Nuclear Physics Experiments for NSM: abundance pattern

- Neutron capture cross-section $\sigma_{(n,y)}$
- β-decay half-lives T_{1/2}
- Neutron emission probabilities P_{xn}

- Total absorption γ-ray spectroscopy: indirect information
- β-delayed neutron measurements: direct information



Jose L. Tain @ IFIC-Valencia EMMI RTF on NSM, GSI, June 4-15, 2018 Abundance pattern: result of the interplay between (n,γ) , (γ,n) , β -decay and fission Difficulty of accessing the nuclei involved:

- to observe their decay
- to use as target for reactions
 → Need of (uncertain) theoretical estimates



Neutron capture cross sections determination

Theoretical estimates:

- Hauser-Feshbach calculations of CN channel
- Contribution of direct channel is significant (Goriely, 1998)

Measurements:

- Direct A(n,γ)B measurement (neutron ToF facilities): limited to stable or long lived isotopes
- Surrogate reactions (see Escher+,2012, for a review):
 - use of a reaction C(a,bγ)B that populates states "similar" to those in the capture reaction
 - \succ needs correction for differences in (J, π) population
 - need radioactive beams in inverse kinematic to reach neutron-rich nuclei: can test the direct (Thomas+,2007) and CN components (Cizewski,2015, proposal)

Thomas+,2007

Experiment at ORNL

²H(⁸²Ge,p), ²H(⁸⁴Se,p): CD₂ target, p detected, spectroscopic factors extracted



More recently: use of β-decay as a "surrogate" reaction that provides information to improve theoretical estimates

 γ/n competition in β-delayed neutron emitters

 \succ The β -Oslo method

• Future: Use a neutron target (reactor core, neutron guide) and recirculating radioactive beam (Reifarth-Litvinov, 2014)

Analogy between (n,γ) and βn

Radiative neutron capture:

Beta delayed neutron emission:



- But, it is difficult observe the γ-emission from states above S_n populated in β-decay: intensity fragmented over many cascades
- Only few γ-rays in a handful of isotopes have been observed in HPGe spectroscopy → TAGS tecnique

Ingredients of Hauser-Feshbach statistical model calculations



Goal: obtain information on PSF (and NLD)



Total Absorption Gamma-ray Spectroscopy Technique

ß

Goal:

- Counting β-decays to a level from the γ -rays emitted **Problem:**
- The limited efficiency of HPGe detectors together with the complex de-excitation path: **Pandemonium** effect

Solution:

Measure the full γ -ray cascade with a calorimeter TAS Duke+,NPA151,1970





REAL TAS

Deconvolute the spectrum with a cascade dependent detector response (MC) constrained to reproduce several observables

Tain-Cano, 2007





Experiments at IGISOL-JYFL

Tain+, 2015 Guadilla+, 2016 Valencia+, 2017

In most of the cases $\Gamma\gamma/\Gamma$ n is quite large, due to neutron emission hindrance because of L mismatch



- For ⁹⁴Rb, although small it is much larger than HF estimate
- If due to $\Gamma\gamma$ it will imply a large increase (~20) of $\sigma_{(n,\gamma)}$

+6n



More experiments should be performed to asses this approach

The β -Oslo method Spyrou+,2014

- The Oslo method (goal: obtain information on PSF and NLD):
 - 1) Measure single γ ray spectra in two-body or capture reactions varying E_v
 - 2) Determine γ -branchings as a function of E_x

Deconvolute

$$\gamma$$
 spectra
$$S(E_x) = \mathop{a}_{E_g} b(E_x, E_x - E_g)g(E_g) \stackrel{\text{in}}{\wedge} S(E_x - E_g)$$



1) Extract NLD and PSF from the γ -branchings

 E_{a}

 $b(E_x, E_x - E_g) = \frac{T(E_g) r(E_x - E_g)}{\partial T(E_g) r(E_x - E_g)}$ Solve a non-linear inverse problem $T_{XL}(E_a) = 2\rho E_a^{2L+1} f_{XL}(E_a)$

1) The result is the shape of NLD and PSF (strongly correlated) not the absolute values. Need external normalization, typically from n capture reactions.

The β -Oslo method:

- Use of segmented TAS
- Equate E_x with total energy deposited E_{TAS} (approximation)
- Use systematics for normalization



Experiment at NSCL



⁷⁶Ga(β)⁷⁶Ge





 For nuclei that are out of current experimental reach, T_{1/2} and P_n for r-process network calculations are taken from βstrength calculations

$$\frac{1}{T_{1/2}} = \bigotimes_{0}^{Q_{b}} f\left(Q_{b} - E_{x}\right) S_{b}\left(E_{x}\right) dE_{x}$$

$$P_{n} = T_{1/2} \underbrace{\overset{Q_{b}}{\grave{0}}}_{S_{n}} \frac{\mathsf{G}^{n}}{\mathsf{G}^{n} + \mathsf{G}^{g}} f\left(Q_{b} - E_{x}\right) S_{b}\left(E_{x}\right) dE_{x}$$

 $\beta\text{-strength (theory):}$ $S_{b}^{th}\left(E_{x}\right) = \frac{1}{D} \frac{g_{A}^{2}}{g_{V}^{2}} B_{i \to f}$ $B_{i \to f} = \frac{1}{2J_{i} + 1} \left| \left\langle f \left\| M_{I\rho}^{b} \right\| i \right\rangle \right|^{2}$

How good are these calculations?





A TAS is a machine to measure β -strengths

 β -strength (experiment):

$$S_{b}^{\exp}\left(E_{x}\right) = \frac{1}{T_{1/2}} \frac{I_{b}\left(E_{x}\right)}{f\left(Q_{b} - E_{x}\right)}$$





The experiment that can be performed now at GSI-FAIR with the FRS

Beam: ²⁰⁸Pb (1GeV/u, 3 × 10⁸pps)



β-delayed neutron decay

- The dominant decay mode of most nuclei formed along the r-process path is β -delayed neutron emission
- Every xn emission in the decay chain reduces the final mass to A-x
- The emitted neutrons (together with fission neutrons) reactivate the capture reactions after neutron exhaustion



 P_{xn} : x-neutron emission probability

$$P_n = \mathop{\text{a}}_{x} P_{xn}$$
$$\langle n \rangle = \mathop{\text{a}}_{x} x P_{xn}$$

x

Current theoretical estimates use crude approximations to distribute the β -strength in the xn channels



The BRIKEN project

- Measurement of P_{xn} and $T_{1/2}$ of the most exotic neutron-rich nuclei currently accessible
- The RIBF high intensity radioactive beams
- The BigRIPS+ZeroDegree spectrometer
- The largest ³He moderated neutron counter
- The AIDA implant/decay detector





BRIKEN goal: astrophysics (r-process) and nuclear structure



- Commissioning and first experiments done
- Performing according expectations
- Analysis in progress



Other approaches to the measurement of P_{xn} for exotic nuclei are possible: storage rings, mrTOF, ...

Summary:

- Direct measurement of (n,γ) cross sections for the r-process is still very challenging and we must resort to indirect methods for the time being
- A sizeable improvement on T_{1/2} and P_{xn} data base has been done (see Nishimura talk) or is ongoing (exception: 3rd rprocess peak region)

Questions:

- What improvements can we expect from the upgrades or coming facilities?
- What improvements should be done in instrumentation?
- Other experimental methods?
- Where should we concentrate the efforts?



Impact of T_{1/2}

Impact of P_n

Marketin+, PRC93(2016)025805







The TAGS analysis in a nutshell

1) Reduce the analysis to a **linear inverse problem** taking the b.r. as parameters:

$$\boldsymbol{d}_{i} = \mathop{a}\limits_{j} \boldsymbol{R}_{ij} \left(\mathbf{b} \right) \times \boldsymbol{f}_{j}$$

2) Make a reasonable choice of b.r. matrix: we use the nuclear statistical model (NLD & PSF) plus known level-scheme



3) Construct the spectrometer response using MC simulations:

$$\mathbf{r}_{j} = \mathop{\text{a}}_{k=0}^{j-1} b_{jk} \mathcal{G}_{jk} \, \dot{\mathsf{A}} \, \mathbf{r}_{k}$$
$$\mathbf{R}_{j} = b_{j} \, \dot{\mathsf{A}} \, \mathbf{r}_{j}$$

4) Needs **careful calibration of the simulation** using known sources

Cano+, NIMA430(1999)p333, Tain-Cano, NIMA571(2007)p728, NIMA571(2007)p719, Guadilla+, NIMA submitted

The new segmented TAS: multiplicity distributions



V. Guadilla, PhD Thesis, NIMA submitted

Known sources: more restrictive verification of Geant4 response simulation and of summing-pileup calculation **5)** Apply any suitable (deconvolution) algorithm to obtain I_{β} (normalized f_j): we use primarily the EM method



6) Compare reconstructed spectra $(I_{\beta} \otimes brm)$ with measured spectra: a) sum energy, b) single-crystal energy, c) sum energy gated with crystal multiplicity









