The QCD equation of state at finite density, from the known to the unknown

Jan Steinheimer

with contributions from

V. Vovchenko, A. Motornenko, A. Mukherjee, S. Schramm, M. Hanauske, L. Rezzolla and H. Stöcker

05/15/2018



Motivation

The legacy of high energy nuclear physics?

Hydrogen IGba IMbar 6 <Z>=0.98 5 <7>=0.01 $\log_{10}T (K)$ metallic fluid $\alpha_d = 0.1$ α.=0.01 Ikbar 1Mbar molecular fluid 2 metallic solid CGL mol -4 -3 -2 -10 1 $\log_{10} \rho_m (g/cm^3)$

Kitamura H., Ichimaru S., J. Phys. Soc. Japan 67, 950 (1998).

Jan Steinheimer with contributions from V.

Motivation











H. Stoecker, Phys. Rev. C 81, 044913 (2010)

• Lattice QCD, for $T \ge 130$ MeV and $\mu_B/T \le \pi$.

Constraints from IQCD:

- The Interaction measure, thermodynamics at $\mu_B = 0$
- Derivatives of the pressure wrt μ_B . Expansion into finite μ_B .
- Calculations at imaginary μ .



S. Borsanyi, Z. Fodor, C. Hoelbling, S. D. Katz, S. Krieg and K. K. Szabo, Phys. Lett. B **730**, 99 (2014)

- Lattice QCD, for $T \ge 130$ MeV and $\mu_B/T \le \pi$.
- Neutron stars, for T = 0 and $\rho_B \leq 6\rho_0$.

Constraints from neutron stars:

- The mass-radius diagram.
- with the TOV equation: a unique mapping

- Lattice QCD, for $T \ge 130$ MeV and $\mu_B/T \le \pi$.
- Neutron stars, for T = 0 and $\rho_B \leq 6\rho_0$.
- Nuclear matter, for T = 0 and $\rho_B \leq 1\rho_0$.

Constraints from nuclear matter:

- Saturation density.
- Binding energies, also of hyperons
- Vacuum masses

- Lattice QCD, for $T \geq 130$ MeV and $\mu_B/T \leq \pi.$
- Neutron stars, for T = 0 and $\rho_B \leq 6\rho_0$.
- Nuclear matter, for T = 0 and $\rho_B \leq 1\rho_0$.
- Neutron star mergers, Part of this talk.

Constraints from neutron star mergers:

- The Gravitationally Driven Super Massive Ion Collider.
- Compression and heating at the same time.
- How does the EoS play in here?
- A new playground for physicists.



Credit: NASA/Swift/Dana Berry

Using only the Fourier coefficients b_k from imaginary μ_B simulations as input:

Using only the Fourier coefficients b_k from imaginary μ_B simulations as input:

- One can write the density of QCD as a cluster expansion:
- $\frac{\rho_B}{T^3} = \frac{\partial (p/T^4)}{\partial (\mu_B/T)} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k(T) \sinh\left(\frac{k \, \mu_B}{T}\right)$

Using only the Fourier coefficients b_k from imaginary μ_B simulations as input:

- One can write the density of QCD as a cluster expansion:
- $\frac{\rho_B}{T^3} = \frac{\partial(p/T^4)}{\partial(\mu_B/T)} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k(T) \sinh\left(\frac{k\,\mu_B}{T}\right)$

• Assuming the proper SB limit and using only the first two coefficients on can exactly predict finite μ_B thermodynamics

• $b_k(T) = \alpha_k \frac{[b_2(T)]^{k-1}}{[b_1(T)]^{k-2}}.$

Using only the Fourier coefficients b_k from imaginary μ_B simulations as input:

- One can write the density of QCD as a cluster expansion:
- $\frac{\rho_B}{T^3} = \frac{\partial (p/T^4)}{\partial (\mu_B/T)} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k(T) \sinh\left(\frac{k \, \mu_B}{T}\right)$
- Assuming the proper SB limit and using only the first two coefficients on can exactly predict finite μ_B thermodynamics
- $b_k(T) = \alpha_k \frac{[b_2(T)]^{k-1}}{[b_1(T)]^{k-2}}$



Using only the Fourier coefficients b_k from imaginary μ_B simulations as input:

- One can write the density of QCD as a cluster expansion:
- $\frac{\rho_B}{T^3} = \frac{\partial(p/T^4)}{\partial(\mu_B/T)} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k(T) \sinh\left(\frac{k\,\mu_B}{T}\right)$

• Assuming the proper SB limit and using only the first two coefficients on can exactly predict finite μ_B thermodynamics

• $b_k(T) = \alpha_k \frac{[b_2(T)]^{k-1}}{[b_1(T)]^{k-2}}$.



Current limitations on lattice data. Below $T=130~{\rm MeV}$ no guidance \rightarrow GSI and NSM?

Results on the possibility of a critical point

Consistent with: No critical endpoint for $\mu_B/T < \pi$

V. Vovchenko, **JS**, O. Philipsen and H. Stoecker, arXiv:1711.01261 [hep-ph], accepted by PRD.

• Here we have guidance from measured neutron star masses

Constraints at T = 0

- Here we have guidance from measured neutron star masses
- Without Radii no real constraints!



F. Özel and P. Freire, Ann. Rev. Astron. Astrophys.

Constraints at T = 0

- Here we have guidance from measured neutron star masses
- Without Radii no real constraints!
- Add constraints from PQCD.



Constraints at T = 0

- Here we have guidance from measured neutron star masses
- Without Radii no real constraints!
- Add constraints from PQCD.
- $\bullet\,$ Still missing the important region. Extension to finite temperature $\to\,$ New degrees of freedom.



Effective models

- Quark based models: (P)NJL, (P)QM, etc.
- Usually use lattice constraints

Effective models

- Use thermodynamics or order parameters
- But also susceptibilities give valuable insights
- No vector repulsion for quarks in the deconfined phase!



JS and S. Schramm, Phys. Lett. B 736, 241

(2014)

Jan Steinheimer with contributions from V.

Effective models

- Quark based models: (P)NJL, (P)QM, etc.
- Usually use lattice constraints
- Nucleon based models: Walecka type, σ - ω -models, parity-doublet models
- Usually use nuclear matter and neutron star constraints.



Effective models

- Quark based models: (P)NJL, (P)QM, etc.
- Usually use lattice constraints
- Nucleon based models: Walecka type, σ - ω -models, parity-doublet models
- Usually use nuclear matter and neutron star constraints.
- Combined models: Phase matching, Mott-dissociation or additional couplings.
- Tend to be complex/challenging

However necessary for:

- Low energy HIC (GSI, FAIR, RHIC-BES, NICA, J-PARC)
- Neutron Star Mergers!

Needs a good description of hadronic matter + the correct asymptotic degrees of freedom.

Ingredients

- Use a hadronic parity doublet approach for hadronic part.
- Consistent with lattice QCD on effective masses!



G. Aarts, C. Allton, D. De Boni, S. Hands, B. Jäger, C. Praki and J. I. Skullerud, JHEP **1706**, 034 (2017)

Ingredients

- Use a hadronic parity doublet approach for hadronic part.
- Consistent with lattice QCD on effective masses!
- $SU(3)_f$ + mesons, including a scalar σ, ζ and vector ω, ϕ interactions.
- Scalar Interaction Potential:

 $V = V_0 + \frac{1}{2}k_0I_2 - k_1I_2^2 - k_2I_4 + k_6I_6$

Ingredients

- Use a hadronic parity doublet approach for hadronic part.
- Consistent with lattice QCD on effective masses!
- $SU(3)_f$ + mesons, including a scalar σ, ζ and vector ω, ϕ interactions.
- Scalar Interaction Potential: $V = V_0 + \frac{1}{2}k_0I_2 - k_1I_2^2 - k_2I_4 + k_6I_6$
- Parameters fixed by vacuum and nuclear matter properties.



A. Mukherjee, S. Schramm, **JS** and V. Dexheimer, Astron. Astrophys. **608**, A110 (2017)

Ingredients

- Use a hadronic parity doublet approach for hadronic part.
- Consistent with lattice QCD on effective masses!
- $SU(3)_f$ + mesons, including a scalar σ, ζ and vector ω, ϕ interactions.
- Scalar Interaction Potential: $V = V_0 + \frac{1}{2}k_0I_2 - k_1I_2^2 - k_2I_4 + k_6I_6$
- Parameters fixed by vacuum and nuclear matter properties.
- Quarks and gluons are included in a Polyakov Loop inspired approach

•
$$U = -\frac{1}{2}a(T)\Phi\Phi^* + b(T)\ln[1-6\Phi\Phi^* + 4(\Phi^3 + \Phi^{*3}) - 3(\Phi\Phi^*)^2],$$

• Only single quarks. Transition appears naturally through excluded volume of hadrons.

Pressure at T = 0



A. Mukherjee, S. Schramm, **JS** and V. Dexheimer, Astron. Astrophys. **608**, A110 (2017)

Ingredients

- Use a hadronic parity doublet approach for hadronic part.
- Consistent with lattice QCD on effective masses!
- $SU(3)_f$ + mesons, including a scalar σ, ζ and vector ω, ϕ interactions.
- Scalar Interaction Potential: $V = V_0 + \frac{1}{2}k_0I_2 - k_1I_2^2 - k_2I_4 + k_6I_6$
- Parameters fixed by vacuum and nuclear matter properties.
- Quarks and gluons are included in a Polyakov Loop inspired approach
- $U = -\frac{1}{2}a(T)\Phi\Phi^* + b(T)\ln[1-6\Phi\Phi^* + 4(\Phi^3 + \Phi^{*3}) 3(\Phi\Phi^*)^2],$
- Only single quarks. Transition appears naturally through excluded volume of hadrons.

Chemical composition of neutron star matter.

A smooth transition from hadrons to quarks.



Work by Anton Motornenko.

• Fit parameters of Polyakov potential and quark couplings to lattice thermodynamics at $\mu_B = 0$.



Work by Anton Motornenko.

- Fit parameters of Polyakov potential and quark couplings to lattice thermodynamics at $\mu_B = 0$.
- Works well for the susceptibility ratios.



Work by Anton Motornenko.

- Fit parameters of Polyakov potential and quark couplings to lattice thermodynamics at $\mu_B = 0$.
- Works well for the susceptibility ratios.
- Transition is always a smooth crossover.





9/13

Applications

• This approach describes well the mass radius relation.



Applications

- This approach describes well the mass radius relation.
- All relevant degrees of freedom are included \rightarrow finite T.
- Isentropic trajectories for compression by one dimensional shock wave.



Applications

- Can be used in fluid dynamical simulations of:
- Heavy ion collisions.

Applications

- Can be used in fluid dynamical simulations of:
- Heavy ion collisions.
- Neutron Star mergers?

The CMF and neutron star mergers

- This EoS enables us to treat heavy ion collisions and NS mergers on the same footing
- What area of the phase diagram are tested and what is the overlap?

The CMF and neutron star mergers

- This EoS enables us to treat heavy ion collisions and NS mergers on the same footing
- What area of the phase diagram are tested and what is the overlap?
- Low beam energy HIC compared to NS merger simulations.
- Disclaimer: Not the same EoS used yet.



The CMF and neutron star mergers

- ۲ This EoS enables us to treat heavy ion collisions and NS mergers on the same footing
- What area of the phase diagram are tested and what is the overlap? ۰
- ۰ Low beam energy HIC compared to NS merger simulations.
- Disclaimer: Not the same EoS used vet.
- A dense and cold core with a hot hadronic corona. ۰



M. Hanauske, JS et al., J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 878, no. 1, 012031 (2017).

Summary

- The time of niche models for the EoS are over. (We are talking about p(e,n) here)
- Combined/Complex models are necessary to describe the matter in low energy HIC and neutron star mergers.
- We have to take all constraints seriously.
- Neutron star mergers and low energy ($E_{lab} < 3 \text{ A GeV}$) probe the same region in the phase diagram.

Summary

- The time of niche models for the EoS are over. (We are talking about p(e,n) here)
- Combined/Complex models are necessary to describe the matter in low energy HIC and neutron star mergers.
- We have to take all constraints seriously.
- Neutron star mergers and low energy ($E_{lab} < 3 \text{ A GeV}$) probe the same region in the phase diagram.
- Treat both on the same footing \rightarrow Combining QCD thermodynamics, relativistic fluid dynamics and GR.

Summary

- The time of niche models for the EoS are over. (We are talking about p(e,n) here)
- Combined/Complex models are necessary to describe the matter in low energy HIC and neutron star mergers.
- We have to take all constraints seriously.
- Neutron star mergers and low energy ($E_{lab} < 3 \text{ A GeV}$) probe the same region in the phase diagram.
- Treat both on the same footing \rightarrow Combining QCD thermodynamics, relativistic fluid dynamics and GR.

Future

- Extend the parity doublet model to include also the decuplett baryons \rightarrow Better description of the chiral condensate.
- Can we apply statistical/machine learning methods to determine all parameters in the model(s) consistently?
- How to interpret these complex/combined models in terms of physics?