### The Monitor Systems of the Cluster-Jet Target

#### Ann-Katrin Hergemöller

Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität Münster, Institut für Kernphysik PANDA Collaboration Meeting, December 7th 2016



#### Münster Setup of the Cluster Target





# Monitoring system in scattering chamber Scanning rod system

- Set successfully into operation
- Determination of cluster beam properties easily possible
- Highest pressure increase corresponds to cluster beam thickness



# Monitoring system in scattering chamber Scanning rod system

- Set successfully into operation
- Determination of cluster beam properties easily possible
- Highest pressure increase corresponds to cluster beam thickness



4/15

#### Monitoring system in scattering chamber Scanning rod system

- Set successfully into operation
- Determination of cluster beam properties easily possible
- Highest pressure increase corresponds to cluster beam thickness



# Optical Monitoring System

 $\Rightarrow$ Transition vacuum chamber

 Installation of an optical monitoring system consisting of diode laser and two CCD cameras

Software and installation realized by Bachelor student M. Seifert

 $\bullet\,$  Possibility to monitor cluster beam without influence of the cluster beam itself in a distance of 35  ${\rm cm}\,$  from nozzle

6/15

• Intensity of image corresponds directly to thickness



## Optical Monitoring System

Measurement procedure

- Record two pictures (beam off, beam on)
- Make projections
- Projection in y direction corresponds to cluster beam thickness
- Fit the projection
- Calibration with system of scattering chamber



beam direction  $\leftarrow$ 

### **Optical Monitoring System**

Measurement procedure

- Record two pictures (beam off, beam on)
- Make projections
- Projection in y direction corresponds to cluster beam thickness
- Fit the projection
- Calibration with system of scattering chamber



$$f_{\rm erf}(y) = I_0 \cdot f_e(y - y_0) + I_0$$

with

$$f_{\mathsf{e}}(y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathsf{d}x \int_{y-\frac{d}{2}}^{y+\frac{d}{2}} \mathsf{d}y \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \mathsf{erf}\left(\frac{r-R}{s}\right)\right)$$

- *I*<sub>0</sub>: maximum intensity
- IU: background intensity
- R: radius
- s: smearing parameter

#### Optical Monitoring System Graphical User Interface



• All data is logged  $\Rightarrow$  can be provided for whole experiment

#### Optical Monitoring System First Measurements

- $\bullet\,$  Measurement series at 17 bar & 35 K
- $\bullet\,$  Radius constant over time & corresponds to calculated value of  $\approx 1,2\,\mathrm{mm}$
- Position constant over time
- ullet Further adjustments necessary ightarrow beam not in the center of TVC



### Beam stability Prototype



E Köhler, PhD Thesis, WWU Münster 2015

- Same nozzle like in the final target
- Beam is stable over hours and days

#### Beam stability Optical Monitoring system – final Target

- $\bullet\,$  Measurement series at 17 bar & 35 K
- Beam stability and thickness can be monitored easily



- Cluster target newly set into operation
- $\Rightarrow$  Intensity of the cluster beam not constant!

- Cluster beam is not stable at liquid stagnation conditions
- Gas flow decreases with time  $\rightarrow$  nozzle freezing?
- Example: 10 bar & 24 K
- Visible with camera system of skimmer chamber (Pictures recorded every 5 s)



- Cluster beam is not stable at liquid stagnation conditions
- Gas flow decreases with time  $\rightarrow$  nozzle freezing?
- Example: 10 bar & 24 K
- Visible with camera system of skimmer chamber (Pictures recorded every 5 s)



- Cluster beam is not stable at liquid stagnation conditions
- Gas flow decreases with time  $\rightarrow$  nozzle freezing?
- Example: 10 bar & 24 K
- Visible with camera system of skimmer chamber (Pictures recorded every 5 s)



- Cluster beam is not stable at liquid stagnation conditions
- Gas flow decreases with time  $\rightarrow$  nozzle freezing?
- Example: 10 bar & 24 K
- Visible with camera system of skimmer chamber (Pictures recorded every 5 s)



- Cluster beam is not stable at liquid stagnation conditions
- Gas flow decreases with time  $\rightarrow$  nozzle freezing?
- Example: 10 bar & 24 K
- Visible with camera system of skimmer chamber (Pictures recorded every 5 s)



- Cluster beam is not stable at liquid stagnation conditions
- Gas flow decreases with time  $\rightarrow$  nozzle freezing?
- Example: 10 bar & 24 K
- Visible with camera system of skimmer chamber (Pictures recorded every 5 s)



- Cluster beam is not stable at liquid stagnation conditions
- Gas flow decreases with time  $\rightarrow$  nozzle freezing?
- Example: 10 bar & 24 K
- Visible with camera system of skimmer chamber (Pictures recorded every 5 s)



- Cluster beam is not stable at liquid stagnation conditions
- Gas flow decreases with time  $\rightarrow$  nozzle freezing?
- Example: 10 bar & 24 K
- Visible with camera system of skimmer chamber (Pictures recorded every 5 s)



- Cluster beam is not stable at liquid stagnation conditions
- Gas flow decreases with time  $\rightarrow$  nozzle freezing?
- Example: 10 bar & 24 K
- Visible with camera system of skimmer chamber (Pictures recorded every 5 s)



- Cluster beam is not stable at liquid stagnation conditions
- Gas flow decreases with time  $\rightarrow$  nozzle freezing?
- Example: 10 bar & 24 K
- Visible with camera system of skimmer chamber (Pictures recorded every 5 s)



- Cluster beam is not stable at liquid stagnation conditions
- Gas flow decreases with time  $\rightarrow$  nozzle freezing?
- Example: 10 bar & 24 K
- Visible with camera system of skimmer chamber (Pictures recorded every 5 s)



- Assumption: hydrogen freezes in outlet zone of nozzle
- $\rightarrow\,$  Reduced gas flow
- $\rightarrow$  Moving of core beam
  - Possible solution: partial heating of nozzle outlet



• Nozzle heater: several wire loops in a aluminum holder

o ...

- Tests with nozzle heater
- Improvement of the beam stability
- Adjustments of skimmer, collimator and spherical joint to achieve highest thicknesses
- Determination of vacuum conditions (IP, beam dump...)

