QCD at high baryon density

Mark G. Alford (Glasgow University)

I Introduction to color superconductivity

Phase transitions in quark matter The gap equation

II Different pairing patterns

Three flavors: Color-flavor locking (CFL) Two flavors (2SC)

III Compact stars

Absence of 2SC pairing The CFL-nuclear interface

IV Looking forward

Reviews:

M. Alford: hep-ph/0102047 K. Rajagopal, F. Wilczek: hep-ph/0011333

I. Introduction to color superconductivity

Low temperatures and densities: confined/broken chiral symmetry phase of QCD.

High temperatures: quark-gluon plasma (QGP)

- chiral symmetry restored
- deconfinement
- signatures sought at heavy-ion colliders

High densities: color superconductivity

quarks *pair* in color non-singlets. Various phases:

$$N_f\!=\!3$$
: CFL, chiral symmetry and baryon number broken.

 $N_f = 2$: 2SC, chiral symmetry restored, baryon number unbroken.

Why is 2 flavors so different from 3 flavors? What sort of quark matter do we expect in compact stars?

Quarks at very high density

At sufficiently high density and low temperature, there is a Fermi sea of almost free quarks.



$$F = E - \mu N$$

But quarks have attractive QCD interactions.

Any attractive quark-quark interaction causes pairing instability of the Fermi surface. This is the Bardeen-Cooper-Schrieffer (BCS) mechanism of superconductivity.

$$\langle qq \rangle \neq 0$$

Gap equation in field theory

The field-theoretic way to look for spontaneous symmetry breaking is to make an ansatz for the self-energy, and solve Schwinger-Dyson equations.

This is diagrammatic, but *non-perturbative*.





Note BCS divergence as $\Delta \to 0$: there is *always* a solution, for any interaction strength K and chemical potential μ .

Roughly,

$$egin{array}{rcl} 1 &\sim & K\mu^2\ln{(\Lambda/\Delta)} \ \Rightarrow \Delta &\sim & \Lambda\exp{\left(-rac{1}{K\mu^2}
ight)} \end{array}$$

Superconducting gap is non-perturbative.

II. Different pairing patterns

Three massless flavors: Color-flavor locking (CFL)

Equal number of colors and flavors gives a special pattern of symmetry breaking:

 $\langle q_i^{\alpha} q_j^{\beta} \rangle \sim \delta_i^{\alpha} \delta_j^{\beta} + \kappa \, \delta_j^{\alpha} \delta_i^{\beta}$

color α, β This is invariant under equal and oppositeflavor i, jrotations of color and (vector) flavorOU(2)OU(2)OU(2)

$$\underbrace{SU(3)_{\text{color}} \times \underbrace{SU(3)_L \times SU(3)_R}_{\supset U(1)_Q} \times U(1)_B \to \underbrace{SU(3)_{C+L+R}}_{\supset U(1)_{\tilde{Q}}} \times \mathbb{Z}_2}_{\supset U(1)_{\tilde{Q}}}$$

- All quarks pair, so automatically color neutral.
- Breaks chiral symmetry, but *not* by a $\langle \bar{q}q \rangle$ condensate.
- There need be no phase transition between the low and high density phases: ("quark-hadron continuity")
- Unbroken "rotated" electromagnetism, \tilde{Q} , photon-gluon mixture.





Quark-hadron continuity

Quark	$SU(2)_{C+V}$	$ ilde{Q}$	Hadron	$SU(2)_V$	Q
$\begin{pmatrix} u \end{pmatrix}$	2	+1	$\left(\begin{array}{c}p\end{array}\right)$	2	+1
$\left(\begin{array}{c} d \end{array} \right)$		0	$\left(\begin{array}{c}n\end{array}\right)$	2	0
	ე	0	$\left(\Xi^0 \right)$	ი	0
$\left(\begin{array}{c} s \end{array} \right)$	2	-1	$\left(\Xi^{-} \right)$	2	-1
u-d		0	$\left(\Sigma^{0} \right)$		0
u	3	+1	Σ^+	3	+1
		-1	$\left(\Sigma^{-}\right)$		-1
$u+d+\xi$	$_s$ 1	0	Λ	1	0
$u+d-\xi$	+s 1	0			

Two-flavor color superconductor (2SC)

$$\langle q_i^{\alpha} q_j^{\beta} \rangle \sim \varepsilon_{ij} \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta3}$$

color α, β , flavor i, jThis is a flavor singlet, color $\overline{\mathbf{3}}$.

 $\begin{array}{l} SU(3)_{\text{color}} \times U(1)_Q \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \\ \rightarrow SU(2)_{\text{color}} \times U(1)_{\tilde{Q}} \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \end{array}$

- Two colors are special: color neutrality must be enforced.
- No global symmetries are broken, so no order parameter.
- Chiral symmetry is restored at high density.
- Unbroken "rotated" electromagnetism, $ilde{Q}$, photon-gluon mixture.
- Unbroken "rotated" baryon number $ilde{B} = ilde{Q} + I_3$.



Three flavors ($m_s = 0$), CFL: $\langle q_i^{\alpha} q_j^{\beta} \rangle \sim \delta_i^{\alpha} \delta_j^{\beta} - c \, \delta_i^{\alpha} \delta_i^{\beta}$ chiral symmetry broken $SU(3)_{color} \times SU(3)_L \times SU(3)_R \times U(1)_B \rightarrow SU(3)_{C+L+R}$ 2+1 flavors (low m_s), CFL: u-s and d-s pairs differ from u-d, chiral symmetry broken $SU(3)_{color} \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)_Y \times U(1)_B \rightarrow$ $SU(2)_{C+L+R} \times U(1)_Y \xrightarrow{\langle \kappa \rangle} 1$ 2 flavors (high m_s), 2SC: Strange quarks decouple, u and d pair, $\langle q_i^{\alpha} q_j^{\beta} \rangle \sim \varepsilon_{ij} \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta3}$ chiral symmetry unbroken $SU(3)_{color} \times U(1)_Q \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \rightarrow$ $SU(2)_{
m color} imes U(1)_{\tilde{O}} imes SU(2)_L imes SU(2)_R$

III. Compact stars

Where in the universe is color-superconducting quark matter most likely to exist? In compact stars.

A quick history of a compact star.

A star of mass $M \gtrsim 10 M_{\odot}$ burns Hydrogen by fusion, ending up with an Iron core. Core grows to Chandrasekhar mass, collapses \Rightarrow supernova. Remnant is a compact star:

mass	radius	density	initial temp
$\sim 1.4 M_{\odot}$	$\mathcal{O}(10{ m km})$	$\geqslant ho_{\sf nuclear}$	$\sim 30~{ m MeV}$

The star cools by neutrino emission for the first million years.

Signatures of color superconductivity in compact stars

Transport properties, mean free paths, conductivities, viscosities, etc.

- 1. Glitches and crystalline ("LOFF") pairing
- 2. Cooling by neutrino emission, neutrino pulse at birth
- 3. r-mode instability

Equation of state

Pressure of quark matter relative to hadronic vacuum

$$p \sim \mu^4 + \Delta^2 \mu^2 - B$$

If bag constant is large enough to bring quark matter close to stability, a superconducting gap Δ may have large effects.

Quark matter in compact stars

• Weak equilibrium

$$u \rightarrow d e^+ \bar{\nu} \qquad \qquad \mu_u = \bar{\mu} - \frac{2}{3}\mu_e$$
$$u \rightarrow s e^+ \bar{\nu} \qquad \qquad \mu_d = \mu_s = \bar{\mu} + \frac{1}{3}\mu_e$$

• Electromagnetic neutrality

$$\frac{2}{3}N_u - \frac{1}{3}N_d - \frac{1}{3}N_s - N_e = 0$$

But there may be a globally neutral mixture of positive nuclear matter with negative quark matter

• Color neutrality. In unitary gauge, number of red, green, blue quarks must be the same. The cost of projecting to a color singlet is then negligible.

$$\langle Q_3 \rangle = \langle Q_8 \rangle = 0, \quad T_3 = diag(1, -1, 0), \quad T_8 = diag(1, 1, -2)$$

Does the 2SC phase occur in compact stars?

The CFL phase is innately neutral, but the 2SC phase needs an electrostatic potential to render it neutral. This imposes a free energy cost. Expanding free energy to $(M_s/\mu)^4$ and $(\Delta/\mu)^2$, we find that 2SC never occurs.

Plot free energy $\Delta F = F - F_{\text{unpaired}}$:



Possible bulk nuclear/quark matter phase diagram



Mixed NM-CFL phase in compact stars

Glendenning, Phys. Rev. D46, 1274 (1992)

$$Q = \left. \frac{\partial p}{\partial \mu} \right|_{\mu_B}$$



(1) Impose local neutrality: NM to CFL transition at one radius, $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow D$. (2) Only impose global neutrality: NM to CFL transition over a range of radii, following *mixed phase* co-existence line $A \rightarrow D$.

Mixed phase is favored if surface tension is small, so positive NM and negative CFL can comingle.

Sharp interface or mixed phase?

Free-energy advantage of sharp transition over mixed phase, $F_{\text{mixed}} - F_{\text{sharp}}$.



For $\sigma\gtrsim40$ MeV, the sharp interface is favored.

Phenomenology of the boundary structure

<u>Mixed phase</u>: neutrinos have short mean free path due to coherent scattering off droplets. Could affect time-signature of supernova neutrino pulse.

<u>Sharp interface</u>: Density changes discontinuously by a factor of 2. Affects mass-radius relationship, and also gravitational waves emitted in collisions between compact stars.

IV. Looking forward

- Compact-star phenomenology:
 - Crystalline phase and glitches
 - Nuclear-quark interface: mixed phase
 - conductivity and emissivity (neutrino cooling)
 - shear and bulk viscosity (*r*-mode spin-down)
- Other phenomenology:
 - Diquark condensate model of *zero density* confining phase.
 - Role of quark pairing in heavy-ion collisions.
- Other questions:
 - "Kaon" condensation in CFL phase
 - Better weak-coupling calculations, include vertex corrections
 - Go beyond mean-field, include fluctuations.