Functional renormalization and ultracold quantum gases

Stefan Flörchinger (Heidelberg)

EMMI Workshop, Riezlern 2009

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●



イロン イ理ト イヨト イヨン

Э



・ロト ・ 御 ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト …

3

• There are many different phenomena.



イロン イロン イヨン イヨン

- There are many different phenomena.
- We look for a unified description.



・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

- There are many different phenomena.
- We look for a unified description.
- We look for an intuitive description.



- There are many different phenomena.
- We look for a unified description.
- We look for an intuitive description.
- Einstein: Everything should be made as simple as possible.

・ロト ・聞ト ・ヨト ・ヨト



- There are many different phenomena.
- We look for a unified description.
- We look for an intuitive description.
- Einstein: Everything should be made as simple as possible.

FIELD THEORY.

イロン イロン イヨン イヨン 三日



- There are many different phenomena.
- We look for a unified description.
- We look for an intuitive description.
- Einstein: Everything should be made as simple as possible. But not simpler.

FIELD THEORY.



- There are many different phenomena.
- We look for a unified description.
- We look for an intuitive description.
- Einstein: Everything should be made as simple as possible. But not simpler.

イロン イロン イヨン イヨン 三日

QUANTUM FIELD THEORY.

Classical field theory

- Describes electro-magnetic fields, waves, ... $(\hbar \rightarrow 0)$.
- Crucial object: classical action

$$S[\phi] = \int dt \int d^d x \ \mathcal{L}(\phi, \partial_t \phi, \vec{\nabla} \phi, \dots)$$

- Classical field equations from $\frac{\delta S}{\delta \phi} = 0$.
- Symmetries of S lead to conserved currents.
- All physical observables are easily obtained from S.

Quantum field theory

- Describes also electrons, atoms, quarks, gluons, protons,...
- Crucial object: quantum effective action

$$\Gamma[\phi] = \int dt \int d^d x \ U(\phi) + \dots$$

- Quantum field equations from $\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\phi} = 0$.
- Symmetries of Γ lead to conserved currents.
- All physical observables are easily obtained from Γ .
- Γ is generating functional of 1-PI Feynman diagrams and depends on external parameters like T, μ , or \vec{B} .

How do we obtain the quantum effective action $\Gamma[\phi]$?

Idea of functional renormalization: $\Gamma[\phi] \rightarrow \Gamma_k[\phi]$

 \bullet k is additional infrared cutoff parameter.

•
$$\Gamma_k[\phi] \to \Gamma[\phi]$$
 for $k \to 0$.

•
$$\Gamma_k[\phi] \to S[\phi]$$
 for $k \to \infty$

• Dependence on T,μ or \vec{B} trivial for $k\to\infty.$



・ロト ・聞ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

э

$\Gamma[\phi]$ and the grand canonical ensemble

Functional integral representation of the partition function

$$Z = e^{-\beta\Omega_G} = \operatorname{Tr} e^{-\beta(H-\mu N)} = \int D\chi \, e^{-S[\chi]}.$$

Generalization with $J = \frac{\delta}{\delta \phi} \Gamma_k[\phi]$

$$e^{-\Gamma_k[\phi]} = \int D\chi \, e^{-S[\phi+\chi] + J\chi - \frac{1}{2}\chi \, R_k \, \chi}$$

- R_k is an infrared cutoff function
 - suppresses all fluctuations $R_k \to \infty$ for $k \to \infty$.
 - is removed $R_k \to 0$ for $k \to 0$.
- Γ_k[φ] is the average action or flowing action.
- Grand canonical potential is obtained from $\beta \Omega_G = \Gamma_k[\phi]$ for k = 0 and J = 0.

How the flowing action flows

Simple and exact flow equation (Wetterich 1993)

$$\partial_k \Gamma_k[\phi] = \frac{1}{2} \mathsf{STr} \, \left(\Gamma_k^{(2)}[\phi] + R_k \right)^{-1} \partial_k R_k.$$

- Differential equation for a functional.
- For most cases not solvable exactly.
- Approximate solutions can be found from Truncations.
 - Ansatz for Γ_k with a finite number of parameters.
 - Derive ordinary differential equations for this parameters or couplings from the flow equation for Γ_k .

• Solve these equations numerically.

Lagrangians

We use a local field theory to describe the microscopic model. Examples:

Ø Bose gas with pointlike interaction

$$\mathcal{L} = \varphi^* \left(\partial_\tau - \vec{\nabla}^2 - \mu \right) \varphi + \frac{1}{2} \lambda \left(\varphi^* \varphi \right)^2.$$

Fermions in the BCS-BEC-Crossover

$$\mathcal{L} = \psi^{\dagger}(\partial_{\tau} - \vec{\nabla}^2 - \mu)\psi + \varphi^*(\partial_{\tau} - \frac{1}{2}\vec{\nabla}^2 - 2\mu + \nu)\varphi$$
$$-h(\varphi^*\psi_1\psi_2 + h.c.).$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ・三 ・ のへぐ

These are effective theories on the length scale of the Bohr radius or van-der-Waals length.

Symmetries of nonrelativistic field theories

- U(1) for particle number conservation.
- Translations and Rotations.
- Galilean boost transformations.
- Possibly conformal symmetries.
- U(1) and Galilean invariance are broken spontaneously by a Bose-Einstein condensate.

• Galilean invariance is broken explicitly for T > 0.

For many purposes *derivative expansions* are suitable approximations. For example we use for the BCS-BEC Crossover

$$\Gamma_k = \int_{\tau,\vec{x}} \left\{ \psi^{\dagger} (\partial_{\tau} - \vec{\nabla}^2 - \mu) \psi + \varphi^* (Z_{\varphi} \partial_{\tau} - A_{\varphi} \frac{1}{2} \vec{\nabla}^2) \varphi - h(\varphi^* \psi_1 \psi_2 + h.c.) + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{\psi} (\psi^{\dagger} \psi)^2 + U_k (\varphi^* \varphi, \mu) \right\}$$

For many purposes *derivative expansions* are suitable approximations. For example we use for the BCS-BEC Crossover

$$\Gamma_k = \int_{\tau, \vec{x}} \left\{ \psi^{\dagger} (\partial_{\tau} - \vec{\nabla}^2 - \mu) \psi + \varphi^* (Z_{\varphi} \partial_{\tau} - A_{\varphi} \frac{1}{2} \vec{\nabla}^2) \varphi - h(\varphi^* \psi_1 \psi_2 + h.c.) + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{\psi} (\psi^{\dagger} \psi)^2 + U_k (\varphi^* \varphi, \mu) \right\}$$

• The coefficients Z_{φ} , A_{φ} , λ_{ψ} , h and the effective potential U_k are scale-dependent.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

For many purposes *derivative expansions* are suitable approximations. For example we use for the BCS-BEC Crossover

$$\Gamma_k = \int_{\tau, \vec{x}} \left\{ \psi^{\dagger} (\partial_{\tau} - \vec{\nabla}^2 - \mu) \psi + \varphi^* (Z_{\varphi} \partial_{\tau} - A_{\varphi} \frac{1}{2} \vec{\nabla}^2) \varphi - h(\varphi^* \psi_1 \psi_2 + h.c.) + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{\psi} (\psi^{\dagger} \psi)^2 + U_k (\varphi^* \varphi, \mu) \right\}$$

- The coefficients Z_{φ} , A_{φ} , λ_{ψ} , h and the effective potential U_k are scale-dependent.
- The effective potential U_k contains no derivatives describes homogeneous fields.

For many purposes *derivative expansions* are suitable approximations. For example we use for the BCS-BEC Crossover

$$\Gamma_k = \int_{\tau,\vec{x}} \left\{ \psi^{\dagger} (\partial_{\tau} - \vec{\nabla}^2 - \mu) \psi + \varphi^* (Z_{\varphi} \partial_{\tau} - A_{\varphi} \frac{1}{2} \vec{\nabla}^2) \varphi - h(\varphi^* \psi_1 \psi_2 + h.c.) + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{\psi} (\psi^{\dagger} \psi)^2 + U_k (\varphi^* \varphi, \mu) \right\}$$

- The coefficients Z_{φ} , A_{φ} , λ_{ψ} , h and the effective potential U_k are scale-dependent.
- The effective potential U_k contains no derivatives describes homogeneous fields.
- Wave-function renormalization and self-energy corrections for fermions can be included as well.

The effective potential

• We use a Taylor expansion around the minimum ho_0

$$U_k(\varphi^*\varphi) = -p + m^2 \left(\varphi^*\varphi - \rho_0\right) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda \left(\varphi^*\varphi - \rho_0\right)^2.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の��

The effective potential

• We use a Taylor expansion around the minimum ho_0

$$U_k(\varphi^*\varphi) = -p + m^2 \left(\varphi^*\varphi - \rho_0\right) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda \left(\varphi^*\varphi - \rho_0\right)^2.$$

• Symmetry breaking:



 $T > T_c$

 $T < T_c$

 $T \ll T_c$

The effective potential

• We use a Taylor expansion around the minimum ho_0

$$U_k(\varphi^*\varphi) = -p + m^2 \left(\varphi^*\varphi - \rho_0\right) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda \left(\varphi^*\varphi - \rho_0\right)^2.$$

• Typical flow:



▲ロト ▲圖ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト ニヨー のへで

• Information on phase diagram is contained in form of the effective potential $U(\rho, \mu, T)$ at macroscopic scale.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

• Information on phase diagram is contained in form of the effective potential $U(\rho, \mu, T)$ at macroscopic scale.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

• Very nice generalization of Landau's theory!

• Information on phase diagram is contained in form of the effective potential $U(\rho, \mu, T)$ at macroscopic scale.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- Very nice generalization of Landau's theory!
- Examples:

- Information on phase diagram is contained in form of the effective potential $U(\rho, \mu, T)$ at macroscopic scale.
- Very nice generalization of Landau's theory!
- Examples: BCS-BEC Crossover

(Floerchinger, Scherer, Diehl and Wetterich, PRB 78, 174528 (2008).)



- Information on phase diagram is contained in form of the effective potential $U(\rho, \mu, T)$ at macroscopic scale.
- Very nice generalization of Landau's theory!
- Examples: Superfluid Bose gas in d = 2Floerchinger and Wetterich, PRA 79, 013601 (2009).



$$dU = -dp = -s \, dT - n \, d\mu$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のQ@

take derivatives e. g. for Bose gas in d=3 (Floerchinger and Wetterich, PRA 79, 063602 (2009))

$$dU = -dp = -s \, dT - n \, d\mu$$

take derivatives e. g. for Bose gas in d = 3 (Floerchinger and Wetterich, PRA 79, 063602 (2009))

• entropy density
$$s = -\frac{\partial U}{\partial T}$$
,

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >



$$dU = -dp = -s \, dT - n \, d\mu$$

take derivatives e. g. for Bose gas in d = 3 (Floerchinger and Wetterich, PRA 79, 063602 (2009))



< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

OTT

$$\epsilon = -p + Ts + \mu n,$$

$$dU = -dp = -s \, dT - n \, d\mu$$

take derivatives e. g. for Bose gas in d = 3 (Floerchinger and Wetterich, PRA 79, 063602 (2009))



• entropy density $s = -\frac{\partial U}{\partial T}$,

-

• energy density

$$\epsilon = -p + Ts + \mu n,$$

• specific heat c_v ,

$$dU = -dp = -s \, dT - n \, d\mu$$

take derivatives e. g. for Bose gas in d = 3 (Floerchinger and Wetterich, PRA 79, 063602 (2009))



- entropy density $s = -\frac{\partial U}{\partial T}$,
- energy density

$$\epsilon = -p + Ts + \mu n,$$

- specific heat c_v ,
- isoth. compressibility κ_T ,

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト

$$dU = -dp = -s \, dT - n \, d\mu$$

take derivatives e. g. for Bose gas in d = 3 (Floerchinger and Wetterich, PRA 79, 063602 (2009))



- entropy density $s = -\frac{\partial U}{\partial T}$,
- energy density

$$\epsilon = -p + Ts + \mu n,$$

- specific heat c_v,
- isoth. compressibility κ_T ,
- adiab. compressibility κ_S ,

$$dU = -dp = -s \, dT - n \, d\mu$$

take derivatives e. g. for Bose gas in d = 3 (Floerchinger and Wetterich, PRA 79, 063602 (2009))



- entropy density $s = -\frac{\partial U}{\partial T}$,
- energy density

$$\epsilon = -p + Ts + \mu n,$$

- specific heat c_v ,
- isoth. compressibility κ_T ,
- adiab. compressibility κ_S ,

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト

velocity of sound I,

$$dU = -dp = -s \, dT - n \, d\mu$$

take derivatives e. g. for Bose gas in d = 3 (Floerchinger and Wetterich, PRA 79, 063602 (2009))



• entropy density $s = -\frac{\partial U}{\partial T}$,

energy density

$$\epsilon = -p + Ts + \mu n,$$

- specific heat c_v ,
- isoth. compressibility κ_T ,
- adiab. compressibility κ_S ,

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト

- velocity of sound I,
- velocity of sound II,

$$dU = -dp = -s \, dT - n \, d\mu$$

take derivatives e. g. for Bose gas in d = 3 (Floerchinger and Wetterich, PRA 79, 063602 (2009))



- entropy density $s = -\frac{\partial U}{\partial T}$,
- energy density

$$\epsilon = -p + Ts + \mu n,$$

- specific heat c_v,
- isoth. compressibility κ_T ,
- adiab. compressibility κ_S ,

- velocity of sound I,
- velocity of sound II,
- correlation length.

• 1 component Fermi gas - no s-wave interaction

• 1 component Fermi gas - no s-wave interaction

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

• 2 component Fermi gas - BCS-BEC crossover

• 1 component Fermi gas - no s-wave interaction

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のQ@

- 2 component Fermi gas BCS-BEC crossover
- 3 component Fermi gas ??

- 1 component Fermi gas no s-wave interaction
- 2 component Fermi gas BCS-BEC crossover
- 3 component Fermi gas ??
 - Three-body problem: Efimov effect (Efimov 1970, Review: Braaten and Hammer 2006)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- 1 component Fermi gas no s-wave interaction
- 2 component Fermi gas BCS-BEC crossover
- 3 component Fermi gas ??
 - Three-body problem: Efimov effect (Efimov 1970, Review: Braaten and Hammer 2006)
 - On the lattice: Trion formation (Rapp, Zarand, Honerkamp and Hofstetter 2007)

Three component Fermi gas

• For equal masses, densities etc. global SU(3) symmetry

$$\begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \psi_3 \end{pmatrix} \to u \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \psi_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad u \in \mathsf{SU}(3).$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへぐ

Similar to flavor symmetry in the Standard model!

Three component Fermi gas

• For equal masses, densities etc. global SU(3) symmetry

$$\begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \psi_3 \end{pmatrix} \to u \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \psi_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad u \in \mathsf{SU}(3).$$

Similar to flavor symmetry in the Standard model!

- \bullet For small scattering length $|a| \to 0$
 - BCS (a < 0) or BEC (a > 0) superfluidity at small T.
 - order parameter is conjugate triplet $\bar{\mathbf{3}}$ under SU(3)

$$\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1 \\ \varphi_2 \\ \varphi_3 \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \psi_2 \psi_3 \\ \psi_3 \psi_1 \\ \psi_1 \psi_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

• SU(3) symmetry is broken spontaneously for $\varphi \neq 0$.

Three component Fermi gas

• For equal masses, densities etc. global SU(3) symmetry

$$\begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \psi_3 \end{pmatrix} \to u \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \psi_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad u \in \mathsf{SU}(3).$$

Similar to flavor symmetry in the Standard model!

- \bullet For small scattering length $|a| \to 0$
 - BCS (a < 0) or BEC (a > 0) superfluidity at small T.
 - order parameter is conjugate triplet $\bar{\mathbf{3}}$ under SU(3)

$$\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1 \\ \varphi_2 \\ \varphi_3 \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \psi_2 \psi_3 \\ \psi_3 \psi_1 \\ \psi_1 \psi_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

• SU(3) symmetry is broken spontaneously for $\varphi \neq 0$. • What happens for large |a|? Simple truncation for fermions with three components

$$\Gamma_{k} = \int_{x} \psi^{\dagger} (\partial_{\tau} - \vec{\nabla}^{2} - \mu) \psi + \varphi^{\dagger} (\partial_{\tau} - \frac{1}{2} \vec{\nabla}^{2} + m_{\varphi}^{2}) \varphi$$
$$+ \chi^{*} (\partial_{\tau} - \frac{1}{3} \vec{\nabla}^{2} + m_{\chi}^{2}) \chi$$
$$+ h \epsilon_{ijk} (\varphi_{i}^{*} \psi_{j} \psi_{k} + h.c.) + g(\varphi_{i} \psi_{i}^{*} \chi + h.c.).$$

- Units are such that $\hbar = k_B = 2M = 1$
- Wavefunction renormalization for ψ , φ and χ is implicit.
- Γ_k contains terms for
 - fermion field $\psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_3)$ • bosonic field $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3) \sim (\psi_2 \psi_3, \psi_3 \psi_1, \psi_1 \psi_2)$ • trion field $\chi \sim \psi_1 \psi_2 \psi_3$

$$\psi_i$$
 ψ_i χ h g

"Refermionization"

• Trion field is introduced via a generalized Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation



• Fermion-boson coupling is regenerated by the flow



• Use scale dependent field $\partial_k \chi \sim \psi_i \varphi_i$ to express this again by trion exchange.

(Gies and Wetterich 2002, Pawlowski 2008, Floerchinger and Wetterich 2009)

Binding energies







- Binding energy per atom for
 - molecule or dimer φ (dashed line)
 - trion or trimer χ (solid line)
- For large scattering length *a* trion is energetically favorable!
- Three-body bound state even for a < 0.

Quantum phase diagram



BCS-Trion-BEC transition

(Floerchinger, Schmidt, Moroz and Wetterich, PRA 79, 013603 (2009)).

- $a \to 0_-$: Cooper pairs, $SU(3) \times U(1) \to SU(2) \times U(1)$.
- $a \to 0_+$: BEC of molecules, $SU(3) \times U(1) \to SU(2) \times U(1)$.

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ● ● ● ● ●

- $a \to \pm \infty$: Trion phase, SU(3) unbroken.
- Quantum phase transitions
 - from BCS to Trion phase
 - from Trion to BEC phase.

Efimov effect



- Self-similarity in energy spectrum.
- Efimov trimers become more and more shallow. At $a = \infty$

$$E_{n+1} = e^{-2\pi/s_0} E_n.$$

- Simple truncation: $s_0 \approx 0.82$.
- Advanced truncation: $s_0 \approx 1.006$ (Moroz, Floerchinger, Schmidt and Wetterich, PRA, **79**, 042705 (2009)),

Renormalization group limit cycle

• For $\mu = 0$ and $a^{-1} = 0$ flow equations for rescaled couplings

$$k\frac{\partial}{\partial k} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{g}^2\\ \tilde{m}_{\chi}^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 7/25 & -13/25\\ 36/25 & 7/25 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{g}^2\\ \tilde{m}_{\chi}^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

• Solution is log-periodic in scale.



• Every zero-crossing of \tilde{m}_{χ}^2 corresponds to a new bound state.

Conclusions

• Functional renormalization is a useful method to describe ultracold quantum gases.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- Quantitative precision seems reachable.
- Unified description of
 - Bosons and Fermions,
 - Weak and strong coupling,
 - Few-Body and Many-Body physics.