

C++11

An Overview for C++98 Programmers

Dennis Klein

Scientific IT
GSI Darmstadt

Panda Computing Workshop 3-7 July 2017
Suranaree University of Technology (SUT), Nakhon Ratchasima

Outline

Part I

- 1 Initialization
- 2 auto
- 3 For loops
- 4 Smart pointers

Part II

- 5 Lambdas
- 6 Move semantics
- 7 Singleton
- 8 Concurrency
- 9 Miscellaneous

Part I

Wednesday, 5th July, 9.45am

Initialization

- 1 Initialization
 - list-initialization
 - avoids the vexing parse
 - `std::initializer_list`
 - constructor calls
- 2 auto
 - recap: templates
 - auto type deduction for variables
 - trailing return type
 - summary
- 3 For loops
 - recap: for loops
 - recap: iterator loops
 - range-based for loops
- 4 Smart pointers
 - raw pointers are bad
 - ownership
 - `std::unique_ptr`
 - requirement for safe usage
 - `std::shared_ptr`
 - cycles
 - `std::weak_ptr`
 - smart pointers to arrays
 - new idiom
 - raw pointer are not so bad
 - justify raw pointers

list-initialization

C++98

```
int a[4] = {1, 2, 3, 4};  
int b = 1;  
int c(2);  
std::vector<int> d;  
d.push_back(1);  
d.push_back(2);  
SomeObject e(1, 2);
```

list-initialization

C++98

```
int a[4] = {1, 2, 3, 4};
int b = 1;
int c(2);
std::vector<int> d;
d.push_back(1);
d.push_back(2);
SomeObject e(1, 2);
```

C++11

```
int a[4] = {1, 2, 3, 4};
int b = {1};
int c{2};
std::vector<int> d = {1, 2};

SomeObject e = {1, 2};
```

list-initialization

C++98

```
int a[4] = {1, 2, 3, 4};  
int b = 1;  
int c(2);  
std::vector<int> d;  
d.push_back(1);  
d.push_back(2);  
SomeObject e(1, 2);
```

C++11

```
int a[4] = {1, 2, 3, 4};  
int b = {1};  
int c{2};  
std::vector<int> d = {1, 2};  
  
SomeObject e = {1, 2};
```

new convention

Use braces for initialization everywhere.

list-initialization

C++98

```
int a[4] = {1, 2, 3, 4};
int b = 1;
int c(2);
std::vector<int> d;
d.push_back(1);
d.push_back(2);
SomeObject e(1, 2);
```

C++11

```
int a[4] = {1, 2, 3, 4};
int b = {1};
int c{2};
std::vector<int> d = {1, 2};

SomeObject e = {1, 2};
```

new convention

Use braces for initialization everywhere.

→But why?

avoids the vexing parse

```
TimeKeeper time_keeper(Timer());
```

avoids the vexing parse

```
TimeKeeper time_keeper(Timer());
```

Ambiguous:

- 1 A **variable declaration** with name `time_keeper` of type `TimeKeeper` that is initialized with an anonymous instance of `Timer`.
- 2 A **function declaration** with name `time_keeper` and return type `TimeKeeper` that accepts as arguments a single functor with return type `Timer` and no argument.

Compilers warn with `-Wvexing-parse` and interpret it as a function declaration.

avoids the vexing parse

```
TimeKeeper time_keeper(Timer());
```

Ambiguous:

- 1 A **variable declaration** with name `time_keeper` of type `TimeKeeper` that is initialized with an anonymous instance of `Timer`.
- 2 A **function declaration** with name `time_keeper` and return type `TimeKeeper` that accepts as arguments a single functor with return type `Timer` and no argument.

Compilers warn with `-Wvexing-parse` and interpret it as a function declaration. In C++11

- 1 `TimeKeeper time_keeper{Timer{}};`
- 2 `TimeKeeper time_keeper(Timer());`

more list-initialization

Aggregate initialization

```
struct Point {  
    bool visited;  
    double x, y, z;  
}  
Point p{false, 1., 2., 0.};
```

more list-initialization

Aggregate initialization

```
struct Point {  
    bool visited;  
    double x, y, z;  
}  
Point p{false, 1., 2., 0.};
```

Copy list-initialization with return

```
std::tuple<int, int> f() {  
    // ...  
    return {5, 25};  
}
```

more list-initialization

Aggregate initialization

```
struct Point {  
    bool visited;  
    double x, y, z;  
}  
Point p{false, 1., 2., 0.};
```

Copy list-initialization with return

```
std::tuple<int, int> f() {  
    // ...  
    return {5, 25};  
}
```

Member initializer list

```
struct A {  
    A::A() : a{0}, b{42} {}  
  
private:  
    int a, b;  
}
```

more list-initialization

Aggregate initialization

```
struct Point {  
    bool visited;  
    double x, y, z;  
}  
Point p{false, 1., 2., 0.};
```

Copy list-initialization with return

```
std::tuple<int, int> f() {  
    // ...  
    return {5, 25};  
}
```

Member initializer list

```
struct A {  
    A::A() : a{0}, b{42} {}  
  
private:  
    int a, b;  
}
```

Brace elision

```
int m1[2][2] = {{1, 0}, {0, 1}};  
int m2[2][2] = {1, 0, 0, 1};
```

std::initializer_list

```
#include <vector>
#include <initializer_list>

template <class T>
struct Wraptor {
    std::vector<T> v;
    Wraptor(std::initializer_list<T> l) : v(l) {}

    void append(std::initializer_list<T> l) {
        v.insert(v.end(), l.begin(), l.end());
    }
};

Wraptor<int> v1{1, 2, 3};      // direct list-initialization
Wraptor<int> v2 = {1, 2, 3}; // copy list-initialization
v2.append({4, 5});          // list-init in function call
```


constructor calls

```
struct Coord {
    Coord(int x, int y);
};
void foo(Coord, Coord);

Coord bar() {
    foo(Coord(1, 2), Coord(2, 3)); // C++98
    foo(Coord{1, 2}, Coord{2, 3}); // C++11
    foo({1, 2}, {2, 3});           // C++11
    return {3, 4};
}
```

auto

- 1 Initialization
 - list-initialization
 - avoids the vexing parse
 - `std::initializer_list`
 - constructor calls
- 2 auto
 - recap: templates
 - auto type deduction for variables
 - trailing return type
 - summary
- 3 For loops
 - recap: for loops
 - recap: iterator loops
 - range-based for loops
- 4 Smart pointers
 - raw pointers are bad
 - ownership
 - `std::unique_ptr`
 - requirement for safe usage
 - `std::shared_ptr`
 - cycles
 - `std::weak_ptr`
 - smart pointers to arrays
 - new idiom
 - raw pointer are not so bad
 - justify raw pointers

recap: templates

```
template<typename T> void foo(T) {}
```

```
foo(1);
```

```
foo(std::vector<float>{});
```

```
foo(foo<int>);
```

- The type T is deduced automatically by the compiler.
- The compiler creates three different functions foo.

auto type deduction for variables

```
auto x = 1;  
auto y = std::vector<float>{};  
auto z{42.};
```

equivalent to:

```
int x = 1;  
std::vector<float> y = std::vector<float>{};  
double z{42.};
```

auto type deduction for variables

```
auto x = 1;
auto y = std::vector<float>{};
auto z{42.};
```

equivalent to:

```
int x = 1;
std::vector<float> y = std::vector<float>{};
double z{42.};
```

There is much more to auto variables!

→ Recommended read: [Chapter 1 Effective Modern C++ by Scott Meyers](#)

trailing return type

```
auto pi() -> float { return 3.14159f; }
```

equivalent to:

```
float pi() { return 3.14159f; }
```

trailing return type

```
auto pi() -> float { return 3.14159f; }
```

equivalent to:

```
float pi() { return 3.14159f; }
```

But:

```
template<class T, class U>  
auto add(T t, U u) -> decltype(t + u)  
{ return t + u; } // return type of operator+(T, U)
```

`auto` does not perform any type deduction here.

summary

Advice

Feel free to use auto often.

- Return types can be convoluted
- Implementation of generic functions becomes easier and more flexible

For loops

- 1 Initialization
 - list-initialization
 - avoids the vexing parse
 - `std::initializer_list`
 - constructor calls
- 2 auto
 - recap: templates
 - auto type deduction for variables
 - trailing return type
 - summary
- 3 For loops
 - recap: for loops
 - recap: iterator loops
 - range-based for loops
- 4 Smart pointers
 - raw pointers are bad
 - ownership
 - `std::unique_ptr`
 - requirement for safe usage
 - `std::shared_ptr`
 - cycles
 - `std::weak_ptr`
 - smart pointers to arrays
 - new idiom
 - raw pointer are not so bad
 - justify raw pointers

recap: for loops

```
for(int i = 0; i < 10; ++i) { ... }
```

→ perfectly fine

recap: for loops

```
for(int i = 0; i < 10; ++i) { ... }
```

→ perfectly fine

```
std::vector<int> v{1,2,3,4};
```

```
for(std::vector<int>::const_iterator i =  
    v.begin(); i != v.end(); ++i) {  
    int entry = *i;  
    ...  
}
```

→ cumbersome

recap: for loops

```
for(int i = 0; i < 10; ++i) { ... }
```

→ perfectly fine

```
std::vector<int> v{1,2,3,4};
```

```
for(std::vector<int>::const_iterator i =  
    v.begin(); i != v.end(); ++i) {  
    int entry = *i;  
    ...  
}
```

→ cumbersome, also `end()` is evaluated at every iteration!

recap: iterator loops

```
std::vector<int> v{1,2,3,4};
```

```
const std::vector<int>::const_iterator iEnd = v.end();  
for(std::vector<int>::const_iterator i =  
    v.begin(); i != iEnd; ++i) {  
    int entry = *i;  
    ...  
}
```

→ *shudder*

range-based for loops

```
std::vector<int> v{1,2,3,4};  
  
for(int entry : v) {  
    ...  
}
```

range-based for loops

```
std::vector<int> v{1,2,3,4};  
  
for(int entry : v) {  
    ...  
}
```

General syntax: `for(rangeDeclaration : rangeExpression) statement`

`rangeDeclaration` type and variable name; may use auto; refs and const-refs are often useful

`rangeExpression` any expression that evaluates to a (temporary) object to be used as a sequence/range

`statement` same as with traditional for-loops.

range-based for loop internals

```
{
    auto&& __range = rangeExpression;
    for (auto __begin = begin_expr, __end = end_expr;
        __begin != __end; ++__begin) {
        rangeDeclaration = *__begin;
        statement
    }
}
```

__range	begin_expr	end_expr
array	__range	__range + arraySize
class w/ begin & end	__range.begin()	__range.end()
else	begin(__range)	end(__range)

more range-based for loops

```
int x[] = { 1, 2, 3 };  
for (int a : x) {  
    std::cout << a << std::endl;  
}
```

more range-based for loops

```
int x[] = { 1, 2, 3 };  
for (int a : x) {  
    std::cout << a << std::endl;  
}
```

```
for (auto a : { 3, 5, 7, 11 }) {  
    std::cout << a << std::endl;  
}
```

more range-based for loops

```
int x[] = { 1, 2, 3 };  
for (int a : x) {  
    std::cout << a << std::endl;  
}
```

```
for (auto a : { 3, 5, 7, 11 }) {  
    std::cout << a << std::endl;  
}
```

```
std::vector<std::string> v{"one", "two", "three"};  
for (const auto& s : v) {  
    std::cout << s << std::endl;  
}
```

Smart pointers

- 1 Initialization
 - list-initialization
 - avoids the vexing parse
 - `std::initializer_list`
 - constructor calls
- 2 auto
 - recap: templates
 - auto type deduction for variables
 - trailing return type
 - summary
- 3 For loops
 - recap: for loops
 - recap: iterator loops
 - range-based for loops
- 4 Smart pointers
 - raw pointers are bad
 - ownership
 - `std::unique_ptr`
 - requirement for safe usage
 - `std::shared_ptr`
 - cycles
 - `std::weak_ptr`
 - smart pointers to arrays
 - new idiom
 - raw pointer are not so bad
 - justify raw pointers

raw pointers are bad

Raw pointers were used/required for

- polymorphism
- shared access
- moving objects (without copy & delete)

with typical issues:

- resource leaks
- dereference of dangling pointers

ownership

Definition

A component *owns* an object, if it determines its lifetime.

ownership

Definition

A component *owns* an object, if it determines its lifetime.

`std::unique_ptr` Use for sole ownership

`std::shared_ptr` Use for shared ownership

`std::weak_ptr` Break cycles in shared ownership

std::unique_ptr

```
#include <memory>

class X {};

class Y : public X {};

void foo() {
    std::unique_ptr<Y> y{ new Y };
    std::unique_ptr<X> x = std::move(y); // transfer ownership
    // y is a nullptr now
    y = std::unique_ptr<Y>{static_cast<Y*>(x.release())};
    // x is a nullptr now
} // Y::~~Y() is called and the memory freed
```


requirement for safe usage

No assignment of raw pointers, unless very explicit:

```
Y* y = new Y;
unique_ptr<Y> a = y; // error
unique_ptr<Y> b; // points to nullptr
b = y; // error
b = {y}; // error
b = unique_ptr<Y>{y}; // ok, y still points
b = nullptr; // ok (Y::~~Y() called and freed:
              //      y is dangling now!)
b = 0; // also works
```

std::shared_ptr

```
{  
    std::shared_ptr<Y> y{new Y};  
    // or:  
    auto y = std::make_shared<Y>();  
  
    auto x = std::static_pointer_cast<X>(y);  
    // quit here and Y::~~Y() called and freed once!  
    std::shared_ptr<Y> z{y.get()}; // never do this  
} // Y::~~Y() called and freed twice!
```

- does reference counting internally
- reference count can be queried via `use_count()`
- ref-counting works fine with casting

cycles

```
class B;  
  
class A {  
    std::shared_ptr<B> b;  
};  
  
class B {  
    std::shared_ptr<A> a;  
};
```

→ leak

- less obvious for larger cycles
- may be freed via call to `std::shared_ptr::reset()`
- better: `std::weak_ptr`

std::weak_ptr

```
class B;

class A {
    std::shared_ptr<B> b;
};
class B {
    std::weak_ptr<A> _a;
    void f() {
        if (auto a = _a.lock()) {
            // work with a
        } else {
            // the object was deleted
        }
    }
};
```

smart pointers to arrays

```
{  
    std::unique_ptr<int []> mem{ new int [100] };  
    mem[0] = 0;  
    mem[1] = 1;  
    ...  
} // calls delete []
```

new idiom

new idiom

- never use owning raw pointers
- never use `delete` or `delete []`

new idiom

new idiom

- never use owning raw pointers
- never use `delete` or `delete[]`

C++98:

```
Widget *widget = new Widget();  
...  
delete widget;
```

C++11:

```
auto widget = std::make_shared<Widget>();  
\ \ or  
std::unique_ptr<Widget> widget{new Widget{}};
```

raw pointer are not so bad

```
class Node {  
    std::vector<std::unique_ptr<Node>> children;  
    Node* parent;  
    ...  
};
```

- Node::parent is by design not a dangling pointer
- the child does not own the parent

raw pointer are not so bad

```
class Node {  
    std::vector<std::unique_ptr<Node>> children;  
    Node* parent;  
    ...  
};
```

- Node::parent is by design not a dangling pointer
- the child does not own the parent

Remember

Note how the pointer type documents the ownership in C++11!

justify raw pointers

- document why a raw pointer is what you need
- or use a smart pointer

justify raw pointers

- document why a raw pointer is what you need
- or use a smart pointer

If you see `int* f()` complain!

- ownership semantics are undefined
- such an interface is asking for leaks or double frees
- this is a C interface, but not C++

Part II

Thursday, 6th July, 9.45am

Lambdas

- 5 Lambdas
 - functors
 - closures
 - lambda
 - lambda captures
 - lambdas as arguments
 - lambda return type
 - lambda type
- 6 Move semantics
 - the STL had a bad reputation
 - the new STL was fixed
 - lvalues/rvalues
 - rvalue references
 - forwarding references
 - perfect forwarding
 - conventions
- 7 Singleton
 - threadsafe singleton
- 8 Concurrency
 - STL concurrency
- 9 Miscellaneous
 - static assertions
 - right angle bracket in templates
 - long long
 - default/delete functions
 - virtual override/final
 - nullptr
 - user-defined literals
 - more

functors

Definition

A *functor* is a record that stores a function.

functors

Definition

A *functor* is a record that stores a function.

```
#include <algorithm>
```

```
struct Between8And10 {  
    template<typename T>  
    bool operator()(const T& a) const {  
        return a > T(8) && a < T(10);  
    }  
};
```

```
std::vector<double> v = { 8, 3.4, 5.1, 9, 43 };  
if (std::any_of(begin(v), end(v), Between8And10())) {  
    ...  
}
```

closures

Definition

A *closure* is a record that stores a function and a stateful environment.

closures

Definition

A *closure* is a record that stores a function and a stateful environment.

```
struct Adder {  
    int sum;  
    adder() : sum(0) {}  
    int operator()(int x) { return sum += x; }  
}
```

```
Adder adder;  
adder(3);  
adder(4);  
// adder.sum == 7
```

lambda (anonymous functor)

```
std::vector<double> v = { 8, 3.4, 5.1, 9, 43 };  
if (std::any_of(begin(v), end(v),  
    [](double x){ return x > 8. && x < 10.; }  
)) {  
    ...  
}
```

lambda (anonymous functor)

```
std::vector<double> v = { 8, 3.4, 5.1, 9, 43 };  
if (std::any_of(begin(v), end(v),  
    [](double x){ return x > 8. && x < 10.; }  
)) {  
    ...  
}
```

- define functors where they are used
- makes code easier to modify
- often easier to understand
- parts that belong together are placed together in the source

lambda captures (anonymous closure)

```
[&]{}; //ok: by-ref capture default
[=]{}; //ok: by-copy capture default
[&, i]{}; // ok: by-ref capt., except i is captured by copy
[=, &i]{}; // ok: by-copy capt., except i is captured by ref
[&, &i]{}; // error: by-ref capt. when by-ref is the default
[=, this]{}; // error: this when = is the default
[i, i]{}; // error: i repeated
[&, this]{}; // ok, but redundant

[&]() mutable { // non-const captures }
```

lambdas as arguments

```
template<typename F> void foo(F f) {  
    f("Hello_ World.");  
}
```

```
void foo(void (*f)(const char*)) {  
    f("Hello_ World.");  
}
```

```
void foo(std::function<void(const char*)> f) {  
    f("Hello_ World.");  
}
```

lambda return type

```
[ capture-list ]( args ) -> ret { body }
```

Return type ...

- *as specified*, or
- *implied* by the function return statements if not specified, or
- *void* if function body has no return statements

Multiple return statements must return the same type.

lambda type

The type of a lambda cannot be named, but can be inferred with `auto`

```
auto func1 = [](int i){ return i + 4; };  
std::cout << "func1:␣" << func1(6) << std::endl;
```

Move semantics

- 5 Lambdas
 - functors
 - closures
 - lambda
 - lambda captures
 - lambdas as arguments
 - lambda return type
 - lambda type
- 6 Move semantics
 - the STL had a bad reputation
 - the new STL was fixed
 - lvalues/rvalues
 - rvalue references
 - forwarding references
 - perfect forwarding
 - conventions
- 7 Singleton
 - threadsafe singleton
- 8 Concurrency
 - STL concurrency
- 9 Miscellaneous
 - static assertions
 - right angle bracket in templates
 - long long
 - default/delete functions
 - virtual override/final
 - nullptr
 - user-defined literals
 - more

the STL had a bad reputation

C++98:

```
struct X { ... };
```

```
void foo() {  
    std::vector<X> v(5); // 1x X::X(), 5x X::X(const X&)  
                       // 1x X::~~X()  
  
    std::vector<X> v2;  
    v2 = v; // 5x X::X(const X&)  
}
```

- X::X() called 1 times
- X::X(const X&) called 10 times
- X::~~X() called 1 times

the new STL was fixed

C++11:

```
struct X { ... };
```

```
void foo() {  
    std::vector<X> v(5); // 5x X::X()  
    std::vector<X> v2;  
    v2 = std::move(v); // 0 calls to X  
}
```

- X::X() called 5 times
- X::X(const X&) called 0 times
- X::X() called 0 times

lvalues/rvalues

- *lvalues* have a name
- *rvalues* are temporary objects
- you cannot take the address of an *rvalue*

```
int foo() { return 1; }
```

```
int bar() {  
    // lvalue = rvalue  
    int x      = foo();  
    int y      = x * x + 2;  
    // -----  
    return std::move(x);  
    //      ^rvalue    ^lvalue  
}
```

rvalue references

```
struct A { ... };

void foo(A&& a); // rvalue reference
void foo(A& a); // lvalue reference

void bar() {
    foo(A()); // calls foo(A &&)
    A a;
    foo(a); // calls foo(A &)
    foo(std::move(a)); // calls foo(A &&)
    // depending on the implementation of A,
    // further use of "a" may be undefined
}
```

forwarding references

```
template<typename T> void foo(T&& x);
```

- looks like an rvalue reference
- technically it is an rvalue reference
- it may behave as an lvalue reference
- used to be called universal reference (Scott Meyers)
- now it is called forwarding reference
- allows perfect forwarding

perfect forwarding

```
struct X {
    std::vector<Y> data;
    template<typename T> void add(T&& x) {
        // x is an lvalue!
        data.push_back(std::forward<T>(x));
        // calls either
        // * vector::push_back(const Y&) or
        // * vector::push_back(Y&&)
    }
};

void bar(X& x) {
    Y y;
    x.add(y); // adds a copy
    x.add(std::move(y)); // moves y into x.m_data
}
```

move semantics change design decisions

- it is now easier to design efficient value-based classes
- with C++98 one would sometimes use e.g. `std::vector<T>*` to avoid unnecessary (and expensive) copying

Take away

With move semantics proper C++11 code requires *fewer pointers*, and those should all be wrapped as *smart pointers*.

threadsafe singleton

- Singleton not recommended in new code, but still popular and widespread use
- Introduces global state, which limits optimizability, testability, maintainability, readability and scalability of your code.

threadsafe singleton

- Singleton not recommended in new code, but still popular and widespread use
- Introduces global state, which limits optimizability, testability, maintainability, readability and scalability of your code.

```
class X {  
    public:  
        static X& Instance() {  
            static X instance;  
            return instance;  
        }  
  
    private:  
        X();  
}
```

threadsafe singleton

- Singleton not recommended in new code, but still popular and widespread use
- Introduces global state, which limits optimizability, testability, maintainability, readability and scalability of your code.

```
class X {  
public:  
    static X& Instance() {  
        static X instance;  
        return instance;  
    }  
  
private:  
    X();  
}
```

threadsafe singleton

- Singleton not recommended in new code, but still popular and widespread use
- Introduces global state, which limits optimizability, testability, maintainability, readability and scalability of your code.

```
class X {  
public:  
    static X& Instance() {  
        static X instance;  
        return instance;  
    }  
  
private:  
    X();  
}
```

threadsafe singleton

- Singleton not recommended in new code, but still popular and widespread use
- Introduces global state, which limits optimizability, testability, maintainability, readability and scalability of your code.

```
class X {  
    public:  
        static X& Instance() {  
            static X instance;  
            return instance;  
        }  
  
    private:  
        X();  
}
```

STL concurrency

- `std::thread`
- `std::mutex`
- `std::lock_guard`
- `std::condition_variable`
- `future.get` & `promise.set_value`
- `std::atomic`
- Memory Model
- the new meaning of `const` and `mutable`: threadsafe

right angle bracket in templates

```
using std::vector;  
vector<vector<int>> v; // error with C++98
```

- >> is one token in C++ and corresponds to the right shift operator
- in C++11 this is now valid code

long long

- the standard requires `sizeof(long) >= sizeof(int)`
- so we got: `long` is 32bit or 64bit depending on the target ABI
- C99 introduced `long long` to fix the issue:

```
sizeof(long long) >= sizeof(long) &&  
sizeof(long long) >= 8
```

- with C++11 `long long` is now part of C++

default/delete functions

C++98:

```
class DontCopyMe {
    DontCopyMe(const DontCopyMe&);
    DontCopyMe& operator=(const DontCopyMe&);
public:
    DontCopyMe() {}
    ...
};
```

C++11:

```
class DontCopyMe {
public:
    DontCopyMe(const DontCopyMe&) = delete;
    DontCopyMe& operator=(const DontCopyMe&) = delete;
    DontCopyMe() = default;
    ...
};
```


virtual override/final

```
struct A {  
    virtual void fill(int a) {}  
};  
  
struct B : public A {  
    void fill(int a) override {}  
    // or  
    void fill(int a) final {}  
};
```

If a virtual function is marked with the virt-specifier `override` and does not override a member function of a base class, the program is ill-formed.

nullptr

```
void foo(int*);  
void foo(int);  
  
void bar() {  
    foo(0); // ambiguous  
    foo(nullptr); // ok  
}
```

new convention

Always use `nullptr`, never the literal `0` or the macro `NULL`.

user-defined literals

```
// used as conversion
constexpr long double operator"" _deg ( long double deg ) {
    return deg*3.141592/180;
}

int main(){
    double x = 90.0_deg;
    std::cout << std::fixed << x << std::endl;
}
```

- literal must begin with underscore
- literals ending with e or E need a space after when called

more

- `static_assert`
- `enum class`
- attributes
- type aliases, alias templates
- variadic templates
- `type_traits`
- `constexpr`
- ...

Acknowledgements

- Most slides in this presentation are based on a presentation by **Matthias Kretz**, GSI Darmstadt. His work is very much appreciated!

Material

- Beginner, Update for veterans: [A Tour of C++](#) by Bjarne Stroustrup
- Advanced: [Effective Modern C++](#) by Scott Meyers
- Because it came up in discussions: [CppCon14: Modern Template Metaprogramming: A Compendium](#) by Walter E. Brown