EMMI Rapid Reaction Task Force: The physics of neutron star mergers at GSI/FAIR

Discussion session: Tuesday 12 June 2018

Luciano Rezzolla Albino Perego

This is a discussion: so let's discuss!

* Anatomy of the problem:

- Inspiral (~ -lel4 0 ms)
- ✦ Merger (~ 0 5 ms)
- Dynamical post-merger (~ 5 50 ms)
- ✦ Secular post-merger (~ 50 2,000+ ms)

Inspiral (~-lel4-0 ms)

* What we know

- PN/EOB/Phen descriptions well advanced
- Magnetic fields/thermal effects unlikely to play a role in GW emission; could lead to EM
- Spin effects could play important role (mix with tidal)

Inspiral (~ -lel4 - 0 ms) *What don't we do know (yet)

What are realistic spin values/configurations?
What are realistic B-field values/configurations?
precise (cold) EOS but lot of information will become available soon (LIGO/Virgo, NICER)

Inspiral (~ -lel4 - 0 ms) *What we will not know for some time Does the crust behave like a plastic solid? Are present tidal deformabilities incorrect? Is tidal ejection realistic/expected? Instabilities/resonances before merger? Late time distribution of binary neutron stars

Merger (~0 - 5 ms)

* What we know

Highly energetic GW emission
Transient can be modelled analytically (disk+stars)
Largest amount of mass loss at this time

Merger (~0 - 5 ms)

* What don't we do know (yet)

Robust measure of critical mass to prompt collapse
Role of mass ratio and spin in this regime
Realistic temperature evolution
Role of neutrino trapping: change in EOS, composition, viscosity

Merger (~0 - 5 ms)

*What we will not know for some time

Role of plastic crust in temperature evolution
 Breaking of first-order convergence at merger
 measurement of first (low-freq.) peak of spectrum

Dynamical post-merger (~5 - 50 ms) * What we know

 GW signal has robust, universal spectral props: f₁, f₂, f₃
 GW signal can be modelled analytically (damped oscilltns)
 non-axisymmetric (m=1) features present but subdominant
 diff. rot. is universal and not monotonic: unif. rot. core + Keplerian disk

MHD instabilities develop: KH, MRI, other?

Magnetic jet structure is formed at BH formation

♦ given specific setup, ejected material has (reasonably?) well known properties in mass, velocity, entropy, Y_e (?)

neutrino emission/absorption important to model HMNS
 heavy elements nucleosynthesis is produced by BNSs

Dynamical post-merger (~5 - 50 ms) *What don't we do know (yet)

 Saturation of MHD instabilities is unclear (viscosity?) Sustained relativistic outflow after BH formation still absent Role of bulk viscosity (modified URCA): boosts values? Role of shear viscosity (neutrinos, MRI, other?) Role of neutrino emission/absorption on dynamical ejecta Rigorous treatment of dissipative hydrodynamics (no LES, no effective models) Robust and EOS independent properties of ejected material (geometrical properties in particular) Robust and EOS independent properties of neutrino luminosities (cf. core-collapse SNe)

Dynamical post-merger (~5 - 50 ms) *What don't we do know (yet)

is heavy elements nucleosynthesis robust under variations of initials conditions in the binary (mass, mass ratio, spin, orbital dynamics, EOS)?

Dynamical post-merger (~5 - 50 ms)

* What we will not know for some time

Role of turbulence?

How well do MRI mediated disc-accretion flows reproduce dynamics of HMNS?

 Is post-merger spectrum measurable by LIGO (see later)
 How is a relativistic jet produced? Are neutrinos responsible? Are microscopical resistive effects important?

 New numerical methods to follow the dynamics of lowdensity, complex geometry outflows

role of neutrino oscillations

+ production of cosmic rays and their detectability

Secular post-merger (~50 - 2,000+ ms) \star What we know very little in full 3D GR simulations most of our knowledge comes from 2D simulations GW signal could be very strong (long-lived HMNS) or very weak (axisymmetric viscous HMNS) + mass ejection driven by neutrinos or magnetic fields, nuclear recombination (no shock heating) ✦ Large fractions of disk (on HMNS or BH) will be evaporated neutrino luminosity set by HMNS cooling and fate kilonova emission is compatible with merger of binary

neutron stars

Secular post-merger (~50 - 2,000+ ms) * What don't we do know (yet)

- robust timescale for collapse to BH
- + role of neutrino emission/absorption on disk ejecta
- properties of ejected material in mass, velocity, entropy, Y_e, magnetization, etc.
- accurate radiative models with better estimates of opacities
- constraints from astronomical observations on neutrino luminosity
- origin of non-thermal emission: many possible sources!

Secular post-merger (~50 - 2,000+ ms) * What we will not know for some time Role of turbulence? Iong-term dynamics/activity of relativistic jet interaction of relativistic jet with ejected material and genesis of gamma-ray emission proto-magnetar formation and emission role of neutrino oscillations production of cosmic rays and their detectability (time delay) + detection of radio emission of ejecta