Beam monitoring during the STT COSY tests. Cluster counting in a time expansion chamber with GEM preamplification stages and FQDC readout.

protons and deuterons with momenta from 2.95 down to 0.6 GeV/c were provided by COSY team into Big Karl external beam line in 2014 and May 2015.

beam tuning and monitoring from COSY control room, experimental counting room

three stations of tracking detectors - DC, straw tubes in addition to STT1 and STT2

two modules of a hybrid detector geometry with GEM foils as preamplifications stages in a drift chamber - GEMDC

readout -CMP16 and F1 TDC, 240 MHz FQDC, WASA ROOTSORTER and XML on-line interface

monitoring time spectra – beam time structure, channel multiplicities, beam shapes

Tests with the COSY beam



JCHP-FFE CANU Meeting, 16.12.2014, Bad Honnef

Big Karl beam setup









run9857, 0.6 GeV/c, protons



run 9748, protons, 0.8 GeV/c



run 10614, beam shapes, protons 1.0 GeV/c



run 6082, 03.12.2011, protons, 2.95 GeV/c, ~ 400 kHz/straw



PANDA, Uppsala, May 2015, V. Serdyuk

abnormal beam shapes

run11209



run 11216



abnormal beam shapes

run11217



normal beam shapes

run 11235



Simulations of dE/dx for PANDA-STT



PANDA, Uppsala, May 2015, V. Serdyuk

• H. Walenta, NIM v.161, 1979, p.146 $\sigma/\mu = 0.41 * n^{-0.43} * (Xp)^{-0.32}$

n - samplings number,
X - thickness in cm,
P - pressure in atm

For PANDA STT, radial track, 27 hits, dE/dx resolution 8%

M. Hauschild/Nucl. Instr. and Meth. in Phys. Res. A 379 (1996) 436-441

Table 1

Summary of dE/dx performance of present large scale detectors. The dE/dx resolutions are given for single isolated tracks and for tracks in multi-hadronic events (parenthesis), where available.

Detector	Туре	Size $(\emptyset \times L)$	B (T)	Gas mixture	No. of sam- ples	Sam- pling length	dE/dx res. (σ /mean)	Trune. mean	Ref.
ALEPH (LEP)	TPC	3.6 m × 4.4 m	1.5	Ar/CH ₄ (91/9), 1 bar	338	4 mm	4.5%	8-60%	[12]
ARGUS (DORIS)	drift ch.	1.7 m × 2 m	0.8	C3H8/methylal (97/3), 1 bar	36	18 mm	4.1% (4.4%)	10-70%	[18]
BES (BEPC)	jet cells	2.3 m × 2.1 m	0.4	Ar/CO2/CH4 (89/10/1), 1 bar	54	5 mm	9.0%	70%	[19]
CDF (TEVATRON)	jet cells	2.6 m × 3.2 m	1.5	Ar/C2H6/C2H6O (49.6/49.6/0.8), 1 bar	32	12 mm	7.0%	n.a.	[20]
CLEO II (CESR)	drift ch.	1.9 m × 1.9 m	1.5	Ar/C2H6 (50/50), 1 bar	51	14mm	6.2% (7.1%)	50%	[21]
CRISIS (TEVATRON)	jet ch.	$1 \text{m} \times 1 \text{m} \times 3 \text{m}$	-	Ar/CO2 (80/20), 1 bar	192	15 mm	3.2%	75%	[22]
DELPHI (LEP)	TPC	$2.4 \text{m} \times 2.7 \text{m}$	1.2	Ar/CH ₄ (80/20), 1 bar	192	4mm	5.7% (6.2%)	80%	[11]
D0 FDC (TEVATRON)	jet ch.	$1.2 \mathrm{m} \times 0.3 \mathrm{m}$	- 7	Ar/CH4/CO2 (93/4/3), 1 bar	32	8 mm	12.7%	70%	[23]
HI (HERA)	jet ch.	1.7 m × 2.2 m	1.13	Ar/C2H6 (50/50), 1 bar	56	10 mm	8.0%		[4]
JADE (FETRA)	jet ch.	1.6m × 2.4m	0.48	Ar/CH4/iC4H10 (88.7/8.5/2.8), 4bar	48	10 mm	6.5% (7.2%)	5-70%	[9]
KEDR (VEPP-4M)	jet cells	$1.1 \text{m} \times 1.1 \text{m}$	2.0	DME (100), 1 bar	42	10 mm	10%	5-70%	[24]
MARK II (SLC)	drift ch.	3.0 m x 2.3 m	0.475	Ar/CO2/CH4 (89/10/1), 1 bar	72	8.33 mm	7.0%	5-75%	[25]
NA49 (SPS)	TPC	$4m \times 4m \times 1.2m$	-	Ar/CH4/CO2 (90/5/5), 1 bar	100	40 mm	4.4%	10-70%	[26]
OBELIX (LEAR)	jet ch.	1.6m × 1.4m	0.5	Ar/C2H6 (50/50), 1bar	40	15 mm	12%	70%	[27]
OFAL (LEP)	jet ch.	3.6m × 4m	0.435	Ar/CH4/iC4H10 (88.2/9.8/2), 4bar	159	10 mm	2.8% (3.2%)	70%	[28]
SLD (SLC)	jet cells	$2m \times 2m$	0.6	CO2/Ar/iC4H10 (75/21/4), 1bar	80	6 mm	7.0%	B.8.	[29]
TOPAZ (TRISTAN)	TPC	2.4m x 2.2m	1.0	Ar/CH4 (90/10), 3.5 bar	175	4 mm	4.4% (4.6%)	65%	[14]
TPC/2y (PEP)	TPC	2.0 m × 2.0 m	1.375	Ar/CH4 (80/20), 8.5 bar	183	4 mm	3.0%	65%	[16]
ZEUS (HERA)	jet cells	$1.7 \mathrm{m} \times 2.4 \mathrm{m}$	1.43	Ar/CO2/C2H6 (90/8/2), 1bar	72	8 mm	8.5%	n.a.	[30]

^a Gaussian transformation used, see Section 2.2.

A fit to the single track resolutions results in:

$$\frac{\sigma(dE/dx)}{dE/dx} = 5.5L^{-0.36} \quad (\%) \tag{11}$$

very similar to the result by Lehraus indicating that the systematics of present large detectors are well under control. A summary of the detectors is given in Table 1. The best achieved dE/dx resolutions were obtained with the high

December '14 test - preliminary results



JCHP-FFE CANU Meeting, 16.12.2014, Bad Honnef

K. Pysz

dE/dx measured by classical method in STT1 and estimations, for protons with momenta : 0.64, 1.0, 1.3, 2.0, 2.95 GeV/c



dE/dx~beta^(**-1.63**) NIM, A367, 1995, p.248

PANDA, Uppsala, May 2015, V. Serdyuk

Parameter	GEM-DC 0	GEM-DC 2			
Nofstarked OFM fails	9	9			
Active area of DC / cm ²	16 x 16				
GEM foil thickness / µm	50				
Area of GEM foils / cm ²	10 x 10				
Hole diameter / µm	70				
Hole pitch / µm	140				
Drift gap / mm	3	35			
Drift electrode	Al	Carbon			
Gas mixture	Ar / Ethane 80:20				
HV _{drift}	2440	3430			
HV _{GEM-top}	2350	3350			
HV _{DC}	1400	1400			



File 0001



1 mksec

File 0011



Time spectrum in GEMDC



PANDA, Uppsala, May 2015, V. Serdyuk

correlation number of clusters versus time (a) in GEMDC0 and number of clusters registered in GEMDC0 and GEMDC2, run 11448, protons, 0.8 GeV/c



PANDA, Uppsala, May 2015, V. Serdyuk

number of clusters registered in GEMDC2, run 11448, protons, 0.8 GeV/c



PANDA, Uppsala, May 2015, V. Serdyuk

dE/dx by cluster counting-measurements and estimations, for protons 0.6, 0.8, 2.95 GeV/c, May 2015



PANDA, Uppsala, May 2015, V. Serdyuk





Summary

On-line control of the beam profiles, timing, multiplicities using additional gas coordinate detectors, histogram control

could be used off-line qualitatively during beam data analysis

An alternative approach for dE/dx using GEM preamplification stages in a wire chamber GEMDC – tested with beams at different momenta

GEMDC signal pattern – a complicated time structure used for testing of the FQDC FPGA algorithm



PANDA, Uppsala, May 2015, V. Serdyuk

beam time structure in July 2014 COSY test

run9664,19.07.2014, 2.95 GeV/c, ~ 10kHz, mult.~1.5 run9705, 20.07.2014, 2.95 GeV/c, mult.~1.15



PANDA, Uppsala, May 2015, V. Serdyuk

number of clusters and registered in GEMDC0, run 10099, deuterons, 2 GeV/c



PANDA, Uppsala, May 2015, V. Serdyuk

dE/dx at Large Detectors



Particle Separation Power (charge measurement + cluster counting)

Shape of particle separation power differs

- maximum separation at somewhat higher momenta for cluster counting more separation below, less separation above certain momentum for cluster counting



Bethe-Bloch (charge measurement + cluster counting)

Relativistic rise looks quite different

Gaseous Tracking and dE/dx at Future Colliders

- Fermi plateau reached much earlier with cluster counting

particle separation for cluster counting stops at lower momenta



Michael Hauschild - CERN, Teilchenphysikseminar, Bonn, 5-Jul-2007, p. 30

run 10185, 1.0 GeV/c, deuterons, time spectra



GEMDC0, double GEM

GEMDC2, tripple GEM

PANDA, Uppsala, May 2015, V. Serdyuk

run 10185, 1.0 GeV/c, deuterons

