

Status slow extraction and spill structure: plans for SIS18

D. Ondreka, GSI FAIR Experiments and Accelerators Darmstadtium, 15.12.2017



Outline

- Introduction
- Status of slow extraction
 - Machine experiments 2016
 - Theoretical understanding
- Plans for 2018
 - Further studies
 - VHF cavity for spill smoothing
- SIS18 VHF cavity
- Summary

GSI Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung GmbH

Introduction

- HIC4FAIR Workshop I (07/2015, Hamburg)
 - Spill structure issue brought up
 - Efforts to improve SIS18 spill structure recommended
- HIC4FAIR Workshop I Follow-Up (02/2016, Darmstadt)
 - Presentation of status of SIS18 spill structure
 - Review of past attempts at improving it
 - Long-term: strategy for better understanding
 - Extended machine experiment campaign
 - Identification of origin of spill ripple
 - Theoretical modeling
 - Mid-term: technical measures
 - High-frequency cavity for spill smoothing
 - Spill feedback at kHz scales using KO exciter
 - Short-term: machine experiments (2016)
 - Origin of spill ripples
 - Influence of machine parameters on sensitivity

Proposed 'Next Steps' from H4F Follow-Up

Machine Experiments 2016

Simultaneous ripple measurements

Comparison of extraction methods

Influence of chromaticity and res. strength

Spill feedback using KO?

SIS18 Slow Extraction / D. Ondreka







Status: Machine Experiments 2016

- Measurement campaign (P. Forck, P. Schmid, H. Welker, et al.)
- Influence of res. sextupole strength on ripple sensitivity
 - Sensitivity increases with res. strength
 - More details in S. Sorge's talk
- Comparison of quad driven and KO extraction
 - Unsuccessful due to technical problems
- Artificial ripple on main power converters (dipole and quadrupole)
 - Clear correlation seen
 - Quad more sensitive than dipole as expected
 - Natural ripple not fully understood quantitatively
- Spill feedback using KO
 - Not possible due to resource limitations

Success of Machine Experiments 2016	
Simultaneous ripple measurements	+
Comparison of extraction methods	-
Influence of chromaticity and res. strength	- /+
Spill feedback using KO	_

Main conclusions from experiments

- Origin of natural spill ripple not clearly identified
- Lower sextupole strength preferable, but little margin due to impact on extraction efficiency
- Further investigations necessary



Status: Theoretical Understanding

- Exchange with other labs
 - Slow extraction workshops
 - Darmstadt, 06/2016
 - CERN, 11/2017
 - Common knowledge base
 - Common effort on modeling/simulation
- BMBF proposal by beam physics group
 - PhD students for theoretical modeling
 - Benchmarking with machine experiments
- First studies by S. Sorge
 - Simulation of ripple sensitivity as function of resonance sextupole amplitude
 - See next talk





Plans for 2018: Slow Extraction from SIS18

Status quo

- Detectors with high time resolution suffer from pile-up
- Breaks in the spill are a major issue
- Diamond detectors can resolve 100ps time structure
- Stability of power supplies cannot be improved by a reasonable amount
- Substantially improving the spill structure with the available knobs has been unsuccessful
- Plans for 2018
 - Continuation of machine experiments for determination of ripple origin
 - Continuation of theoretical studies
 - Installation of a VHF cavity for spill smoothing





Plans for 2018: HADES Requirements

- Avoid pile-up
 - Detector not designed for such a high rate (intensity spikes)
 - Detector overload and aging
 - Could potentially destroy detector
- Reduce voids
 - Distribute particles more evenly across the spill
 - Decrease fluctuations around mean value
 - Provide more average intensity (crank up beam current)
- Bunching with the available cavities improves spill quality
 - But bunches can be occupied by two particles with a probability of 25%
 - Bunching at higher frequency (≥ 40MHz) is required
- Provide no more than one particle per bunch
 - Otherwise detector cannot discriminate signals (T0 determination)
- Increase of total number of detectable events by up to factor 6 estimated by reducing the voids and the pile-up

Information by courtesy of J. Pietraszko

Plans for 2018: Spill Smoothing by VHF Cavity



- Successfully used at AGS
- Spill smoothing techniques
 - Empty bucket channeling
 - Bunching at higher harmonics
- Bunched extraction at SIS18
 - Maximum bunching frequency limited to 5 MHz
 - Insufficient for experiments HADES and FRS
 - Higher frequencies (≥40MHz) require new cavity
- Installation of VHF cavity in SIS18 foreseen
 - Hardware is procured
 - Installation is scheduled for April 2018





SIS18 VHF Cavity: Status

- Project lead by Ring RF Group
- Cavity based on refurbished pill box resonator
- Introduction of a beam tube
 - Bakeable ceramic gap for UHV requirements
- Internal beam pipe reduces fundamental frequency from 108.5MHz to 79.6MHz (simulations using CST)
- Cavity can be made transparent
 - Fast detuning and reduction of shunt impedance by three orders of magnitude
 - Ceramic gap can be shorted as last resort
- Total RF power of 3kW
 - Provided by solid state amplifier
 - Calculated RF voltage 85kV (unloaded)
 - Sufficient to bunch beam completely



GSI Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung GmbH



Beam tube added



Basic parameters of VHF cavity

Frequency	79.6±1.4MHz
Harmonic number	h = 60 - 61
Gap voltage	85kV
Unloaded shunt impedance	5kΩ-5MΩ
Unloaded quality factor	3000-30.000
Vacuum pressure in beam tube	10 ⁻¹¹ mbar

9



SIS18 VHF Cavity: Installation

- Cavity installation in period S11(red dot)
 - Installation scheduled for 04/2018
- Power amplifier in reinjection channel (blue dot)
 - 25m distance to cavity
- Control racks in RRF supply room (green dot)
- Phase- and amplitude loops
 - UNILAC-LLRF components adapted to 80MHz
- Present status
 - Mechanical modifications of pill box cavity ongoing
 - Beam pipe with ceramic gap under construction
 - Installation of cables for VHF system ongoing



Information by courtesy of P. Hülsmann



SIS18 VHF Cavity: Beam Time 2018

- Proof of principle
 - Beam manipulations to be tested in machine experiments
 - Effect on spill structure to be measured with accelerator and experiment detectors
 - Operation with small to moderate intensities (10⁶ - 10⁷ particles/s)
- Main criteria for success
 - Reduction of pile-up
 - Reduction of spikes and voids
 - Improvement of max/average
- Collaboration with experimentalists
 - Identify their criteria on spill quality
 - Test influence of VHF cavity on those
- Next steps
 - If the pilot study proves successful design a dedicated VHF cavity



Summary

- Status of slow extraction from SIS18
 - Machine experiments performed in 2016
 - Theoretical studies resulted in better understanding of ripple sensitivity
 - Origin of spill ripple not completely understood
 - Exchange with other labs to improve understanding and tools
- Plans for 2018
 - Continuation of spill ripple investigations
 - Increased efforts for modeling through PhD students
 - Installation of spill smoothing cavity
- SIS18 VHF cavity for spill smoothing
 - Presently under construction
 - Installation foreseen for April 2018
 - To be tested in collaboration with experiments

12



Acknowledgements

Thanks for your attention!

I'd like to acknowledge all colleagues who contributed to the project of investigating and improving the spill structure from SIS18 and whose work I presented here, especially P. Forck, H. Welker, P. Hülsmann, J. Pietraszko, P. Schmid, S. Sorge.

I'd also like to thank the many more colleagues from various departments who did their share in the project, especially the colleagues from Accelerator Operations, Beam Diagnostics, Electrical Power Systems, Mechanics, Ring RF, System Design, Transport & Installation, and Vacuum Systems, as well as the colleagues from the various experimental groups.

Finally, I'd like to express my apologies to all those I may not have mentioned. Their collaboration is greatly appreciated!

13