



# Agenda



09:00 - 09:20 D.-L. Pohl, Juelich

09:20 - 09:50 G. Mazza, Torino

09:50 - 10:20 G. Giraudo, Torino

10:30 - 11:00 Coffee

11:00 · 11:30 Th. Wuerschig, Bonn

11:30 - 13:00 KTB/all

ToT digitalization.

Status report of the readout architecture design for the silicon pixel detectors.

Mechanics update.

Implementation of a dedicated routing concept for the updated MVD model.
Route towards the TDR for the MVD - discussion.





### Towards the TDR

- Timeline: publish 1 year from now
- Select editorial team
  - Simulations
  - Electronics: Readout, Sensors
  - Mechanics
  - •
- General outline, chapters etc. to be determined
   Inspiration from earlier work: Meetings 2006/2007

12-08-09

# Transparencies from Torino and Jülich meeting for reference



#### Simulation task sheet - I

- variation of pixel size and shape  $[50x400~\mu m^2];~100x100~\mu m^2;~50x200~\mu m^2;~200x50~\mu m^2$  (different relative orientations of layers)
- dead zones of sensors / overlap?
- strip optimization with respect to shape, pitch, ...
- structural support, services (cables, cooling,...) <-> R&D (inhomogeneous distributions, areas to put things)
- rates, rate distributions, energy deposit, global and locally,
- variation of sensor thickness (less than 200 um -> 100 um?) including signal resolution
- positions of forward disks, number (and layout) of disks; strangeness vs. charm
- barrel layer radii



#### Simulation task sheet - II

- effect of target pipe hole constant radius vs. constant angle
- time ordering (beam fluctuations on various timescales; overlapping)(cf. electronics simulations)
- INPUT: efficiency / purity requirements -> optimize DD resolving power
- limited amount of variation -> key parameters?
- keep a number of constraints that are already "established", respect boundary conditions!
- optimize DD, then check background performance

\_



# Electronics simulation task

- latencies (simulation of readout chain)



# Interfacing

#### **DAQ** group

- timing / latencies (simulation of readout chain)?
- definition of interface to DAQ; preprocessing on detector?
- "trigger" within triggerless environment / buffers on different levels and time constants; ordering of events?
- slow control ? => collaboration / Lars
- calibration events



#### **ATLAS**

- thermal load for different pixel options (ATLAS vs. custom)
   (x W, less for custom, vs. y W / module)
   removal of power density; other issues with 90 MHz ATLAS
- full characterization of ATLAS @ 90 MHz
- redo MCC <-> readout controller
- (smaller sensor)



#### Custom .13 um

- input pixel cell size 100 x 100 / 50 x 200
- minimum pixel cell size 75 x 75 (CBM)
- feasibility of front end 2 cm wide vs. larger pixels in some rows and columns
- serial power scheme vs. parallel powering



#### **Strips**

- minimum thickness for double-sided process

\_



#### **General issues**

- thinning sensors / front ends
- dead zones on sensors
- (pixel) sensor should be 2 cm x X cm (target pipe!)
- readout
- cooling
- cables
- structural support, services, cables, cooling <-> simulations
- grounding and shielding; outer cover for the structure (aluminized mylar O(10u with wire support))

two halves, more sections < beam

- slow control, e.g. hardware interlocks, monitoring

\_



**Sensor - Pixel** 

silicon Jülich/Torino

epitaxial silicon Torino/Trento

SiC:H Catania

GaAs:Cr Protvino

**Sensor - Strip** 

silicon Dresden/Trento

Decision, 1(+1) option 07/ 2008 -> TDR 12/2008



**Frontend - Pixel** 

analog and digital

bumping, thinning

Torino/Jülich (ATLAS?)

**Frontend - Strips** 

Dresden /"commercial"/ (GSI with CBM)



**Hybridization - Pixel** 

analog and digital

bumping, thinning

Torino/Jülich (ATLAS?)

**Hybridization - Strips** 

Dresden /"commercial"/ (GSI)

low mass cable, cooling



Readout controller – Pixel Jülich

Readout controller – Strip (with front end)

Opto-transmitter



Mechanics Dresden, FZJ, Torino

Cooling Dresden, FZJ, Torino

Off-detector electronics

Power supply system

Detector control system



# Strips

#### Front End:

- Xyter: evaluation of (next generation) version for PANDA
  - ~ 100 chips needed
- aim for / look at: power dissipation, dynamic range, speed, energy + time resolution (noise), radiation tolerance
- define minimum requirements acceptable for PANDA MVD (keep an eye on other options)



# Strips

#### Sensor:

- number of strips / pitch / orientation
- D reco: 100 / 150 / 200 μm
- track deviation by angular scattering
- track matching with cables/services at different radii (between tracking layers or all collected at max. radius) [in particular for the forward disks]
- homogeneous vs. inhomogeneous
- disks as separate structures / building blocks? (large disks, disks including the pixel wall)



# Strips

#### Sensor:

- baseline thickness 200 μm
- SIMULATION:
- pitch -> simulations of D secondary vertices (separation of secondary vertices)
- D\(\bar{D}\) D+D- D\(\bar{D}\)\*

•