences of symmetric central collisions at incident beam energies of 1.0A GeV (lower panels, ⁴He fragments) and 1.5A GeV (upper panels, average of ³He and ³H fragments). All straight lines are linear fits. Left panels: multiplicities (reduced to 100 protons). The data marked 4π with open square symbols are 4π integrated, while the closed symbols represent midrapidity yields (constrained to $|y_{z0}| < 0.5$). Middle panels: variance *varxm*0 of the scaled constrained transverse rapidity distributions (dn/dy_{xm0}). Right panels: stopping *varxz*.

An interpretation:

Increased stopping (right panels) <-> increased compression.

Increasing constrained transverse rapidity variances varxm0 (middle panels) <-> increasing radial flow developed thereafter in the expansion phase coupled to increased cooling

('droplet formation')



A new non-static approach: « sequential » FRIGA

• Start from a first time step of the collision (typically when the 2 nuclei start to collide): Pre-detect a partition of clusters with FRIGA/MST

• At each subsequent time step of the collision (typically every fm/c):

1) Let survive clusters of the previous time step that have not suffered from any collision and that have not been approached (at coalescence proximity) by an external hadron in the meanwhile.

- 2) Otherwise set all its constituents as free
- 3) Process with MST/FRIGA free hadrons only.
- Follow the process until the cluster partition has stabilised



A new non-static approach: « sequential » FRIGA

Central IQMD Au+Au 600A MeV: sequential FRIGA versus static FRIGA





A new non-static approach: « sequential » FRIGA

Central IQMD Au+Au 600A MeV: sequential FRIGA versus static FRIGA

Sequential strategy: light cluster yields grow over <u>a long period of time</u>, during the expansion of the fireball

Reversely, with the <u>static</u> approach, the yields saturate earlier, at lower values.



IQMD+seqFRIGA2.9.5(Epair1Easy0+IsoMST) central Au+Au@600A.MeV, At,

time (fm/c) [sequential (full) and instantaneous (open)]



A new non-static approach: « sequential » FRIGA



Central

Au+Au: IQMD-FRIGA (dashed lines) vs FOPI data (markers)*

HELMHOLTZ



*: W. Reisdorf at al., FOPI Collaboration / Nuclear Physics A 848 (2010) 366-427



*: W. Reisdorf at al., FOPI Collaboration / Nuclear Physics A 848 (2010) 366-427

A new non-static approach: « sequential » FRIGA

participant (fireball) (collective flow)

spectators (equilibrated)

Central

Au+Au: IQMD-FRIGA (dashed lines) vs FOPI data (markers)*

Sequential strategy: exhibit light cluster yields in much better agreement with experimental data

⇒ The FRIGA approach remains better than the simple coalescence method.

⊃ Still discrepancies at the lowest incident energies: d yields too large, 4He yields too small.

⊃ Extra coalescence channels with unusually large cross-sections to implement: d+d->4He, n+3He->4He

HELMHOLTZ





*: W. Reisdorf at al., FOPI Collaboration / Nuclear Physics A 848 (2010) 366-427

Summary and perspectives



Summary:

Supplying FRIGA with a more precise description of nuclei binding energy at abnormal density allows promising, realistic predictions of absolute isotope yields, and hypernuclei.

The clusterisation time has a strong influence on the heavy hypernucleus yields and momentum distributions.

The secondary decays and structure effects should not be neglected.

* Whereas the early clustering with FRIGA gives good results in the spectator / intermediate energy regime, the fireball regime needs a relatively longer time to pre-form clusters (droplets?).



Summary:

Supplying FRIGA with a more precise description of nuclei binding energy at abnormal density allows promising, realistic predictions of absolute isotope yields, and hypernuclei.

The clusterisation time has a strong influence on the heavy hypernucleus yields and momentum distributions.

The secondary decays and structure effects should not be neglected.

* Whereas the early clustering with FRIGA gives good results in the spectator / intermediate energy regime, the fireball regime needs a relatively longer time to pre-form clusters (droplets?).

New developments:

* Sequential/Hot clustering: allow clustering to be done all along the expansion phase coupled to increased cooling.

* Promising description of light cluster yields in the fireball regime at SIS energies.

Still some refinements to implement

regarding alpha particles

* so far, or clusters do not interact as state of their own with the rest of the system during the dynamical development; necessary?

* For doing this: compulsory in transport models: a stable nuclear matter + enough fluctuations.

* The fragment formation in the fireball at SIS energies (overclustering) = opening a field of understanding of clustering in ultra-relativistic energies.



Some successful applications at intermediate energies



Some successful applications at intermediate energies

