Calculations at NLO A=3 bound state transitions



0

Hilla De-Leon Doron Gazit

> EMMI RRTF Workshop Darmstadt



Outline

- Faddeev equation
- LO normalization.
- LO matrix element
- NLO matrix element
- Summary





$$T(k,p) = \mathcal{K}(k,p) + \int dq T(k,q) \mathcal{D}(q) \mathcal{K}(q,p)$$

• For a bound state:

$$T(k,p) = \frac{\mathcal{B}(k)\mathcal{B}(p)}{E - E_B} + \not R$$

 $\mathcal{B}(k)$ - Bethe-Slapeter wave function

König thesis, 2013

Faddeev equation- scattering amplitude for bound state

 $E - k^{2} / 2M_{N} \qquad E - p^{2} / 2M_{N} \qquad \mathcal{D} \qquad + \qquad \mathcal{D} \qquad \mathcal{K} \mathcal{D} \qquad + \qquad \mathcal{K} \mathcal{D} \qquad \mathcal{K} \qquad \mathcal{K} \mathcal{D} \qquad \mathcal{K} \mathcal{L} \qquad \mathcal{K} \qquad \mathcal{K} \mathcal{D} \qquad \mathcal{K} \qquad \mathcal{K} \qquad \mathcal{K} \qquad \mathcal{K} \qquad \mathcal{K} \mathcal{D} \qquad \mathcal{K} \qquad \mathcal{K}$

• For a bound state:

$$T(k,p) = \frac{\mathcal{B}(k)\mathcal{B}(p)}{E - E_B} + \mathcal{F}$$

 $\mathcal{B}(k)$ - Bethe-Slapeter wave function

$$T(k,q) \otimes \left\{ \mathcal{D}(q) \left[\left(\hat{I} - \mathcal{K} \right)_{E=-E_B} \right] \mathcal{D}(q') \right\} \otimes T(q',p) = T(k,p)$$

$$1 = (\mathcal{B}(q))^T \otimes \left\{ \mathcal{D}(q) \left[\frac{d}{dE} \left(\hat{I} - \mathcal{K} \right)_{E=-E_B} \right] \mathcal{D}(q') \right\} \otimes \mathcal{B}(q')$$

$$A(\dots,q) \otimes B(q,\dots) = \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} A(\dots,q) B(q,\dots)$$

König thesis, 2013

Diagrammatic form of Bethe–Salpeter normalization $1 = (\mathcal{B}(q))^T \otimes \left\{ \mathcal{D}(q) \left[\frac{d}{dE} (\hat{l} - \mathcal{K})_{E=-E_B} \right] \mathcal{D}(q') \right\} \otimes \mathcal{B}(q')$ $\hat{l}(q,q') = \frac{2\pi^2}{a^2} \delta(q-q') \mathcal{D}(q,E)^{-1}$

Diagrammatic form of Bethe–Salpeter normalization $1 = (\mathcal{B}(q))^T \otimes \left\{ \mathcal{D}(q) \left[\frac{d}{dE} (\hat{l} - \mathcal{K})_{E=-E_B} \right] \mathcal{D}(q') \right\} \otimes \mathcal{B}(q')$ $\hat{l}(q,q') = \frac{2\pi^2}{a^2} \delta(q-q') \mathcal{D}(q,E)^{-1}$

• The Bethe–Salpeter normalization is equivalent to all the diagrams that connect the two bubbles:

$$\mathcal{D}(q)\left[\frac{d}{dE}\mathcal{K}\right]\mathcal{D}(q')$$
:

$$S(q_0 - q'_0, q - q')$$

 $S(q_0 - q'_0, q - q')$

$$\mathcal{D}(q)\left[\frac{d}{dE}\hat{I}\right]\mathcal{D}(q'):$$

³H bound state in αEFT

• ³H can be written as a n-d amplitude:



- The red bubble represents the deuteron channel, while the green bubble represents the triplet channel.
- These equations are coupled integral equations and can be solved for $E_B(^{3}\text{H}) = 8.48 \text{ MeV}$ with the 3-body three body force $(H(\Lambda))$





H. D.L, and D. Gazit 2016...

³He bound state in **\carefactory EFT**

• ³He can be written as a result of p-d scattering (in LO):

 $\mathbf{I} = \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{I} +$

• The red bubble represents the deuteron channel, the green bubble represents the np and the blue bubble represents the pp channel .

M. C. Birse and S.-I. Ando. 2010. S König and H.-W. Hammer, 2011.

³He normalization:

$$I_{t,s,pp} = \frac{2\pi^2}{q^2} \delta(q - q') \mathcal{D}_{t,s,pp}(q, E)^{-1}, \qquad \vec{\mathcal{B}}(q, E) = \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_T(q, E) \\ \Gamma_S(q, E) \\ \Gamma_P(q, E) \end{pmatrix}, \hat{\mathcal{D}}(p, E) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{D}_T(q, E) \\ \mathcal{D}_S(q, E) \\ \mathcal{D}_{pp}(q, E) \end{pmatrix}$$





$$I_{t,s,pp} = \frac{2\pi^2}{q^2} \delta(q - q') \mathcal{D}_{t,s,pp}(q, E)^{-1}, \qquad \vec{\mathcal{B}}(q, E) = \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_T(q, E) \\ \Gamma_S(q, E) \\ \Gamma_P(q, E) \end{pmatrix}, \hat{\mathcal{D}}(p, E) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{D}_T(q, E) \\ \mathcal{D}_S(q, E) \\ \mathcal{D}_{pp}(q, E) \end{pmatrix}$$



 $\psi^{(1)}$ is the first derivation of PolyGamma function

³He normalization – adding a photon: $I_{t,s} = \frac{2\pi^{2}}{q^{2}}\delta(q-q')\mathcal{D}_{t,s}(q,E)^{-1}, \quad \vec{\mathcal{B}}(q,E) = \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_{T}(q,E) \\ \Gamma_{S}(q,E) \\ \Gamma_{P}(q,E) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \vec{\mathcal{D}}(p,E) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{D}_{T}(q,E) \\ \mathcal{D}_{S}(q,E) \\ \mathcal{D}_{pp}(q,E) \end{pmatrix}$



(b)



S. König et al 2015



S. König et al 2015







S. König et al 2015

Gamow -Teller transition - LO



H. D.L, and D. Gazit 2016...



 $\langle GT \rangle = \frac{\langle {}^{3}\text{He}||\boldsymbol{\sigma}\tau^{-}||{}^{3}\text{H}\rangle}{\sqrt{2J+1}}$

• Yellow -
$$\sqrt{3}$$
 (LO with $\alpha = 0$)

• **Gray** – empirical results: $\langle GT \rangle = \sqrt{3} \frac{1.213}{g_A}$



H. D.L, and D. Gazit 2016...

Gamow -Teller transition - LO

 $\langle GT \rangle = \frac{\langle {}^{3}\text{He}||\boldsymbol{\sigma}\tau^{-}||{}^{3}\text{H}\rangle}{\sqrt{2J+1}}$

- Yellow $\sqrt{3}$ (LO with $\alpha = 0$)
- **Gray** empirical results: $\langle GT \rangle = \sqrt{3} \frac{1.213}{g_A}$

• Dashed – one body LO





H. D.L, and D. Gazit 2016...



LO – summary

- Diagrammatic form of the B.S normalization
- LO matrix element can be calculated as all possible connections between two amplitude.
- ³He LO energy shift: Summing over all possible diagrams is almost equivalent to the non-perturbative solution.
- Done with LO, moving to NLO...



NLO A=3, T-matrix

• The NLO insertion are: $\rho, H^{NLO}(\Lambda)$

UP to NLO:

- $T^{LO}(k, p, E)$ is describing a bound state: $\frac{\mathcal{B}^{LO}(k)\mathcal{B}(p)^{LO}}{E - E_B}$
- $\mathcal{B}^{LO}(k)$ is normalized.
- Black circle –
 effective range insertion

$$T(k, p, E)^{NLO} = \frac{a(\Lambda)T^{LO}(k, p, E)}{E - E_B}$$

Vanasse et al 2014. H.D.L and D. Gazit 2016...

 $T^{LO}(k, p, E)$ TLO T+= $T^{LO} + T^{LO}$ $T^{LO} +$ TLOLO $H^{\rm NLO}(\Lambda)$ $T^{NLO}(k, p, E)$



C. Ji and D. R. Phillips 2013, Vanasse et al 2014, H.D.L and D. Gazit 2016...

NLO A=3, scattering amplitude

• Up to NLO :

$$T(k,p) = T^{LO}(k,p) + T^{NLO}(k,p) = \frac{Z^{LO}(k,p)}{E - E_B^{LO} - \Delta E_B} + \frac{Z^{NLO}(k,p)}{E - E_B - \Delta E_B}$$

For the case that $\Delta E_B = 0$,

$$T^{NLO}(k,p)(E-E_B) = Z^{NLO}(k,p) = 0$$

So there is no change of the normalizing for: $\Delta E_B = 0$.

The B.S wave-function is not necessarily zero.

Similarly to LO, the NLO matrix element is set using $\mathcal{B}(q)$

H.D.L and D. Gazit 2016...

NLO A=3, matrix element

 $a(\Lambda) = \Delta E_B = \langle \mathcal{B}^{LO}(q) | M^1(q,q') | \mathcal{B}^{LO}(q') \rangle = \langle \mathcal{B}^{LO} | \mathcal{B}^{NLO} \rangle$

 $\mathcal{O}^{LO} + \mathcal{O}^{NLO} = \underbrace{\langle B^{LO} | \mathcal{O}^{LO} | B^{LO} \rangle}_{\mathcal{O}^{LO}} + \underbrace{\langle B^{LO} | \mathcal{O}^{NLO} | B^{LO} \rangle + \langle B^{NLO} | \mathcal{O}^{LO} | B^{LO} \rangle + \langle B^{LO} | \mathcal{O}^{LO} | B^{NLO} \rangle}_{\mathcal{O}^{NLO}}$

H.D.L and D. Gazit 2016...

Fermi transition

 $\langle F \rangle = \frac{\langle {}^{3}\text{He}||\tau^{-}||{}^{3}\text{H}\rangle}{\sqrt{2J+1}}$

• **Red** –Former calculation of the Fermi reduced matrix elements for total isospin, $\langle F \rangle = 0.993$



T.-Y. Saito, et al 1990. H. D.L, and D. Gazit 2016...

Fermi transition

 $\langle F \rangle = \frac{\langle {}^{3}\text{He}||\tau^{-}||{}^{3}\text{H} \rangle}{\sqrt{2J+1}}$

- **Red** –Former calculation of the Fermi reduced matrix elements for total isospin, $\langle F \rangle = 0.9993$
- Blue calculated Fermi transition (contains only the one body diagrams).
 ⟨F⟩ = 0.9985 ± 0.0005





T.-Y. Saito, et al 1990. H. D.L, and D. Gazit 2016...

Deuteron normalization

$$Z_d = \frac{1}{1 - \gamma_t \rho_t} = \underbrace{1}_{\text{LO}} + \underbrace{\gamma_t \rho_t}_{\text{NLO}} + \underbrace{(\gamma_t \rho_t)^2}_{\text{N^2LO}} + \underbrace{(\gamma_t \rho_t)^3}_{\text{N^3LO}} + \dots$$

which are equivalent to Q expansion around k = 0

$$C_2^t = 2\pi \frac{Z_d^{NLO} - 1}{M_N \gamma_t (\mu - \gamma_t)^2}$$

expansion around the deuteron pole: $Z_d = \frac{1}{1 - \gamma_t \rho_t} = 1.69$

$$Z_{d} = \frac{1}{1 - \gamma_{t} \rho_{t}} = \underbrace{1}_{\text{LO}} + \underbrace{Z_{d} - 1}_{\text{NLO}} + \underbrace{0}_{\text{N}^{2}\text{LO}} + \underbrace{0}_{\text{N}^{3}\text{LO}} + \dots$$

And therefore:

$$C_2^t = 2\pi \frac{Z_d^{NLO} - 1}{M_N \gamma_t (\mu - \gamma_t)^2} = 2\pi \frac{0.69}{M_N \gamma_t (\mu - \gamma_t)^2}$$
$$y_t^2 = \frac{(C_0^t)^2}{C_2^t} = \frac{8\pi}{M_N 0.69}$$

X. Kong and F. Ravndal. 1999,2001, H. W. Grießhamme 2004

Gamow -Teller transition



 $\Lambda ~[{
m MeV}]$

H. D.L, and D. Gazit 2016...





• Yellow -
$$\sqrt{3}$$
 (LO with $\alpha = 0$)

• **Gray** – empirical results: $\langle GT \rangle = \sqrt{3} \frac{1.213}{g_A}$



Gamow -Teller transition

 $\langle GT \rangle = \frac{\langle {}^{3}\text{He}||\boldsymbol{\sigma}\tau^{-}||{}^{3}\text{H}\rangle}{\sqrt{2J+1}}$

- Yellow $\sqrt{3}$ (LO with $\alpha = 0$)
- **Gray** empirical results: $\langle GT \rangle = \sqrt{3} \frac{1.213}{g_A}$
- Dashed one body LO





One body LO

H. D.L, and D. Gazit 2016...

Gamow -Teller transition

 $\langle GT \rangle = \frac{\langle {}^{3}\text{He}||\boldsymbol{\sigma}\tau^{-}||{}^{3}\text{H}\rangle}{\sqrt{2J+1}}$

- Yellow $\sqrt{3}$ (LO with $\alpha = 0$)
- **Gray** empirical results: $\langle GT \rangle = \sqrt{3} \frac{1.213}{g_A}$
- Dashed one body LO
- Dotted-dashed one body NLO.









H. D.L, and D. Gazit 2016...

Summary

- Diagrammatic representation of the B.S normalization.
- At LO:
 - B.S normalization is equivalent to all possible connections between two amplitudes.
 - Matrix element is equivalent to all possible connections between two amplitudes.
- NLO:
 - No normalization correction for $\Delta E_B = 0$
 - T-matrix ("wave-function") correction includes all possible NLO insertions between LOT-matrix amplitudes.
 - Matrix element calculation includes all possible single NLO insertion: "wave function", operator.