

Monte Carlo study for DVCS at Electron-Nucleon Collider (ENC) / Panda

Donghee Kang (Universität Mainz)

- Introduction of DVCS
- Event generation for DVCS
- Panda detector simulation
- Summary and Outlook



Generalized parton distribution (GPDs) can be accessed via Deeply Virtual Compton Scattering (DVCS)



DVCS (in QCD): $ep \rightarrow ep\gamma$

DVCS final state is indistinguishable from the Bethe-Heitler QED process

Interference between QCD and QED gives access to the amplitude of DVCS via ϕ_{ν} asymmetry

Non perturbative region can be described by GPDs using pQCD factorization theorem where is valid in the Bjorken regime :

$$Q^2 >> M^2$$
, $|t| << Q^2$



Generator of $ep \rightarrow ep\gamma$: GenDVCS1.0

DVCS, Bethe Heitler, Interference by Frankfurt, Freund and Strikman model (hep-ph/9710356) based on two gluon exchange at HERA

 $d\sigma_{\rm DVCS}$ is parameterized in terms of DIS differential cross section, for t distribution slope $b = 5.0 \ {\rm GeV^{-2}}$ and $\eta = 0.4243$

Beam energy @ ENCollider

 $E_{p} = 15 \text{ GeV}, E_{e} = 3 \text{ GeV}$ $s = 2(E_{e}E_{p} + |\vec{p}_{e}||\vec{p}_{p}|) + m_{e}^{2} + m_{p}^{2}$ $\approx 180 (\text{ GeV/c}^{2})^{2}$

 $\frac{d\sigma^{\text{DVCS}}}{dxdydtd\phi_r} \sim \frac{1}{Q^6} e^{-b|t|} F_2^2(x,Q^2) (1+\eta^2)$ $\eta = \frac{\operatorname{Re}(A(\gamma^* p \to \gamma p))}{\operatorname{Im}(A(\gamma^* p \to \gamma p))}$ $\frac{d\sigma^{\rm BH}}{dxdydtd\phi_r} \sim \frac{1}{Q^4} \frac{1}{|t|}$ $\frac{d\sigma^{\rm INT}}{dxdydtd\phi_r} \sim \pm \frac{1}{Q^5} \eta \frac{e^{-b|t|/2}}{\sqrt{|t|}} \cos \phi_r$ $\phi_r = \phi'_e - \phi_p$

Universität Mainz

Panda spectrometer





Panda spectrometer





Universität Mainz

MC true information

Statistics : 10k events @ $Q^2 > 1$ (GeV/c²)², 0.01 < $x_{Bj} < 0.99$, 0.0 < |t| < 1.0 (GeV/c²)²









Simulation of geometrical acceptance

Magnet fields :

Max. 2T Solenoid Magnet Max. 1T Dipole Magent



Detector setup (present study)

Detector	Location	Polar angle
Target Spectrometer		
Calorimeter (EM)	Barrel	22 ° < θ < 142 °
Calorimeter (EM)	Forward	5°<θ< 22°
Calorimeter (EM)	Backward	149 ° < θ < 172 °
Micro Vertex	For., Barrel, Back.	10 ° < θ < 170 °
Time Projection Chamber	For., Barrel, Back.	7.8 ° < θ < 160 °
Cherenkov (DIRC)	Barrel	22 ° < θ < 140 °
Cherenkov (DIRC)	Forward	5 ° < θ < 22 °
Time of Flight	Barrel	22 ° < θ < 140 °

Detector	Location	Polar angle
Forward spectrometer		
Drift Chamber	Forward	0.5 ° < θ _x < 10 ° 0.5 ° < θ _y < 5 °
GEM	Forward	0.5 ° < θ < 22 °



Global Tracking and PID are not completely prepared.

LHE tracking package provides a reconstructed track for electron candidate, mainly as a central tracking.

LHE tracking use detector components of Time Projection Chamber (TPC) Micro Vertex Detector (MvD) Time of Fight (ToF) Chrenkov DIRC Electromagnetic Calorimeter (EMC)

Selection for electron candidate

 $\begin{array}{l} P_e > \ 0.2 \ GeV/c \\ \theta_e > \ 80^o \\ Q_{ch} < 0 \end{array}$





Identification of electorn are similar in other detectors







LHETRACK Momentum Resolution



Possible to restore electron?



- Only 10% of electron candidates are associated with EMC in LHE tracking

- Recovering of electron in the range of $156^{\circ} < \theta < 162^{\circ} \& P > 3 \text{ GeV/c}$ can be made with pure EMC information.



How do we can restore electron?



No association with LHE tracking & using the energy loss of EMC cluster

Using purely EMC information :

- if a cluster is associated with charged track, which is already defined by LHE tracking with EMC, those clusters are excluded.
- energy loss of each cluster > 0.2 GeV and $\theta\gamma$ > 5°
- energy loss correction due to leakage and thresholds for single crystal 3 MeV, it is roughly 3%, and depends on energy and θ .



Selection of γ candidate

- Using event shape : $\theta \& \phi$ of electron candidates, defined by LHE tracking $|\theta_e \theta_\gamma| > 5^\circ \& |\phi_e \phi_\gamma| > 5^\circ$
- 0.01 < QA_{cluster} < 0.06 GeV/c² for γ



Quality of Cluster

QA distribution

gamma

electron

10³

10²



$\boldsymbol{\theta}$ distribution for EMC cluster



- The gap between barrel and backward EMC at $142^{\circ} < \theta < 149^{\circ}$ due to old geometry
- Simplest algorithm finds more than 90% photon candidates
- More sophistcate PID will be performed by neural network using energy deposit in the 3×3 module and 5×5 module.

Selection of γ candidate



Tracking for proton candidate





Forward spectrometer tracking package is not completely combined with all devices

To reconstruct proton in FS, an available detector component is used :

Drift Chamber(DCH)





Tracking for proton candidate



Universität Mainz



Tracking for proton candidate



Improvement of proton reconstruction





Reconstruction efficiency for proton can be improved by adding GEM & MvD detector

GEM, DCH & MvD combined tracking package will be prepared soon Expected reconstruction efficiency ~ 80% Full chain MC of DVCS including magnetic field has been simulated with panda detector

- For electron : ~ 90% reconstruction efficiency with LHE tracking & EMC need further improvement of tracking
- For photon : ~ 90% reconstruction efficiency with EMC seems to be OK
- For proton : ~ 70% reconstruction efficiency with only Drift Chamber need global tracking including GEM & MvD

The panda detector see DVCS event & reconstructed well but small θ detections are crucial!



Detector simulation

- · Estimation of resolution, efficiency, and acceptance
- · Calculation of kinematics after combining the full tracking
- · Realistic beam profile & interaction point

MC Generator

- · Use different model of MC generators for DVCS
 - What is more suited for intermediated x_{Bi} ?
 - FFS model (small x_{Bj}) & VGG model (large x_{Bj})
- · Including Bethe-Heitler background & diffractive dissociation