





Status Testbeam Analysis

<u>Erik Etzelmüller</u>, Michael Düren, Avetik Hayrapetyan, Kristof Kreutzfeldt, Julian Rieke, Mustafa Schmidt

PANDA LVI. Collaboration Meeting 29.02.2016







leading edge vs. channel (Photonis 2)



leading edge vs. channel (Hamamatsu 2)



leading edge vs. channel (Photonis 1)



leading edge vs. channel (Hamamatsu 1)



E. Etzelmüller, Status Testbeam Analysis, 29.02.2016





leading edge vs. channel (Photonis 2)



leading edge vs. channel (Hamamatsu 2)



leading edge vs. channel (Photonis 1)



leading edge vs. channel (Hamamatsu 1)



E. Etzelmüller, Status Testbeam Analysis, 29.02.2016







relative photon t.o.a. leading edge



Excluded Background Distribution (Hamamatsu)



photon t.o.a. leading edge









10⁻⁹

relative photon t.o.a. leading edge <u>€</u>000 uncalibrated calibrated 5000 singal calibrated 4000 3000 2000 1000 0 -0.32 -0.31 -0.3 t (leading edge) [s] -55 -50 -45 t (leading edge) [s] -85 -80 -75 -60 -90 -70 -65 -45 Excluded Background Distribution (Hamamatsu) z 1600 1400 1200 1000 800 600 ╺╉╋┓┲╝╻╻╻╒╸ 400**F** 200 0 **C** 20 30 40 50 70 60 80 70 80 # channel

photon t.o.a. leading edge



Channel Distribution (Hamamatsu)





10 deg, 10 GeV/c



• Good run, all fits converge, TOF-MCP has black outs



10 deg, 10 GeV/c



Good run, all fits converge, TOF-MCP has black outs

11,2 deg, 6 GeV/c

Good run, not all fits converge, TOF-MCP one black out

11,2 deg, 5 GeV/c (x,y-scan)

- change in efficiency during data taking
- data taking over about 10 hours

data vs. MC Photonis

Resolution varies for different AOIs

data vs. MC Hamamatsu

Resolution matches well

impact of beam position

impact of beam profile

Backup

E. Etzelmüller, Status Testbeam Analysis, 29.02.2016

Time Resolution (Hamamatsu A, Channel 57)

relative photon t.o.a. leading edge

Excluded Background Distribution (Hamamatsu)

Channel Distribution (Hamamatsu)

p a n d a

comparison for different momenta

 Positive/negative correlation depending on (relative) position?