APFEL signals at Mainz

Luigi Capozza



PANDA CM 03/2015 – Gießen, 17/3/2015 EMC Session

Outline



- Introduction
- Setup overview
- Signal examples
- Pulse height extraction
- Data from last test beam

Introduction



Relative energy resolution

$$\frac{\sigma_E}{E} = a \oplus \frac{b}{\sqrt{E/\text{GeV}}} \oplus \frac{c}{E}$$

- ▶ In this talk: electronic noise contribution c/E
- ► TDR requirement:

$$c = 1 \text{ MeV}$$

Single crystal energy threshold (E_{xtl})

- Lowest energy distinguishable from noise
- **b** Below E_{xtl} : contribution shower leakages
- ► TDR requirement: $E_{\text{xtl}} = 3 \text{ MeV}$



APFEL ASICs





6.5 mm

- reads out 2 APDs
- charge sensitive preamplifier
- shaper (pulse width $\sim \mu$ s)
- 2 main amplifiers (2 gains)
- 4 differential output channels



- bonded on a FFC-board
- power and programming lines
- HV lines for the APDs
- output signal lines



P. Wieczorek, H. Flemming, IEEE Nucl.Sci.Symp.Conf.Rec. 2010, 1319-1322







Readout and DAQ



Sampling ADC

- Febex3a module from the GSI
- Sampling rate: 50 MSample/s
- Resolution: 12 bit
- ▶ Input range: −1 V ... +1 V

Data acquisition

- MBS system from the GSI
- PCI optical receiver (PEXOR) for ADC interface
- PCI trigger/dead time unit (TRIXOR/EXPLODER)
- Extensible with VME branch



PEXOR



















Baselines





- Hum frequency ~40 kHz
- Introduced by the line driver
- Gets amplified by closing ASIC input



- Subtraction the sin function
- Noise level like expected from the ASIC design

Signals summary



Status

- Noise from line drivers: only the slow 40 kHz hum
- Amplified when ASIC input closed
- No extra noise from APDs and detector

To do:

- Find the cause and eliminate the hum
- Doable in the in the lab (no need for beam)

In the mean time:

- We have data from last year beam time
- Try to extract information from them
- Need to handle the signals offline

Pulse height determination



- Easiest approach: simple "baseline restoration"
 - calculate baseline on a window
 - find the maximum
 - subtract the baseline

Not feasible with the hum
adds much more noise



Two-windows filter





Two-windows filter





Baseline subtraction







Relative resolution





Simple baseline restoration:



- \Rightarrow Parameter c of σ_E/E
- Upper limit without hum: 10 mV $\Rightarrow \sim 3 \text{ MeV}$ (TDR wants 1 MeV)
- Possible solution: higher APD gain (higher bias voltage)



Baseline width





- Calculate std. dev. of the baseline
- 2-Windows: broader
- Subtraction: higher mean value
- Current threshold: 35 mV
- Expected w/o hum:
 - similar to baseline subtraction
 - reduced by a factor $\sqrt{2}$
- Reasonable threshold: 20 mV
 - $\Rightarrow E_{\rm xtl} \sim 6 \, {\rm MeV}$ (TDR wants 3 MeV)
- Possible solution: higher APD gain

Results from beam test



Energy calibration:



Relative energy resolution:



- Test beam at MAMI (2014)
- Array of 16 crystals (Proto16)
- Beam energy 855 MeV
- Tagged γs
- 13 different energies

- 2-windows filter used
- good linearity (ASIC high/low gain ratio to be improved)
- relative energy resolution at 1 GeV: 4.5%
- E_{xtl} used: 11 MeV (conservative!)

Summary



We have:

- prototype with ASIC/line drivers/DAQ
- some issues to solve with the signal transmission
- beam data

We are doing:

- trying to solve signal transmission issues
- using offline filtering to extract information

Current estimation:

- Noise term c = 3 MeV
- Single crystal threshold $E_{\text{xtl}} = 6 \text{ MeV}$

We plan:

- operate APDs at higher gain
- next beam test: June

Backup

Energy spectra



