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The Experiment

- **Compressed Baryonic Matter**: a heavy-ion experiment at the future facility FAIR in Darmstadt
- Fixed-target operation on extracted beams, 2 – 45 GeV/nucleon
- Spectrometer: silicon tracking system in a dipole magnetic field
- Hadron, lepton and photon ID: RICH, Muon System, TRD, TOF, ECAL
- Observables: yields, spectra, flow, correlations, fluctuations of bulk hadrons, multi-strange hyperons, open charm and charmonium; low-mass di-leptons
- First beam in 2022
Characteristics

- Versatility: exchange or replace detector systems according to physics aim (e.g. electrons / muons) or conditions (beam energy)

- Complexity: up to 600 charged tracks per collision in the acceptance

- Capability: up to $10^7$ collisions per second
The Rate Problem

• CBM targets at extremely rare probes, which necessitates very high interaction rates (design rate 10 MHz).
• That entails a raw data rate of up to 1 TB/s.
• To be reduced online to a storage rate of several GB/s.
• Trigger signatures are mostly complex (e.g. weak cascade decays) and cannot be realized in hardware.
• Readout concept:
  – No hardware trigger
  – Self-triggered front-end electronics deliver time-stamped data
  – Data-push architecture to online compute farm
  – Event reconstruction and –selection to be performed on CPU
• Data are aggregated and pre-processed in an FPGA layer near the experiment.
• Time-slice building is performed on CPU (input nodes).
• Event reconstruction and –selection is performed in real-time on CPU (compute nodes) in the GSI ”Green Cube” (already existing at GSI).
Consequences for Online Computing

• Reconstruction does not start from events (defined by hardware trigger) but from „time slices“ containing many events.
  – size of time slice adjusted to architecture of compute farm
  – typical value: 100 MB (1000 Au+Au events @ 10A GeV)
  – one time slice delivered to one compute node; avoid intercommunication between compute nodes
  – events can overlap in time; no trivial event definition: ”4-D reconstruction”

• All online algorithms have to be extremely fast
  – Trivial data-level parallelism for time slices (one time slice per node)
  – Use massive parallelisation also within one node (many-core CPU/GPU/...)
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Parallelisation Within a Time Slice

Task Level Parallelism

- MVD hit finding
- STS cluster finding
- RICH ring finding
- TRD hit finding
- TOF hit finding
- ECAL cluster finding

STS hit finding

STS track finding
- track fitting

TRD track finding
- track fitting

Global Tracking

Vertexing, PID

Data Level Parallel.
Track finding is performed on a stream of hits. Events can be defined based on found tracks.

Good scalability of algorithm with multi-threading on many core CPUs.
Framework and Data Model

- CBM uses the FairRoot framework (built on ROOT) for simulation, reconstruction and analysis
- The current data model is based on the ROOT TTree.
  - Different data branches: raw data (digis), clusters, hits, tracks, vertices, ...
  - A “run” produces an output tree from an input tree
- Conventionally, one tree entry corresponds to one event (collision)
- We have to deal with both time slices and events (at the same time)
  - In simulation: convert events (Monte-Carlo) into time slices (destroy association of data to events)
  - In reconstruction: reconstruct events from time slices
Event Data Model

- No data copy when associating data to event
- Small overhead (one pointer/index per data object)
- Events can be defined based on any data level
- Algorithms are flexible to run on entire time slice (4-d reco) or on defined events (analysis)
- Ideal case (event-by-event) described in the same format (one event per time slice)
Ideas on Offline Computing

- Raw data volume per typical runtime (2 months): about 5 PB
- Limiting factor will not be computing capacity but storage costs
- Ansatz: store only raw data
  - For offline analysis: reconstruct on-the-fly
  - Assumes fast online algorithms deliver close-to-final precision
- Storage model is time slice with raw data, skimmed online from “uninteresting” data
- Consequence: no formal difference of online and offline algorithms
  - Use same framework
- But: no support of concurrency in the current ROOT-based framework
Outlook: A Concurrency Framework

- **FairMQ**: extension of FairRoot with a message queue-based data transport framework, providing asynchronous inter-process communication
- Promises flexibility w.r.t. architecture and data model
- Will be explored by CBM in the near future
Summary: Computing Challenges for CBM

- Huge interaction and data rates necessitate real-time event reconstruction and data selection
  - Reduce about 1 TB/s to several GB/s in real time in software
- Basis of the data model is a time slice containing many events
- Fast 4-D reconstruction algorithms under developments
  - Many achievements, but still some way to go
- Quest for a common online and offline software framework
  - Concurrency needed
  - Common data model allowing time-based and event-based analysis without change of code
  - Make use of the extension of the current FairRoot to FairMQ