# Standalone track finding in GEMs 

Radoslaw Karabowicz GSI

## Motivation

- Obvious: no track finding task in GEM
- Subtle: there is the LHE track finding
- Problem: I did not like the results I got using LHE
- Quality: QA is missing for LHE
- Combinatorial: fake hits are created in the GEM detector by combining strips fired by different MC tracks


## Example plots from LHE track finding
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## Example plots from LHE track finding
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## Track finding chain

- Hit matching in individual stations
- Hit matching between stations - creation of track segments
- Merging track segments into tracks
- Removal of spurious and obviously wrong tracks
- Creating array of PndTrackCand's


## Hits in

 the GEM- Two sensitive layers per station $\sim 4 \mathrm{~cm}$ apart in z
- Two views per sensitive layer: - 1st: radial and concentric views (blue\&red strips)
- 2nd: tilted views
(pink\&black strips)


## Hit matching in individual stations

- First step of the tracking is to find pairs of hits (in front and back layers of a station) that are close enough to be considered as "real" and left by one track
- Method:
- loop over hits on front layer
- find closest hit on back layer, but not farther than the error of the two hits' positions


# Matching hits from different stations 

- Imagine a track with infinite momentum emitted from the target at some angle
- Hit left by this particle's trajectory on station A will let you calculate the position of the track on some other station B (simply a linear extrapolation)
- Now consider all the tracks emitted from the target that pass through the point on station A. Where do they land on station B?


## Matching hits from

## different stations cont'd



## Matching hits from

## different stations cont'd

Combine hits from each pair of stations, calculate radius and phi angle, put them on a plot. Observe:
-middle of the curve $\left(0^{\circ}\right) \rightarrow$ infinite momenta
-the larger the phi the smaller the momenta
-negative/positive phi-> negative/ positive charge -different bands-> different station combinations


## Matching hits from

## different stations cont'd

The curve is fitted with a symmetric parabola:
$r_{B} z_{A} / r_{A} Z_{B}=0.9944432-0.000590706\left(\varphi_{B}-\varphi_{A}\right)^{2}$

Track segment: pair of hits on different stations, with actual radius laying close to the radius calculated using the above formula.


## Track segment

The distance of the hit to the beam center together with the difference of the hits' phi angles on both stations let me calculate the actual track segment momenta. Empiric formulae:
$\varphi=\varphi_{A}+\left(\varphi_{A}-\varphi_{B}\right) \cdot z_{A} /\left(z_{B}-z_{A}\right)$

| $Z_{1}=$ | $Z_{B}-$ | $Z_{A}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $Z_{2}=$ | $Z_{B} \cdot Z_{B}-$ | $Z_{A} \cdot Z_{A}$ |
| $Z_{3}=$ | $Z_{B} \cdot Z_{B} \cdot Z_{B}-Z_{A} \cdot Z_{A} \cdot Z_{A}$ |  |

$$
c_{1}=-2.3 \cdot 10^{-6} z_{3}+6.7 \cdot 10^{-4} z_{2}+1.0 \cdot 10^{-1} z_{1}
$$

$c_{2}=-7.5 \cdot 10^{-10} z_{3}-6.7 \cdot 10^{-7} z_{2}+7.4 \cdot 10^{-4} z_{1}$
$p=\left(c_{1}+c_{2} \cdot r_{A}\right) /\left(\varphi_{A}-\varphi_{B}\right)$

## Track segment

## track MC momentum vs hits' phi angle difference








## Track segment

track MC theta vs hit radius
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## Creating track segments

Goal:

Find all possible track segments in the GEM geometry

## Realization:

Two nested loops over hits, pick up pairs of hits from different stations, check if the 'back' hit is close to the search ellipse of the 'front' hit, calculate momentum, theta and phi angle of the found track segment

## Creating track segments

Example of an event with three MC tracks.
GEM consists of 4 stations, there are 23 segments found:
momentum phi angle theta angle found segment (stat. 0 \& 1), hits 0, 9, 15, 24 >>> $3.75575 \mathrm{GeV}, 113.256$ deg, 7.6694 deg. found segment (stat. 0 \& 1), hits 4, 11, 19, $26 \ggg 1.2846 \mathrm{GeV}, 37.6379 \mathrm{deg}, 12.857 \mathrm{deg}$. found segment (stat. 0 \& 1), hits 8, 14, 19, $26 \ggg 0.177452 \mathrm{GeV}, 142.133 \mathrm{deg}, 15.5102 \mathrm{deg}$. found segment (stat. 0 \& 1), hits 8,14, 23, $29 \ggg 1.80182 \mathrm{GeV}, 60.3243 \mathrm{deg}, 15.5102 \mathrm{deg}$. found segment (stat. 0 \& 2), hits $0,9,30,39 \ggg 4.37074 \mathrm{GeV}, 112.568$ deg, 7.6694 deg. found segment (stat. 0 \& 2), hits 4, 11, 34, $41 \ggg 1.28075 \mathrm{GeV}, 37.2942 \mathrm{deg}, 12.857 \mathrm{deg}$. found segment (stat. 0 \& 2), hits 8, 14, 34, $41 \ggg 0.304688 \mathrm{GeV}, 102.604 \mathrm{deg}, 15.5102 \mathrm{deg}$. found segment (stat. 0 \& 2), hits $8,14,38,44 \ggg 1.81594 \mathrm{GeV}, 59.9806 \mathrm{deg}, 15.5102 \mathrm{deg}$. found segment (stat. 0 \& 3), hits 0, 9, 45, 54 >>> $4.41979 \mathrm{GeV}, 112.339$ deg, 7.6694 deg. found segment (stat. 0 \& 3), hits 4, 11, 49, $56 \ggg 1.26929 \mathrm{GeV}, 36.7213 \mathrm{deg}, 12.857 \mathrm{deg}$. found segment (stat. 0 \& 3), hits $8,14,53,59 \ggg 1.83647 \mathrm{GeV}, 59.4077 \mathrm{deg}, 15.5102 \mathrm{deg}$. found segment (stat. 1 \& 2), hits 15, 24, 30, $39 \ggg 5.35903 \mathrm{GeV}, 111.423 \mathrm{deg}, 7.67755 \mathrm{deg}$. found segment (stat. 1 \& 2), hits 19, 26, 34, $41 \ggg 1.302 \mathrm{GeV}, 36.7213 \mathrm{deg}, 12.7958 \mathrm{deg}$. found segment (stat. 1 \& 2), hits 23, 29, 34, $41 \ggg 0.16546 \mathrm{GeV}, 173.069$ deg, 15.4985 deg. found segment (stat. 1 \& 2), hits 23, 29, 38, $44 \ggg 1.87522 \mathrm{GeV}, 59.4077 \mathrm{deg}, 15.4985 \mathrm{deg}$. found segment (stat. 1 \& 3), hits 15, 24, 45, 54 >>> $4.97978 \mathrm{GeV}, 111.423$ deg, 7.67755 deg. found segment (stat. 1 \& 3), hits 19, 26, 49, $56 \ggg 1.28602 \mathrm{GeV}, 35.8047$ deg, 12.7958 deg. found segment (stat. 1 \& 3), hits 23, 29, 49, $56 \ggg 0.277131 \mathrm{GeV}, 117.613 \mathrm{deg}, 15.4985 \mathrm{deg}$. found segment (stat. 1 \& 3), hits 23, 29, 53, 59 >>> $1.90212 \mathrm{GeV}, 58.4911 \mathrm{deg}, 15.4985 \mathrm{deg}$. found segment (stat. 2 \& 3), hits 30, 39, 45, 54 >>> $4.65867 \mathrm{GeV}, 111.423$ deg, 7.68244 deg. found segment (stat. 2 \& 3), hits 34, 41, 49, $56 \ggg 1.29271 \mathrm{GeV}, 34.4298 \mathrm{deg}, 12.7037 \mathrm{deg}$. found segment (stat. 2 \& 3), hits 38, 44, 49, $56 \ggg 0.142495 \mathrm{GeV}, 204.922$ deg, 15.4678 deg .
 found segment (stat. 2 \& 3) hits 38, 44, 53, $59 \ggg 1.98068$ GeV, $57.1162 \mathrm{deg}, 15.4678 \mathrm{deg}$.

## Merging track segments

Match segments according to the hit number, momentum, theta and phi angles. Results: segments:

| $01>$ | 0 | 9 | 15 | 24 | 3.75575 | 113.256 | 7.6694 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $02>$ | 0 | 9 | 30 | 39 | 4.37074 | 112.568 | 7.6694 |
| $03>$ | 0 | 9 | 45 | 54 | 4.41979 | 112.339 | 7.6694 |
| $12>$ | 15 | 24 | 30 | 39 | 5.35903 | 111.423 | 7.67755 |
| $13>$ | 15 | 24 | 45 | 54 | 4.97978 | 111.423 | 7.67755 |
| $23>$ | 30 | 39 | 45 | 54 | 4.65867 | 111.423 | 7.68244 |

seems to belong to one track
segments:

| 0 | $1>$ | 4 | 11 | 19 | 26 | 1.2846 | 37.6379 | 12.857 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | $2>$ | 4 | 11 | 34 | 41 | 1.28075 | 37.2942 | 12.857 |
| 0 | $3>$ | 4 | 11 | 49 | 56 | 1.26929 | 36.7213 | 12.857 |
| $12>$ | 19 | 26 | 34 | 41 | 1.302 | 36.7213 | 12.7958 |  |
| $13>$ | 19 | 26 | 49 | 56 | 1.28602 | 35.8047 | 12.7958 |  |
| $23>$ | 34 | 41 | 49 | 56 | 1.29271 | 34.4298 | 12.7037 |  |

seems to belong to one track
segments:

| $01>$ | 8 | 14 | 23 | 29 | 1.80182 | 60.3243 | 15.5102 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $02>$ | 8 | 14 | 38 | 44 | 1.81594 | 59.9806 | 15.5102 |
| $03>$ | 8 | 14 | 53 | 59 | 1.83647 | 59.4077 | 15.5102 |
| $12>$ | 23 | 29 | 38 | 44 | 1.87522 | 59.4077 | 15.4985 |
| $13>$ | 23 | 29 | 53 | 59 | 1.90212 | 58.4911 | 15.4985 |
| $23>$ | 38 | 44 | 53 | 59 | 1.98068 | 57.1162 | 15.4678 |

seems to belong to one track
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## Removing bad tracks

- Try to remove tracks, that do not satisfy following requirements:
- track segment parameters (momentum, angles) have to be consistent in different segments
- number of track segments have to be large enough
- hits belonging to tracks should be uniquely used, only by one track


## Creating PndTrackCand's

- Create PndTrackCand'idates, with track parameters being the mean of the parameters of different track segments


## Results

## Definitions:

efficiency $(p)=\frac{\text { \#reco tracks matching these ones } \mathbb{\otimes}(p)}{\text { \#MC tracks that hit at least } 3 \text { stations( } p \text { ) }}$
primaries: particles with vertex.Mag() < 1 cm
secondaries: partiles with vertex.Mag() $>1 \mathrm{~cm}$
reference: particles with:

```
plot vs p -> 5 5 < theta < 20
plot vs theta -> p>0.5 GeV/c
plot vs #hits -> 5 5 < theta < 25 & && p>0.5 GeV/c
```

Momentum resolution:
mom.res. $=($ McMom.Mag()-RecoMom.Mag()) / McMom.Mag() * 100\%

## Gem Track Finder QA

 boxGen->SetPhiRange (0.,360.); boxGen->SetPRange (0.5,5.);





Tracking efficiencies:

$$
\text { all }=97.70 \%(9920 / 10154)
$$

$$
\text { prim }=99.77 \%(9780 / 9803)
$$

$$
\text { ref }=99.77 \%(9780 / 9803)
$$

$$
\sec =39.89 \%(140 / 351)
$$

21 ghosts, 0.00210 /event, $0.00194 / \mathrm{MC}$ tr.
0 clones, 0.00000 /event, $0.00000 / \mathrm{MC}$ tr.

## Gem Track Finder QA

boxGen->SetPhiRange (0.,360.); boxGen->SetPRange (0.5,5.);






Tracking efficiencies:
all $=98.09 \% ~(9822 / 10013)$ prim $=99.32 \% ~(9697 / 9763)$ ref $=99.32 \% ~(9697 / 9763)$
$\sec =50.00 \% ~(125 / 250)$
3 ghosts, 0.00030 /event, $0.00028 / \mathrm{MC}$ tr. 0 clones, 0.00000 levent, $0.00000 / \mathrm{MC}$ tr.

## Gem Track Finder QA boxGen->SetPRange (0.5,5.);

 boxGen->SetPhiRange (0.,360.);
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## Tracking efficiencies:

all $=95.84 \% ~(9725 / 10147)$
prim $=97.91 \% ~(9592 / 9797)$
ref $=97.91 \% ~(9592 / 9797)$
$\sec =38.00 \%(133 / 350)$
391 ghosts, 0.39100 /event, 0.03619 /MC tr.
52 clones, $0.05200 /$ event, $0.00481 / \mathrm{MC}$ tr.

## Gem Track Finder QA

 boxGen->SetPhiRange (0.,360.); boxGen->SetPRange (0.5,5.);
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 Tracking efficiencies:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { all }=93.88 \%(9425 / 10039) \\
\text { prim }=95.32 \%(9315 / 9772) \\
\text { ref }=95.32 \%(9315 / 9772) \\
\text { sec }=41.20 \%(110 / 267)
\end{gathered}
$$

411 ghosts, 0.41100 /event, 0.03867 /MC tr.
8 clones, 0.00800 /event, $0.00075 / \mathrm{MC} \mathrm{tr}$.

## Results

4 GEM stations, 2 pions per event boxGen->SetThetaRange(2,30);

## Gem Track Finder QA

boxGen->SetPhiRange (0.,360.); boxGen->SetPRange (0.2,10.);
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Tracking efficiencies:
all $=93.76 \% ~(74235 / 79178)$
prim $=96.67 \% ~(73228 / 75754)$
ref $=98.21 \%(63554 / 64711)$
$\sec =29.41 \%(1007 / 3424)$
182 ghosts, 0.00364 /event, 0.00187 /MC tr.
0 clones, 0.00000 /event, $0.00000 / \mathrm{MC} \mathrm{tr}$.

## Results

3 GEM stations, 2 pions per event boxGen->SetThetaRange(2,30);

## Gem Track Finder QA

 boxGen->SetPhiRange (0.,360.); boxGen->SetPRange (0.2,10.);

momentum resolution for primary tracks
hMomResPrimVsP



Tracking efficiencies:
all $=91.84 \% ~(57300 / 62389)$
prim $=94.35 \% ~(56494 / 59874$ )
ref $=96.90 \%(47841 / 49369)$
$\sec =32.05 \%(806 / 2515)$
57 ghosts, 0.00114 /event, $0.00060 / \mathrm{MC}$ tr.
0 clones, 0.00000 /event, $0.00000 / \mathrm{MC} \mathrm{tr}$.
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## Problems

- The code will not work with different magnetic field.
- But I've created a dedicated task to see if the track finding parameters match the magnetic field
- Any hit finding inefficiencies will probably have a bad effect on track finding efficiency - the code does not extra-/intrapolate tracks to stations without hits



## Bonus: 4 vs 3 GEM stations,

 dependence on the number of MC tracks Clone/ghost probability


## Time performance

The bad news is that it strongly depends on the number of track to reconstruct. The more tracks, the slower the code.

The good news is that still it is faster I've ever expected:
with 2 tracks per event:
------------------- PndGemFindTracks : Summary

| Events: | 10000 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Tracks: | 22760 | ( 2.276 per event $)$ |
| Time: | 2.72827 s | $(0.000272827 \mathrm{~s}$ per event $)$ |
|  |  | $(0.000119871 \mathrm{~s}$ per track $)$ |

with 10 tracks per event:

| Events: | 1000 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tracks: | 9735 | ( 9.735 per event) |
| Time: | 9.09496 s | ( 0.00909496 s per event ) |
|  |  | ( 0.000934254s per track ) |

## Conclusions

- A first, running version of track finder for GEM detector has been developed
- It is tested, has efficiency of some $95 \%$ efficiency for events with reasonable number of tracks
- Momentum seed for the genfit is $\sim 2 \%$ away from the mean, with resolution of less than $5 \%$
- Without any time optimization about 1000-1000 tracks are found per second (0.1-1 miliseconds per track)


## Problem with GEM geometry

Reported by
Stefano,
there is overlap between middle GEM station and EMC detector.


## Update on GEM geometry

Previous/updated GEM:

|  | $z$ position | radius |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1st station | 120 cm | 42 cm |
|  | 117 cm | 45 cm |
| 2nd station | 150 cm | 66 cm |
|  | 153 cm | 56 cm |
| 3rd station | 180 cm | 90 cm |
|  | 189 cm | 74 cm |



