

Vibration control and beam stabilization techniques in the sub-nanometer scale range L. BRUNETTI 2018-12-11



https://indico.gsi.de/event/7510/timetable/#20181210.detailed



Collaboration & projects

- LAPP: Laboratory of Annecy in Physics Particles IN2P3 CNRS University Savoie Mont-Blanc
- SYMME: laboratory of SYstem and Matériaux for the mecatronics University Savoie Mont-Blanc





CLIC: the most stringent specifications



Projet collisionneur FCC au CERN

SYMME



- CLIC beam stabilization
 - Beam trajectory control IPFB
 - Active control stabilization



- ATF2 : test of others strategies
 - Coherence optimization
 - Feedforward beam control implementation





• CLIC Final focus R&D:



> Many controls will be performed all along the collider whose these two critical challenges:

Main Linac – active control

- Keep ultra low emittance by minimizing beam size all along the collider

Interaction point – active control

- Maximize the cross section by minimizing the beam-beam offset

Spec. : Beam offset <= 0,2 nm RMS @ 0,1Hz

Ground motion mitigation is needed



•

Plus others

Post

line

collision

Anti-solenoid

upport

Strategy of control

- Seismic motion:
 - Seismic activities (starting in low frequencies)
 - Technical noise (human activities, cooling...)



Beam trajectory control & mechanical stabilization:

IP Feedback

At the Interaction Point (beam feedback: IPFB + mechanical stabilization),
 We aim at 0,2 nm RMS at 0,1 Hz

Displacement PSD [m²/Hz]

Stabilization + prealignement

MACHINE DETECTOR INTERFACE

Beamcal+

Vacuum

Lumical



• Beam trajectory control : simulation under Placet





Feedback and adaptive control scheme



Luminosity vs control ON or OFF and vs model of seismic motion (deal under Placet)

Has to be tested on a realistic environment...

Caron B et al, 2012, "Vibration control of the beam of the future linear collider", Control Engineering Practice.
G. Balik et al, 2012, "Integrated simulation of ground motion mitigation, techniques for the future compact linear collider (CLIC)", Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research



Active control : demonstration

Prototype of active control system :



Results with commercial sensors : 0,6 nm RMS@4Hz.

Balik et al, "Active control of a subnanometer isolator", JIMMSS, 2013.
R. Le Breton et al, Nanometer scale active ground motion isolator, Sensors and Actuators A: Physical, 2013.



- Sensors dedicated to measurement but not to control
- Two needed technologies for the selected bandwidth (geophones for low frequencies and accelerometers for high frequencies)
 - complexity of the control

Main limitation : SENSORS (Experimental and theoretical demonstration).



• Main limits: the use of seismic sensors (geophone, seismoters, acceleromters...) in control



- ✓ Sensors noise
- ✓ Sensors transfer function



- Geophone concept
- Commercial investigations
- Internal development
- Main limitation : SENSORS (Experimental and theoretical demonstration).
- Examples of commercial seismometers and accelerometers to measure nm:

				electrochemica			
Streckeisen	Guralp	Guralp	Guralp	Eentec	PCB	Wilcoxon	PI
STS2	CMG 3T	CMG 40T	CMG 6T	SP500	393B31	731A	D0-015
x,y,z	x,y,z	x,y,z	x,y,z	z	z	z	Δd
2*750Vs/m	2*750Vs/m	2*800Vs/m	2*1000Vs/m	2000Vs/m	1 Vs ² /m	1 Vs ² /m	0.67 V/μm
120 s -50 Hz	360s -50 Hz	30 s -50 Hz	30s-80Hz	60 s -70 Hz	10 s -300 Hz	10 s -300 Hz	10 s -300 Hz
13 kg	13.5 kg	7.5 kg	2,6 kg	0.750 kg	0.77 kg	0.55 kg	0.635 kg

Active control : worldwide developments



• Few examples:



Active control ULB



Xband linear collider SLAC



ILC stabilization KEK



CLIC Main Linac stabilization CERN

➤ And a lot of others experiments like Virgo, ELT, DESY...

APEC18, 10/12/2018



• Development of a new vibrations sensor dedicated to control:







Prototypes developed since 2011

- ▶ Approach validated \rightarrow Patent n° FR 13 59336.
- Comparison with Güralp and Wilcoxon sensors at CERN (ISR):



APEC18, 10/12/2018



• Comparison of different technologies for the embedded sensitive part



- PACMAN (-> 2017) : Particle Accelerator Components' Metrology and Alignment to the Nanometre scale (Marie Curie program at CERN)
- Use of the LAPP sensor with dedicated instrumentations



- Capacitive sensors : PI & Lion Precision
- Optical encoder : Magnescale
- Interferometer : Attocube & a developed one (INRiM (It) and ISI Brno (Cz))



Interféromètre multi-pass



Encodeur optique

P. Novotny et al, "What is the best displacement transducer for a seismic sensor?", IEEE Inertial Sensors and Systems 2017, Hawaï, USA.



Worldwide sensors developments

• Some examples of vibrations sensors developments:



Non-magnetic compact vibration sensor developed at SLAC



Vibrations sensors with interferometric measurement at CERN



Vibrations sensors with optical differential measurement at ULB

Objective:

Better signal to noise ratio needed in the bandwidth of interest (1 - 100 Hz)



- CLIC Demonstration of faisability at reduced scale
 - CLIC specification (displacement of the QD0 final focus) : 0,20 nm RMS@4Hz
 - Previous results with LAPP active foot + 4 commercial sensors : 0,60 nm RMS@4Hz
 - Results of control (autumn 2016) with LAPP active foot + 1 LAPP vibrations sensor : 0,25 nm RMS@4Hz
 - Only 1 sensor in feedback -> control less complex and more efficient
 - *Journal article submitted in beginning of 2017*



- Displacement without control / with control at LAPP -

- Collider environment
- Large scale

APEC18, 10/12/2018

GAPP Simulation of the active control with a collider environment

- CMS detector motion is taken into account (high level of cultural noise pessimistic)
- Simulation of the system (foot + sensors) with these disturbances



CAPP Simulation of the active control with a collider environment

Necessity to have a passive insulation under the concrete or under the last elements



• A passive insulation at about 25 Hz is common to the standard industrial solutions



Example of usable PI (Biltz® B13W- vibration isolation rubber pad).

Poster session at IPAC17: G. Balik et al, "Proof of concept of CLIC final focus quadrupoles stabilization", in Proceedings of International Particle Accelerator Conference (IPAC 2017), Copenhagen, Denmark.



From the demonstration to a large scale experiment



- FEM : Modal analysis using finite elements Determination of the most significant modes (frequency response characteristics)
- Expression in the form of a state space model and study of the control stategy
- Integration in a control loop (using Simulink for example) with the <u>whole simulation</u> (sensor, actuactor, ADC, DAC, Data processing.... And seismic motion model and its coherence)
- Control in simulation (location and number of active feet, type of active feet, degrees of freedom, type of control (SISO, MIMO))



• Actuator specifications (results of global simulation)

Dynamic	Signal / noise	Bandwidth of freq.	stiffness
6 microns	95 dB	0,3 : 300 Hz	≥ 10 kN/µm

- > No commercial actuator matches with the needs in terms of resolution, dynamic, stiffness...
- Mecatronics challenge
 - Structure : QD0 Magnet
 - Sensors
 - Actuators
 - Integration: control, data processing, real time, layout, interfaces...



Example of a large actuator

- Actuators have to be developed -

- The project of this prototype development in collaboration with manufacturers is already evaluated (still to be expensive)
- Close to some machining issues



ATF2: strategy of stabilization

• Optimization of the coherence

ATF2 Objectives : Steady and repetitive beam with a radius of 37 nm at the focus point.





➤ Transfer function between ground and final focus and shintake monitor has to be as close as possible to 1



Solution 1 : Active isolation of the elements (i.e. CLIC)

Solution 2 : optimization of the motion coherence between the elements



Demonstration of linear colliders - ILC

APEC18, 10/12/2018



CRAPP

19.6nm 13.4nm

6.5nm

Friday 12/12/08

Shift 9h-17h

Integrated RMS [m]

10

• Final setup of the final focus:



Integrated RMS of relative motion between QF1FF and SM above 4Hz

Saturday 13/12/08

8 12 16 20 0 4 8 12 16 20 0 4 8 12 16 20 0 3 Time [hours]





Very stiff in z direction (first eigenfrequency at 70Hz induced by the final doblets supports) beeswax

Relative motion between shintake monitor and final doublets of
 [4 – 6] nm RMS @ 0,1 Hz (vertical axis):

	Tolerance	Measurement [SM-QD0]	Measurement [SM-QF1]
Vertical	7 nm (for QD0) 20 nm (for QF1)	4.8 nm	6.3 nm
Perpendicular to the beam	~ 500 nm	30.7 nm	30.6 nm
Parallel to the beam	~ 10,000 nm	36.5 nm	27.1 nm

APEC18, 10/12/2018

Perpendicular to the beam
 Parrallel to the beam

Monday

15/12/08

-Vertical

Sunday 14/12/08



Original approach: test a feedforward control in function of the magnet motions





Comparison of the estimated and the correlated perturbations created by the magnets motions at the end of the extraction line

14 capteurs Geophones (Guralp 6T) - Collaboration CERN, LAL, Oxford, KEK and LAPP

Feedforward issues

- To extract very accurately the disturbances Ο (coherent vs incoherent motion)
 - Only the incoherent disturbances / motions \geq along the collider have an influence on the beam (Low frequencies are quite coherent)
- To know very well the system (the effects of Ο the vibrations and of the magnets on the beam)



Integrated RMS of absolute and relative ATF2 ground motion from 0.14Hz to 50Hz



• Feedforward setup of the demonstration

D. Bett et al, "Compensation of orbit distortion due to quadrupole motion using feed-forward control at KEK ATF", Nuclear Inst. and Methods in Physics Research, A 895 (2018) 10–18



Feedforward concept

• The principle is quite elementary but to implement efficiently this control law, it requires :



M = S. F. C. K As consequence, the corrector has to satisfy the following condition: $C = \frac{1}{S. F. K}$

Then *C* is the constant gain in the bandwidth of interest.



ATF2: feedforward implementation

• Filtering of the coherent motion





- The coherence plot could define the pattern of the filters which have to be used as function of the magnet positions (all the data with a coherence of 1 have to be filtered out)
- Correlation BPM Magnet measurements







ATF2: feedforward demonstration

• Gain adjustment



• Control the perturbations with the optimized gain & filter at the extraction line











➤ 3 dedicated runs last November, analysis in progress

60

➤ Main issue is to evaluate the benefits vs the resolution of the BPMs

100

80

40

s [m]

20

-5e-07



• Sub-nanometer beam (CLIC):

- A lot of developments are in progress
- o Great results have been already obtained
- The main issues still to be the instrumentation

- Nanometer beam (ATF2):
 - o An efficient stabilized beam has been achieved
 - \circ An alternative method of beam control has been demonstrated and still to be in progress