Transformation of nuclear potentials from partial wave representation into operator representation

Dennis Weber

EENEN 09 11. - 13.02.2009 GSI, Darmstadt

Content

- motivation
- realistic and "effective realistic" interactions
 - Argonne potential
 - UCOM
 - SRG
- transformation between operator representation and partial wave matrix elements
 - UCOM
 - SRG
- summary and outlook

Motivation



Motivation



Motivation



Argonne potential

- realistic potential: nucleon-nucleon phase shifts, deuteron data •
- operator representation:

$$V_{Argonne} = \sum_{S,T} V_{ST}^{Z}(\mathbf{r}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{ST}$$

$$+ \sum_{S,T} V_{ST}^{L2}(\mathbf{r}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{ST} \vec{L}^{2}$$

$$+ \sum_{T} V_{1T}^{LS}(\mathbf{r}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{1T} \vec{L} \cdot \vec{S}$$

$$+ \sum_{T} V_{1T}^{T}(\mathbf{r}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{1T} S_{12}$$

$$+ \sum_{T} V_{1T}^{TLL}(\mathbf{r}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{1T} S_{12}(\vec{L}, \vec{L})$$



 $k_1 \, [{\rm fm}^{-1}]^{\,6}$

-20 -40

1000

800

600

400

$$S_{12} = \frac{3}{r^2} (\vec{r} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_1) (\vec{r} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_2) - \vec{\sigma}_1 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_2$$

$$S_{12} (\vec{a}, \vec{b}) = \frac{3}{2} [(\vec{\sigma}_1 \cdot \vec{a}) (\vec{\sigma}_2 \cdot \vec{b}) + (\vec{\sigma}_1 \cdot \vec{b}) (\vec{\sigma}_2 \cdot \vec{a})] - \frac{1}{2} (\vec{\sigma}_1 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_2) (\vec{a} \cdot \vec{b} + \vec{b} \cdot \vec{a})$$

3

 $k_2\,[\mathrm{fm}^{\text{-}1}]$

40 30 20 10 -10 -20 -30

Unitary Correlation Operator Method

- realistic interaction cannot be used in model spaces consisting of one or a few Slater determinants
- Short range correlations ('hard core') and correlations induced by the tensor force cannot be described in model space
- Correlations can be inserted by the 'Unitary Correlation Operator Method' UCOM:
 - correlated state
 - $|\hat{\psi}
 angle = C |\psi
 angle = C_{\Omega} C_r |\psi
 angle$
 - correlated operator



T. Neff, H. Feldmeier, Nucl. Phys. A713

Unitary Correlation Operator Method

- UCOM interaction $V_{UCOM} = \widehat{H}^{[2]} = \widehat{T}^{[2]} + \widehat{V}^{[2]}$
- operator representation:

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{UCOM}} &= \sum_{S,T} \boldsymbol{V}_{ST}^{Z}(\boldsymbol{r}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{ST} \\ &+ \sum_{S,T} \boldsymbol{V}_{ST}^{L2}(\boldsymbol{r}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{ST} \boldsymbol{\vec{L}}^{2} \\ &+ \sum_{S,T} \boldsymbol{I}/2(\boldsymbol{\vec{p}}^{2} \boldsymbol{V}_{ST}^{p}(\boldsymbol{r}) + \boldsymbol{V}_{ST}^{p}(\boldsymbol{r}) \boldsymbol{\vec{p}}^{2}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{ST} \\ &+ \sum_{T} \boldsymbol{V}_{IT}^{LS}(\boldsymbol{r}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{IT} \boldsymbol{\vec{L}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\vec{S}} \\ &+ \sum_{T} \boldsymbol{V}_{IT}^{L2LS}(\boldsymbol{r}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{IT} \boldsymbol{\vec{L}}^{2} \boldsymbol{\vec{L}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\vec{S}} \\ &+ \sum_{T} \boldsymbol{V}_{IT}^{T}(\boldsymbol{r}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{IT} \boldsymbol{S}_{I2} \\ &+ \sum_{T} \boldsymbol{V}_{IT}^{TLL}(\boldsymbol{r}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{IT} \boldsymbol{s}_{I2}(\boldsymbol{\vec{L}}, \boldsymbol{\vec{L}}) \\ &+ \sum_{T} \boldsymbol{V}_{IT}^{Tpp}(\boldsymbol{r}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{IT} \boldsymbol{\bar{s}}_{I2}(\boldsymbol{\vec{p}}_{\Omega}, \boldsymbol{\vec{p}}_{\Omega}) \\ &+ \sum_{T} (\boldsymbol{p}_{r} \boldsymbol{V}_{IT}^{Tpp}(\boldsymbol{r}) + \boldsymbol{V}_{IT}^{Tpp}(\boldsymbol{r}) \boldsymbol{p}_{r}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{IT} \boldsymbol{s}_{I2}(\boldsymbol{\vec{r}}, \boldsymbol{\vec{p}}_{\Omega}) \end{split}$$

Similarity Renormalization Group

- flow equation $\frac{d H_s}{ds} = [\eta_s, H_s]$
- partial wave basis: generator $\boldsymbol{\eta}_s = [\boldsymbol{T}_{rel}, \boldsymbol{H}_s]$
- fixpoint $\frac{dH_s}{ds} = 0$ when H_s is diagonal in partial wave basis
- evolution to a band-diagonal structure
- calculation of matrix elements $\langle k_1(L_1S)J; T | V | k_2(L_2S)J; T \rangle$ for given quantum numbers *L*, *S*, *T* and *J*

$$\frac{dV_{s}(k_{1},k_{2})}{ds} = -\frac{1}{(2\mu)^{2}} \left(k_{1}^{2} - k_{2}^{2}\right)^{2} V_{s}(k_{1},k_{2}) + \frac{1}{2\mu} \int_{0}^{\infty} dp \ p^{2} \left(k_{1}^{2} + k_{2}^{2} - 2p^{2}\right) V_{s}(k_{1},p) V_{s}(p,k_{2})$$

Similarity Renormalization Group

• matrix elements, but no operator representation



From operator representation to partial wave matrix elements

• operator representation

$$\boldsymbol{V} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{S}',\boldsymbol{T}'} \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{S}'\boldsymbol{T}'}^{\boldsymbol{Z}}(\boldsymbol{r}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{\boldsymbol{S}'\boldsymbol{T}'} + \sum_{\boldsymbol{T}'} \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{I}\boldsymbol{T}'}^{\boldsymbol{L}\boldsymbol{S}}(\boldsymbol{r}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{\boldsymbol{I}\boldsymbol{T}'}, \boldsymbol{\vec{L}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\vec{S}} + \dots$$

• partial wave matrix elements

$$\langle k_{I}(L_{I}S)J;T | \boldsymbol{V} | k_{2}(L_{2}S)J;T \rangle = \sum_{\boldsymbol{S}',T'} \langle k_{I}(L_{I}S)J;T | \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{S}'T'}^{\boldsymbol{Z}}(\boldsymbol{r})\boldsymbol{\Pi}_{\boldsymbol{S}'T'} | k_{2}(L_{2}S)J;T \rangle + \sum_{T'} \langle k_{I}(L_{I}S)J;T | \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{I}T'}^{\boldsymbol{L}}(\boldsymbol{r})\boldsymbol{\Pi}_{\boldsymbol{I}T}, \boldsymbol{L}\cdot\boldsymbol{S} | k_{2}(L_{2}S)J;T \rangle + \dots$$

From operator representation to partial wave matrix elements

• operator representation

$$\boldsymbol{V} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{S}',\boldsymbol{T}'} \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{S}'\boldsymbol{T}'}^{\boldsymbol{Z}}(\boldsymbol{r}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{\boldsymbol{S}'\boldsymbol{T}'} + \sum_{\boldsymbol{T}'} \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{I}\boldsymbol{T}'}^{\boldsymbol{L}\boldsymbol{S}}(\boldsymbol{r}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{\boldsymbol{I}\boldsymbol{T}'}, \boldsymbol{\vec{L}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\vec{S}} + \dots$$

• partial wave matrix elements

$$\begin{split} \left\langle k_{1}(L_{1}S)J;T\left|\boldsymbol{V}\right|k_{2}(L_{2}S)J;T\right\rangle &= \sum_{S',T'}\left\langle k_{1}(L_{1}S)J;T\left|\boldsymbol{V}_{S'T'}^{Z}(\boldsymbol{r})\boldsymbol{\Pi}_{S'T'}\right|k_{2}(L_{2}S)J;T\right\rangle \\ &+ \sum_{T'}\left\langle k_{1}(L_{1}S)J;T\left|\boldsymbol{V}_{1T'}^{LS}(\boldsymbol{r})\boldsymbol{\Pi}_{1T'},\vec{\boldsymbol{L}}\cdot\vec{\boldsymbol{S}}\right|k_{2}(L_{2}S)J;T\right\rangle + \dots \\ &= \left\langle k_{1}L_{1}\right|\boldsymbol{V}_{ST}^{Z}(\boldsymbol{r})\left|k_{2}L_{2}\right\rangle\cdot\left\langle (L_{1}S)J\left|\boldsymbol{I}\right|(L_{2}S)J\right\rangle \\ &+ \left\langle k_{1}L_{1}\right|\boldsymbol{V}_{ST}^{LS}(\boldsymbol{r})\left|k_{2}L_{2}\right\rangle\cdot\left\langle (L_{1}S)J\left|\vec{\boldsymbol{L}}\cdot\vec{\boldsymbol{S}}\right|(L_{2}S)J\right\rangle + \dots \end{split}$$

From operator representation to partial wave matrix elements

• operator representation

$$\boldsymbol{V} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{S}',\boldsymbol{T}'} \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{S}'\boldsymbol{T}'}^{\boldsymbol{Z}}(\boldsymbol{r}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{\boldsymbol{S}'\boldsymbol{T}'} + \sum_{\boldsymbol{T}'} \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{T}\boldsymbol{T}'}^{\boldsymbol{L}\boldsymbol{S}}(\boldsymbol{r}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{\boldsymbol{T}\boldsymbol{T}'} \boldsymbol{\vec{L}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\vec{S}} + \dots$$

partial wave matrix elements

$$\begin{split} \left\langle k_{I}(L_{I}S)J;T\left|\boldsymbol{V}\right|k_{2}(L_{2}S)J;T\right\rangle &= \sum_{S',T'}\left\langle k_{I}(L_{I}S)J;T\left|\boldsymbol{V}_{S'T'}^{Z}(\boldsymbol{r})\boldsymbol{\Pi}_{S'T'}\right|k_{2}(L_{2}S)J;T\right\rangle \\ &+ \sum_{T'}\left\langle k_{I}(L_{I}S)J;T\left|\boldsymbol{V}_{IT'}^{LS}(\boldsymbol{r})\boldsymbol{\Pi}_{IT'},\boldsymbol{\vec{L}}\cdot\boldsymbol{\vec{S}}\right|k_{2}(L_{2}S)J;T\right\rangle + \dots \\ &= \left\langle k_{I}L_{I}\right|\boldsymbol{V}_{ST}^{Z}(\boldsymbol{r})\left|k_{2}L_{2}\right\rangle\cdot\left\langle (L_{I}S)J\left|\boldsymbol{I}\right|(L_{2}S)J\right\rangle \\ &+ \left\langle k_{I}L_{I}\right|\boldsymbol{V}_{ST}^{LS}(\boldsymbol{r})\left|k_{2}L_{2}\right\rangle\cdot\left\langle (L_{I}S)J\left|\boldsymbol{\vec{L}}\cdot\boldsymbol{\vec{S}}\right|(L_{2}S)J\right\rangle + \dots \end{split}$$

matrix elements of the operators

 $\langle (L_1 S) J | \mathbf{1} | (L_2 S) J \rangle$, $\langle (L_1 S) J | \mathbf{\vec{L}} \cdot \mathbf{\vec{S}} | (L_2 S) J \rangle$, ...

• transformed radial dependencies: local $\langle \vec{r} | V_{ST}^{o}(r) | \vec{r}' \rangle = \delta^{3}(\vec{r} - \vec{r}') V_{ST}^{o}(r)$ $\langle k_{I}L_{I} | V_{ST}^{o}(r) | k_{2}L_{2} \rangle = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} dr r^{2} j_{L_{I}}(k_{I}r) V_{ST}^{o}(r) j_{L_{2}}(k_{2}r)$

• representation of radial dependencies by a sum of gaussians:

$$V^{o}(r) = \sum_{i} \gamma_{j}^{o} e^{-\frac{i}{2\kappa_{j}}} \qquad o \in \{\mathbf{1}, \, \mathbf{\vec{L}} \cdot \mathbf{\vec{S}}, \, \ldots\}$$

choose appropriate set of operators

$$V = \sum_{S,T} V_{ST}^{Z}(\boldsymbol{r}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{ST} + \sum_{T} V_{IT}^{LS}(\boldsymbol{r}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{IT} \boldsymbol{\vec{L}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\vec{S}} + \dots$$

• calculate partial wave matrix elements analytically

$$\langle k_1 L_1 | V_{ST}^o(\mathbf{r}) | k_2 L_2 \rangle = \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_j \gamma_{ST,j}^o \int_0^\infty dr \, r^2 \, j_{L_1}(k_1 r) e^{-\frac{r^2}{2\kappa_j}} \, j_{L_2}(k_2 r)$$

• choose parameters $\kappa_n = \kappa_l \cdot \alpha^{n-l}$

 $\kappa = \{0.05, 0.05 \cdot \sqrt{2}, 0.1, 0.1 \cdot \sqrt{2}, \dots, 12.8\} fm^2$

for each L_1 , L_2 , S, J and T: $\langle k_1(L_1S)J; T | V | k_2(L_2S)J; T \rangle \rightarrow V_{ST}^{L_1L_2J}(k_1;k_2)$

• fit to partial wave basis matrix elements

$$\rightarrow \gamma^{o}_{ST,j} \rightarrow V^{o}(r) \simeq \sum_{j} \gamma^{o}_{ST,j} e^{-\frac{r}{2\kappa_{j}}}$$

Ansatz for V_{UCOM}

$$V_{UCOM} = \sum_{S,T} V_{ST}^{Z}(\mathbf{r}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{ST}$$

$$+ \sum_{S,T} V_{ST}^{L2}(\mathbf{r}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{ST} \vec{L}^{2}$$

$$+ \sum_{S,T} I/2(\vec{p}^{2} V_{ST}^{p2}(\mathbf{r}) + V_{ST}^{p2}(\mathbf{r}) \vec{p}^{2}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{ST}$$

$$+ \sum_{T} V_{IT}^{LS}(\mathbf{r}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{IT} \vec{L} \cdot \vec{S}$$

$$+ \sum_{T} V_{IT}^{L2LS}(\mathbf{r}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{IT} \vec{L}^{2} \vec{L} \cdot \vec{S}$$

$$+ \sum_{T} V_{IT}^{T}(\mathbf{r}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{IT} S_{I2}$$

$$+ \sum_{T} V_{IT}^{TLL}(\mathbf{r}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{IT} s_{I2}(\vec{L}, \vec{L})$$

$$+ \sum_{T} V_{IT}^{Tpp}(\mathbf{r}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{IT} \vec{s}_{I2}(\vec{p}_{\Omega}, \vec{p}_{\Omega})$$

$$+ \sum_{T} (\boldsymbol{p}_{T} V_{IT}^{Tpp}(\mathbf{r}) + V_{IT}^{Tpp}(\mathbf{r}) \boldsymbol{p}_{T}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{IT} s_{I2}(\vec{r}, \vec{p}_{\Omega})$$

• Ansatz for V_{UCOM} $\boldsymbol{V}_{UCOM} = \sum_{S,T} \boldsymbol{V}_{ST}^{Z}(\boldsymbol{r}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{ST}$ + $\sum_{\mathbf{S} \in T} V_{ST}^{L2}(\mathbf{r}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{ST} \boldsymbol{\vec{L}}^2$ S = 0+ $\sum_{S,T} 1/2(\vec{p}^2 V_{ST}^{p^2}(r) + V_{ST}^{p^2}(r) \vec{p}^2) \Pi_{ST}$ + $\sum_{T} V_{IT}^{LS}(\mathbf{r}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{IT} \vec{L} \cdot \vec{S}$ + $\sum_{r}^{I} V_{IT}^{L2LS}(\mathbf{r}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{IT} \vec{\boldsymbol{L}}^2 \vec{\boldsymbol{L}} \cdot \vec{\boldsymbol{S}}$ + $\sum_{T} V_{IT}^{T}(\mathbf{r}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{IT} \boldsymbol{S}_{I2}$ + $\sum_{T} V_{1T}^{TLL}(\mathbf{r}) \mathbf{\Pi}_{1T} \mathbf{s}_{12}(\vec{L},\vec{L})$ + $\sum_{T} V_{IT}^{Tpp}(\mathbf{r}) \mathbf{\Pi}_{IT} \mathbf{\bar{s}}_{I2}(\mathbf{\bar{p}}_{\Omega}, \mathbf{\bar{p}}_{\Omega})$ + $\sum_{T} (\mathbf{p}_{r} V_{IT}^{Trp}(\mathbf{r}) + V_{IT}^{Trp}(\mathbf{r}) \mathbf{p}_{r}) \mathbf{\Pi}_{IT} \mathbf{s}_{I2}(\mathbf{\bar{r}}, \mathbf{\bar{p}}_{\Omega})$

- S=0 and T=1: $V_{01}^{Z}(r)$, $V_{01}^{L2}(r)$, $V_{01}^{p2}(r)$
- fit to lowest $L \rightarrow L=0$, L=2

• S=0 and T=1: $V_{01}^{Z}(r)$, $V_{01}^{L2}(r)$, $V_{01}^{p2}(r)$

• fit to lowest $L \rightarrow L=0$, L=2



- S=0 and T=1: $V_{01}^{Z}(r)$, $V_{01}^{L2}(r)$, $V_{01}^{p2}(r)$
- fit to lowest $L \rightarrow L=0$, L=2



- S=1: isolation of tensor, spin-orbit and central components
- linear combination for given S, T and L

$$\sum_{J=L-1}^{L+1} \alpha_{ST}^{LJ} \langle k_1(LS)J;T | V | k_2(LS)J;T \rangle \rightarrow V_{ST}^{LS}(\mathbf{r}), V_{ST}^{L2LS}(\mathbf{r}) \rightarrow V_{ST}^{LS}(\mathbf{r}), V_{ST}^{L2LS}(\mathbf{r})$$

$$\rightarrow V_{ST}^{T}(\mathbf{r}), V_{ST}^{TLL}(\mathbf{r})$$

• nondiagonal matrix elements $\langle k_1(L_1S)J; T | V | k_2(L_2S)J; T \rangle$, $L_1 \neq L_2$ only tensor contribution $\rightarrow V_{ST}^T(\mathbf{r}), V_{ST}^{Tpp}(\mathbf{r}), V_{ST}^{Trp}(\mathbf{r})$

• S=1 and T=0: $V_{10}^{Z}(r)$, $V_{10}^{L2}(r)$, $V_{10}^{p2}(r)$

• fit to lowest $L \rightarrow L=0$, L=2



• S=1 and T=0: $V_{10}^{Z}(r)$, $V_{10}^{L2}(r)$, $V_{10}^{p2}(r)$

• fit to lowest $L \rightarrow L=0$, L=2



• S=1 and T=0: $V_{10}^{T}(r)$, $V_{10}^{Tpp}(r)$, $V_{10}^{Trp}(r)$

• fit to lowest $L \rightarrow \langle k_1(01)1; 0 | V | k_2(21)1; 0 \rangle, \langle k_1(21)1; 0 | V | k_2(41)1; 0 \rangle$



• S=1 and T=0: $V_{10}^{T}(r)$, $V_{10}^{Tpp}(r)$, $V_{10}^{Trp}(r)$

• fit to lowest $L \rightarrow \langle k_1(01)1; 0 | V | k_2(21)1; 0 \rangle, \langle k_1(21)1; 0 | V | k_2(41)1; 0 \rangle$



 \vec{p}_{Ω})

• which operators are important ?

$$V_{UCOM} = \sum_{S,T} V_{ST}^{Z}(\mathbf{r}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{ST}$$

$$+ \sum_{S,T} V_{ST}^{L2}(\mathbf{r}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{ST} \vec{L}^{2}$$

$$+ \sum_{S,T} 1/2 (\vec{p}^{2} V_{ST}^{p2}(\mathbf{r}) + V_{ST}^{p2}(\mathbf{r}) \vec{p}^{2}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{ST}$$

$$+ \sum_{T} V_{IT}^{LS}(\mathbf{r}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{IT} \vec{L} \cdot \vec{S}$$

$$+ \sum_{T} V_{IT}^{L2LS}(\mathbf{r}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{IT} \vec{L}^{2} \vec{L} \cdot \vec{S}$$

$$+ \sum_{T} V_{IT}^{T}(\mathbf{r}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{IT} S_{I2}$$

$$+ \sum_{T} V_{IT}^{TLL}(\mathbf{r}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{IT} s_{I2} (\vec{L}, \vec{L})$$

$$+ \sum_{T} V_{IT}^{Tpp}(\mathbf{r}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{IT} \vec{s}_{I2} (\vec{p}_{\Omega}, \vec{p}_{\Omega})$$

$$+ \sum_{T} (\mathbf{p}_{T} V_{IT}^{Tpp}(\mathbf{r}) + V_{IT}^{Tpp}(\mathbf{r}) \mathbf{p}_{T}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{IT} s_{I2} (\vec{r}, \mathbf{r})$$

$E_B(^3He)$	$E_B(^4He)$
7.71 MeV	28.30 MeV
7.48 MeV	28.33 MeV

• which operators are important ?

$$V_{UCOM} = \sum_{S,T} V_{ST}^{Z}(\mathbf{r}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{ST}$$

$$+ \sum_{S,T} V_{ST}^{L2}(\mathbf{r}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{ST} \vec{L}^{2}$$

$$+ \sum_{S,T} 1/2 (\vec{p}^{2} V_{ST}^{p2}(\mathbf{r}) + V_{ST}^{p2}(\mathbf{r}) \vec{p}^{2}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{ST}$$

$$+ \sum_{T} V_{IT}^{LS}(\mathbf{r}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{IT} \vec{L} \cdot \vec{S}$$

$$+ \sum_{T} V_{IT}^{L2LS}(\mathbf{r}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{IT} \vec{L}^{2} \vec{L} \cdot \vec{S}$$

$$+ \sum_{T} V_{IT}^{T}(\mathbf{r}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{IT} S_{I2}$$

$$+ \sum_{T} V_{IT}^{TLL}(\mathbf{r}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{IT} s_{I2} (\vec{L}, \vec{L})$$

$$+ \sum_{T} V_{IT}^{Tpp}(\mathbf{r}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{IT} \vec{s}_{I2} (\vec{p}_{\Omega}, \vec{p}_{\Omega})$$

$$+ \sum_{T} (\boldsymbol{p}_{T} V_{IT}^{Tpp}(\mathbf{r}) + V_{IT}^{Tpp}(\mathbf{r}) \boldsymbol{p}_{T}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{IT} s_{I2} (\vec{r}, \vec{p}_{\Omega})$$

$E_B(^3He)$	$E_B(^4He)$
7.71 MeV	28.30 MeV
7.48 MeV	28.33 MeV
7.48 MeV	28.33 MeV

• which operators are important ?

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_{UCOM} &= \sum_{S,T} \mathcal{V}_{ST}^{Z}(\mathbf{r}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{ST} \\ &+ \sum_{S,T} \mathcal{V}_{ST}^{L2}(\mathbf{r}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{ST} \vec{L}^{2} \\ &+ \sum_{S,T} \mathcal{I}/2(\vec{p}^{2} \mathcal{V}_{ST}^{p2}(\mathbf{r}) + \mathcal{V}_{ST}^{p2}(\mathbf{r}) \vec{p}^{2}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{ST} \\ &+ \sum_{T} \mathcal{V}_{IT}^{LS}(\mathbf{r}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{IT} \vec{L} \cdot \vec{S} \\ &+ \sum_{T} \mathcal{V}_{IT}^{L2LS}(\mathbf{r}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{IT} \vec{L}^{2} \vec{L} \cdot \vec{S} \\ &+ \sum_{T} \mathcal{V}_{IT}^{T}(\mathbf{r}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{IT} S_{I2} \\ &+ \sum_{T} \mathcal{V}_{IT}^{TLL}(\mathbf{r}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{IT} s_{I2}(\vec{L}, \vec{L}) \\ &+ \sum_{T} \mathcal{V}_{IT}^{Tpp}(\mathbf{r}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{IT} \vec{s}_{I2}(\vec{p}_{\Omega}, \vec{p}_{\Omega}) \\ &+ \sum_{T} (\mathbf{p}_{r} \mathcal{V}_{IT}^{Tpp}(\mathbf{r}) + \mathcal{V}_{IT}^{Tpp}(\mathbf{r}) \mathbf{p}_{r}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{IT} s_{I2}(\vec{r}, \vec{p}_{\Omega}) \end{aligned}$$

$E_B(^3He)$	$E_B(^4He)$
7.71 MeV	28.30 MeV
7.48 MeV	28.33 MeV
7.48 MeV	28.33 MeV
7.48 MeV	28.34 MeV

• which operators are important ?

$$V_{UCOM} = \sum_{S,T} V_{ST}^{Z}(\mathbf{r}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{ST}$$

$$+ \sum_{S,T} V_{ST}^{L2}(\mathbf{r}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{ST} \vec{L}^{2}$$

$$+ \sum_{S,T} 1/2 (\vec{p}^{2} V_{ST}^{p2}(\mathbf{r}) + V_{ST}^{p2}(\mathbf{r}) \vec{p}^{2}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{ST}$$

$$+ \sum_{T} V_{IT}^{LS}(\mathbf{r}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{IT} \vec{L} \cdot \vec{S}$$

$$+ \sum_{T} V_{IT}^{T}(\mathbf{r}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{IT} \vec{L}^{2} \vec{L} \cdot \vec{S}$$

$$+ \sum_{T} V_{IT}^{T}(\mathbf{r}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{IT} S_{I2}$$

$$+ \sum_{T} V_{IT}^{TLL}(\mathbf{r}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{IT} S_{I2} (\vec{L}, \vec{L})$$

$$+ \sum_{T} V_{IT}^{Tpp}(\mathbf{r}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{IT} \vec{s}_{I2} (\vec{p}_{\Omega}, \vec{p}_{\Omega})$$

$$+ \sum_{T} (\vec{p}_{T} V_{IT}^{Tp}(\mathbf{r}) + V_{IT}^{Tp}(\mathbf{r}) \vec{p}_{T}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{IT} \vec{s}_{I2} (\vec{r}, \vec{r})$$

$E_B(^3He)$	$E_B(^4He)$
7.71 MeV	28.30 MeV
7.48 MeV	28.33 MeV
7.48 MeV	28.33 MeV
7.48 MeV	28.34 MeV
5.75 MeV	25.60 MeV

- S=0, T=1 and L=0
- Ansatz: $V = V^{Z}(r) + 1/2 (\vec{p}^{2} V^{p2}(r) + V^{p2}(r) \vec{p}^{2}) \sim \text{UCOM}$

- S=0, T=1 and L=0
- Ansatz: $V = V^{Z}(r) + 1/2 (\vec{p}^{2} V^{p2}(r) + V^{p2}(r) \vec{p}^{2}) \sim \text{UCOM}$



- SRG potential cannot be described by quadratic momentum dependence $V = V^{Z}(\mathbf{r}) + 1/2(\mathbf{\vec{p}}^{2}V^{p2}(\mathbf{r}) + V^{p2}(\mathbf{r})\mathbf{\vec{p}}^{2})$
- higher powers of momentum operator: ~ better, but fit diverges for large k
- \rightarrow nonlocal potential:

$$V(\mathbf{r}) \rightarrow V(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p})$$

$$\langle \vec{r}_{l} | V(\mathbf{r}) | \vec{r}_{2} \rangle = \delta^{3}(\vec{r}_{l} - \vec{r}_{2}) \sum_{j} \gamma_{j} e^{-\frac{\vec{r}_{l}^{2}}{2\kappa_{j}}} \rightarrow \langle \vec{r}_{l} | V(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}) | \vec{r}_{2} \rangle = \sum_{k,l} \gamma_{kl} \sqrt{(2\lambda_{l})^{-3}} e^{-\frac{\vec{s}^{2}}{2\lambda_{l}}} \cdot e^{-\frac{\vec{r}^{2}}{2\kappa_{k}}}$$

$$\vec{r} = \frac{1}{2} (\vec{r}_{l} + \vec{r}_{2}) \qquad \vec{s} = \vec{r}_{l} - \vec{r}_{2}$$

 $\rightarrow 2$

• λ shows how "local" the potential is:

$$\lambda = 0 \rightarrow \langle \vec{r}_1 | V(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{p}) | \vec{r}_2 \rangle \simeq \delta^3 (\vec{r}_1 - \vec{r}_2) \sum_k \gamma_k e^{-\frac{r}{2\kappa_k}}$$

$$\lambda k_F^2 \ll 1 \rightarrow V(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{p}) \approx V^Z(\boldsymbol{r}) + 1/2 (\vec{\boldsymbol{p}}^2 V^{p^2}(\boldsymbol{r}) + V^{p^2}(\boldsymbol{r}) \vec{\boldsymbol{p}}^2)$$

Ansatz:

$$V = V^{Z}(r) + 1/2 (\vec{p}^{2} V^{p2}(r) + V^{p2}(r) \vec{p}^{2}) \rightarrow V(r, p)$$

- partial wave matrix elements $\langle k_1 L | V(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{p}) | k_2 L \rangle = \\ 8i^L \sum_{k,l} \gamma_{kl} \sqrt{(2\lambda_l)^{-3}} \int_0^\infty dr_1 r_1^2 \int_0^\infty dr_2 r_2^2 e^{-\left(\frac{l}{4\kappa_k} + \frac{l}{\lambda_l}\right)(r'^2 + r^2)} j_L \left(\frac{i}{2} \left(\kappa_k^{-1} - 4\lambda_l^{-1}\right) r_1 r_2\right) j_L(k_1 r_1) j_L(k_2 r_2)$
- parameters

$$\kappa = \{0.1 \cdot \sqrt{2}, 0.2, 0.2 \cdot \sqrt{2}, 0.4, \dots, 25.6\} fm^2$$

$$\lambda = \{0, 0.25, 0.5, 1, 2\} fm^2$$

- S=0, T=1 and L=0
- Ansatz: V = V(r, p)



- S=0, T=1 and L=0
- Ansatz: V = V(r, p)



and clemente in mer In

- S=0, T=0 and L=1
- Ansatz: V = V(r, p)



- S=0, T=0 and L=1
- Ansatz: V = V(r, p)





• still to do: operator representation ?

$$V_{SRG} = \sum_{S,T} V_{ST}^{Z}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{p}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{ST}$$

$$+ \sum_{S,T} V_{ST}^{L2}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{p}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{ST} \boldsymbol{\vec{L}}^{2}$$

$$+ \sum_{T} V_{1T}^{LS}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{p}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{1T} \boldsymbol{\vec{L}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\vec{S}}$$

$$+ \sum_{T} V_{1T}^{T}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{p}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{1T} \boldsymbol{S}_{12}$$

$$+ \sum_{T} V_{1T}^{TLL}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{p}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{1T} \boldsymbol{s}_{12}(\boldsymbol{\vec{L}}, \boldsymbol{\vec{L}})$$

Summary and Outlook

- models (for example FMD) use operator representation of nuclear potentials
- determine operator representation starting from partial wave matrix elements
- UCOM: good reproduction by local and quadratic momentum terms
- SRG: complex momentum dependence, nonlocal potentials needed
- outlook:
 - how many operators are needed to describe UCOM potential
 - operator representation for SRG
 - Understanding nature of nonlocality