▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

Conclusion



Update on Time Resolution Improvement of Single Scintillator Tile for PANDA Barrel TOF

Nicolaus Kratochwil¹ on behalf of the PANDA SciTil group

¹Stefan Meyer Institute, Vienna

PANDA Collaboration Meeting

08 June 2017

TIPP 201

Conclusion



SiPM characteristics for radiation test

Single Scintillator Tile improvement

TIPP 2017

Conclusion



Impact of Radiation on SiPM performance

- NIEL hypothese: Radiation damage is proportional to non ionising energy loss. Normalisation to 1 MeV neutron.
- Expected dose over 10 years of PANDA lifetime: φ_{eq} ≈ 9 · 10 ¹⁰ n_{eq}/ cm².
- Summary of radiation studies:
 - Dark count rate increases linearly with dose.
 - Dark current increases, loss of photon counting ability.
 - V. A. Kaplin simulates radiation damage: time resolution deteriorates $\approx 30 60\%$.
- open issues: Dependency of radiation damage on time resolution depending on: recovery time, size, type of SiPM.

SiPM parameters

The following parameters should be determined to characterize the SiPMs before, after and during irradiation.

- Leakage current
- Dark count rate
- Breakdown voltage
- · Photon counting capability
- Gain
- · Time resolution

All parameters will be determined in dependency of the bias voltage. The time resolution is one of the most important parameters for SciTil. Time resolution has not yet been considered in other radiation studies.

Lukas Gruber: Radiation damage studies of SiPMs for the SciTil detector of PANDA

Analysis of measurement before radiation is ongoing, not final results. Joint work with JLU Gießen.

Single Scintillator Tile improvement

TIPP 20

Conclusion

Setup

- 6 MPPC tested: 3x \$13360-75PE (75µm), \$13360-3025PE (25µm), \$10931-025P, ASD-SiPM 35-P-50.
- Pulsed laser light, 100 kHz.
- Diffuser distributes light homogeneous over the SiPM.
- Set laser light to ≈ 1 photon/event.
- SiPM signal amplified, LeCroy Oscilloscope 735Zi with 40 Gs/s for data acquiring.
- Room temperature.
- For each SiPM 3 Measurements:
 - I/V curve.
 - Pulse height spectrum.
 - Single photon time resolution.





▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

Single Scintillator Tile improvement

TIPP 201

Conclusion

Measurement

- Leakage current $\rightarrow I/V$ curve.
- Breakdown voltage $\rightarrow d \log(I)/d V$.







Pulse height Spectrum for different Bias Voltage

- Gain
- Photon counting capability → separation of single photon peaks.
- Breakdown voltage → extrapolate to separation of single photon peaks = 0.





900

Single Photon Time Resolution

- Set threshold to 1 p.e. → Single Photon Time Resolution.
- Use laser signal for time reference.
- Take time difference of laser signal and SiPM.
- Measurement dependent on over-voltage.







◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Conclusion

Single Scintillator Tile improvement

- SciTil Design: 87 x 29.4 x 5 mm³, 4 SiPM on each end.
- Study on the Scintillator Material (EJ 228, EJ
 - 232, EJ 232.Q.05).
 - Signal Rise time → affects time resolution.
 - Light output affects detector performance.
- Study impact of Scintillator thickness (3, 4, 5,

6 mm).

- Small Scintillator thickness → less photons produced.
- Find optimum in material budget vs. time resolution.
- Study effect of polishing.
- Order of different samples to test.

PROPERTY	EJ-232	EJ-232Q (% Benzophenone)				
PROPERTIES						
Light Output (% Anthracene)	55	19	- 11	5	- 4	3
Scintillation Efficiency (photons/1 MeV e ⁻)	8,400	2,900	1,700	770	610	460
Wavelength of Maximum Emission (nm)	370	370	370	370	370	370
Rise Time (ps)	350	110	105	100	100	100
Decay Time (ps)	1600	700	700	700	700	700
Pulse Width, FWHM (ps)	1300	360	290	260	240	220

PROPERTIES	E]-228	EJ-230
Light Output (% Anthracene)	67	64
Scintillation Efficiency (photons/1 MeV e ⁻)	10,200	9,700
Wavelength of Maximum Emission (nm)	391	391
Light Attenuation Length (cm)		120
Rise Time (ns)	0.5	0.5
Decay Time (ns)	1.4	1.5
Pulse Width, FWHM (ns)	1.2	1.3

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

SiPM characteristics for radiation test

Single Scintillator Tile improvement

TIPP 2017

Conclusion

Introduction	Barrel TOF Detector	Design	Performance Evaluation of Single Tile	Conclusion & Outlook
		0	00	
			õ	

Barrel time-of-flight (TOF) detector for the \bar{P} ANDA experiment at FAIR

K. Suzuki ¹, D. Steinschaden ¹, S. Zimmermann ^{1 2}, <u>N. Kratochwil</u> ¹, L. Gruber ³, C. Schwarz ⁴, H. Orth ⁴,
L. Schmitt ⁵, K. Gtzen ⁴, K. Brinkmann ², A. Lehmann ⁶, M. Bhm ⁶, K. Dutta ⁷, K. Kalita ⁷, M. Chirita ¹

¹Stefan Meyer Institute, Vienna ²Justus-Liebig Universit Gien ³CERN, Geneva ⁴GSI, Darmstadt ⁵FAIR, Darmstadt ⁶Friedrich Alexander Universit Erlangen ⁷Gauhati University, Guwahati

International Conference on Technology and Instrumentation in Particle Physics

23 May 2017

- How to calculate time resolution.
- Temperature of Detector, cooling.

- How to fix SiPM to SciTil.
- Why not using smaller single tiles.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ の○○

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

Interesting talks

- PANDA:
 - Roman Dzygadlo, Cherenkov Group: The Barrel DIRC Detector for the PANDA Experiment at FAIR.
 - Mustafa Schmidt: Particle Identification with Disc DIRC at PANDA
- MEG II:
 - Gianantonio Pezzullo, calorimeter group: *Design, status and perspective of the Mu2e crystal calorimeter*
 - Ivano Sarra, calorimeter group: The Mu2e calorimeter SiPMs
 - Mitsutaka Nakao: *Results from Pilot Run for MEG II Positron Timing Counter*

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Conclusion

- Start working on radiation hardness performance test.
- Expect $\approx 30\%$ worse time resolution after radiation dose due to increase of dark count rate.
- Order of new prototypes for laboratory test and beam time.
- SciTil detector represented at TIPP2017 (Beijing).