# Status of FTOF wall detector

# Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute (PNPI)

S.Belostotski

Wien, December 2015

# PANDA Time-of-Flight detectors



# Forward TOF wall configuration



#### **Bicron 408**

(recommended for large TOF counters)Rise time0.9 nsDecay time2.1 ns1/e light attenuation length210cm

 Fast
 PMTs (hamamtsu)

 R4998 1" (R9800) , R2083 2" (R9779)

 Anode pulse rise time
 0.7-1.8ns

 TTS
 250-370ps (FWHM)

 Gain
 1.1-5.7x10<sup>6</sup>

# Forward TOF wall functions

- PID of forward emitted particles using time-of-flight information: protons < 4.5 GeV, kaons < 3.5 GeV, pions < 3. GeV where forward RICH is not effective time resolution of 50-100 ps required FS momentum resolution 0.01
- Event start stamp reference time
- Possibility to use Abar for detector calibration
- Can be used as start for determination of the drift time in DCs

# FTOF wall hadron ID



TOF resolution  $\sigma_{TOF} = 50 \text{ or } 100 \text{ ps}$ 

FS momentum resolution  $\Delta p/p=0.01$ 



Track multiplicity/event in TOF detectors at 10 GeV



### FTOF wall and barrel TOF interplay



#### Count rates of FTOF wall and e<sup>+</sup> e<sup>-</sup> background at 5 GeV (3.5 MHz)



#### Count rates of FTOF wall and e<sup>+</sup> e<sup>-</sup> background at 10 GeV (3.5 MHz)



## **Detection Efficiency of FTOF wall**

 $0.72 \times 10^6 \ \overline{pp}$  interactions @10 GeV,  $\frac{\sigma(p)}{\rho} = 0.01$ ,  $\sigma(TOF) = 50 \ ps$ р

		Generated by DPM	Detected by FTOF wall	detection efficiency
	$\pi^-$	880346	172188	0.195
	$\pi^+$	877255	150440	0,171
	$K^-$	30179	5820	0.192
	$K^+$	26811	2863	0.107
	$\overline{p}$	453293	202174	0.446
Both	p	398323	51241	0.129
proton and	$\overline{\Lambda} \rightarrow \overline{p} + \pi^+$	19874	3840	0.193
detected	$\Lambda \to p + \pi^-$	19518	≈100	$\approx 5 \cdot 10^{-3}$
with FTOF				



# Prototyping. Test stand layout and electronics



Electronics contribution  $\sigma_{el} = 30 \text{ ps}^{1.1}$ 

#### **PMT** characteristics

PMT	Photocathode	Anode	Electron	Transition	Gain /	Typical
	diameter	pulse rise	transition	time spread	106	voltage
	(mm)	time (ns)	time (ns)	(ps)		(V)
R4998	25 (1 inch)	0.7	10	160	5.7	2250
R9800	25 (1 inch)	1.	11	270	1.1	1300
R2083	51 (2 inch)	0.7	16	370	2.5	3000
R9779	51 (2 inch)	1.8	20	250	0.5	1500
XP2020	51 (2 inch)	1.6	28	??	30	2000





## **Test station results**

After offline amplitude corrections



PMT_1	$\sigma_{TDC_1}$ (ps)	$\sigma_{PMT}$ (ps)
R4998 (4998/4998)	72.	44.4
R9800 (4998/9800)	86.	64.6
R2083 (2083/2083)	72.6	44.9
R9779 (2083/9779)	64	56.5
XP2020 (2.5, 2.36kV)	82	52,3

After corrections for electronics and track walk

## **SiPM timing tests**



Variant A



Amplitude correction  $\Delta t = \Delta t_0 - a(\frac{1}{\sqrt{q_1}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{q_2}}) - b$ 

variant A S10931 after corrections  $\sigma = 103 \text{ ps}$ 

variant B KETEK 6660 after corrections  $\sigma = 65 \text{ ps}$ 

Table 1. Main parameters and time resolution of REFER 0000.							
Supply	Signal	Noise	Current	Current	$\sigma_{TDC 1}$	$\sigma_{\rm mc}$ .	$\sigma_{\text{KETEK}}$
voltage	amplitude	amplitude	without	with	(ps)	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$	(ps)
(V)	(mV)	(mV)	90Sr	90Sr		N 2	
			(mkA)	(mkA)		(ps)	
26.35	20÷30	~ 0.3	7.5	9	120	84.8	81.1
26.85	70÷90	~ 0.5	11	13	100	70.7	66.1
20.05	70.90	$\sim 0.5$	11	15	100	/0./	00.1

Table 4. Main parameters and time resolution of KETEK 6660.

## Application of TRB-3 readout underway in PNPI



# Prototyping using proton beams

**PNPI 1 GeV synchrocyclotron** 

740 and 920 Mev protons selected with magnetic spectrometer

#### COSY test beam in Juelich 2 GeV

MIP protons





Slab put horizontally in spectrometer focal plane at movable frame. MWPCs provide hit position with  $\delta x \approx 1 \text{ mm}$ 

# Beam tests at 1 GeV PNPI SC



Scintillation Efficiency several 10<sup>4</sup> photons/MeV

# Off-line time resolution



Hit position and pulse amplitude corrections

on event basis calculated are

$$\tau_{13}, \tau_{14}, \tau_{23}, \tau_{24}, \tau_{34}$$
  
$$\tau_{nk} = t_n - t_k - a(\frac{1}{\sqrt{q_n}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{q_k}}) - bx - c,$$

x hit position along the scintillation slab,  $t_n, t_k$  time stamp measured with TDC,  $q_n, q_k$  measured with QDC, a,b,c free parameters to minimize  $\tau_{nk}$ timing resolution is  $\sigma$  of (corrected)  $\tau_{nk}$  distribution.

# Timing resolution results from 1 GeV PNPI SC



## Prototyping summary

- The time resolution of 60–65 ps was obtained for the scintillation counters recommended for prototypes for the FTOF wall.
- The time resolution of 50 ps was obtained for the slabs of 2.5 cm width. Practical application of such slabs however would result in increase of number of channels which may confront the detector cost limitation.
- The time resolution of 80 ps was obtained for the scintillation counter based on the slab of 2.5 cm width viewed with the Electron PMT 187. These mesh PMTs can operate in magnetic fields up to 0.5 T without deterioration of time resolution.
- Samples with slabs of 1.5 cm thickness originally projected for the FTOF wall showed essentially worse time resolution than those of 2.5 cm thickness.
- A precise measurement of the hit position seems crucial to get the timing resolution on the level of 60 ps. Without independent information on hit position, the timing resolution of 80 ps has been measured.
  - A satisfactory result was obtained for KETEK PM6660 samples at test station. A raw timing resoluton of  $\sigma = 71$  ps (per a SiPM sample) was directly measured, and after corrections it was obtained  $\sigma_{PM6660} = 66$  ps. The measurements with large scintilltors has not yet been done.
  - A very tentative test of radiation hardness of SiPMs has been made in PNPI using not powered S0931-50p SiPM (3x3 mm<sup>2</sup>) sample exposed to 1 GeV proton beam. It was found that the radiation dose equivalent to 0.45 x 10<sup>11</sup> protons having passed through the active area of the sample is crucial for its operation capabilities.

• MC simulation.

- time dependent event reconstruction analysis

#### • Related to FSTT.

- FS momentum resolution  $\Delta p/p$  must be 1% -vertical hit position uncertainty?  $\Delta y=1$  mm corresponds 5.3 ps (BC-408) expected at present design FSTT  $\Delta y=5-10$  mm  $\rightarrow$  up to  $\Delta(tof) \approx 60$  ps -uncertainty in track reconstruction?  $\Delta L_{track} / L_{track} = 0.1\% \rightarrow \Delta(tof) \approx 30$  ps

• FTOF wall position behind RICH.

- RICH width is smaller than sensitive area of FTOF wall, deterioration of track information at FTOF wall side slabs

• FTOF wall width is 5.6 m while FSTT last station width is 3.9 m, thus side parts of FTOF wall are out of FSTT acceptance.

reduce FTOF wall width ??

- Hardware:
  - finalize TRB-3 readout tests
  - definitive decision on Hamamatsu PMs (type, housing, divider, price,.).
  - on-line laser calibration system (??)

- HV-power supply: commercial or PNPI production HVDS3200 designed for Nustar R3B FAIR (neutron detector)

# Conclusion

- MC simulation demonstrates important functions of FTOF wall:
  - PID of forward emitted particles with momenta below 3-4 GeV
  - determination of event start time stamp
  - possibility to use Abar for detector calibration
- Maximum count rate in central part of FTOF wall at L =10<sup>32</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> is below 3x10<sup>6</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. Background related to e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> pairs production peaked at very low momenta is small.
- Prototyping is completed. Timing resolution of 60 ps is measured. The measurements were performed using 920 MeV protons selected by the magnetic spectrometer.
- Without hit position precise information, timing resolution of 80 ps has been obtained.
- TDR drafting has not yet been finished. It is planned to circulate within Collaboration in March.

# Supporting slides

## Time resolution without hit position correction



 $\tau_3 + \tau_4 = \tau$  constant light propagation time through slab

 $T_3 = T_1 + t + \tau_3$   $T_4 = T_1 + t + \tau_4$ 

$$(T_3 - T_1) + (T_4 - T_1) = T_{31} + T_{41} = 2t + \tau$$

sensitive to measured time, not sensitive to hit position

$$(T_3 - T_1) - (T_4 - T_1) = T_3 - T_4 + \tau - 2\tau_4$$

sensitive to hit position, not sensitive to measured time

#### Time and hit position measurements using TDC information only

x	(T <sub>41</sub> -T <sub>31</sub> )/2	σ <sub>431</sub> -	(T <sub>41</sub> +T <sub>31</sub> )/2	σ <sub>431</sub> +	(T <sub>42</sub> -T <sub>32</sub> )/2	σ <sub>432</sub> -	(T <sub>42</sub> +T <sub>32</sub> )/2	σ <sub>432</sub> +
cm	ps	ps	ps	ps	ps	ps	ps	ps
60	1504	99	11950	148,5	1503,5	100,5	11580	120,5
40	2770,5	74	11865	138,5	2770,5	74,5	11510	102
20	3904	90,5	11975	145,5	3904	90,5	11630	114
0	5025	76	11920	136,5	5025	75,5	11580	103,5
-20	6255	81,5	11940	150	6255	82,5	11630	115,5
-40	7460	84	11895	143,5	6890	85	11560	112,5
-60	8655	93,5	11945	148,5	8655	93,5	11600	121



 $\tau = 59.12 \,\text{ps} \,/\,\text{cm} \times 140 \,\text{cm} = 8276.8 \,\text{ps}$ 

v<sub>BC408</sub> = 1/59.12 = 0.17mm/ps speed of light in BC408 = 0.19 mm/ps

hit position resolution 80ps x 0.17mm/ps = 13.6 mm Off line time resolutions obtained as weighted means with amplitude and hit position correction using 920 MeV protons

scintillation slab dimensions (cm)	PMT	timing resolution σ (ps)	comment
140 × 10 × 2.5	Hamamatsu R2083 (both ends)	63	RecommendedforaprototypefortheFTOFwall.
140 × 5 × 2.5	Hamamatsu R4998 (both ends)	60	Recommended for a prototype for the FTOF wall
140 × 2.5 × 2.5	Hamamatsu R4998 (both ends)	43	a variant of a prototype with smaller stintillator width
140× 5 × 1.5	Hamamatsu R4998 (both ends)	$\approx 88$	projected originally for the FTOF wall
140 × 2.5 × 2.5	Electron PMT 187 (both ends)	78	magnetic field protected,
1×1×1	Electron PMT 187, Hamamatsu R4998	49	"net" timing resolution of one PMT

27

#### **Count rates in frame of DPG**

Number of events selected from 100 generated PP collisions chosen arbitrarily, at 10 GeV

$\overline{p} p \rightarrow \overline{p} p$	24	$\overline{p} p \rightarrow \overline{p} p \pi^{0}$	5
$\overline{p} p \rightarrow \overline{n} n \pi^0$	3	$\overline{p} p \rightarrow \overline{p} n \pi^+$	3
$\overline{p} p \rightarrow \overline{p} p \pi^+ \pi^-$	2	$\overline{p} p \rightarrow \overline{n} p \pi^0 \pi^-$	2
$\overline{p} p \rightarrow \overline{p} n \pi^+ \pi^0$	2	$\overline{p}  p  ightarrow \overline{p}  p  \pi^0  \pi^+  \pi^-$	9
$\overline{p} p \rightarrow \overline{n} p \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^-$	4	$\overline{p} p \rightarrow \overline{p} p \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^-$	4
$\overline{p} p \rightarrow \overline{\Lambda} n \overline{K}^{0} \pi^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$	1		

# Hadron count rate by TOF wall at 0.35x10<sup>7</sup>/s interactions in target

p̄ beam momentum, GeV/c	Pion rate, 1/s	Kaon rate, 1/s	Proton rate, 1/s	Antiproton rate, 1/s
2	3.9×10 <sup>5</sup>	2×10 <sup>3</sup>	1.2×10 <sup>4</sup>	1.07×10 <sup>6</sup>
5	6×10 <sup>5</sup>	7.8×10 <sup>3</sup>	3.8×10 <sup>4</sup>	9.5×10 <sup>5</sup>
15	9.6×10 <sup>5</sup>	4.7×10 <sup>4</sup>	3.2×10 <sup>4</sup>	8.2×10 <sup>5</sup>

High rate of  $\pi^0$ Bgr expected from  $\pi \rightarrow 2\gamma \ \gamma \rightarrow e^+ e^-$ 

# Cost estimation update

#### **FTOF** wall

Plastic scintillators	
B408 20u.140x5x2.5cm+46u.140x10x2.5cm	40 k€
PMTs 1" 760 € 40u. +5u.(spare)	42
PMTs, 2" 1270 € 92u.+20u.(spare)	155
FEE+DAQ	35
HV power supply	22
Monitoring/calibration system	25
Supporting structure, mechanical items	75
Test stand for mass production	35
Transportation, custom expenses	42
	••••
	471 k€

From RRB February 2014  $470 \ k \in$ 

# FTOF wall mechanics.



FTOF wall front view

Scintillation counter mechanical components

#### LIGHT GUIDES FOR 1" AND 2" PMTs



# FSTT impact on FTOF

Tracking		Active area		Number of modules	Number of straw tubes
station	$z_{min} - z_{max}$	w	h		
	[mm]	[mm]	[mm]		
1	2954-3104	1338	640	4x10=40	4x288 = 1152
2	3274-3424	1338	640	4x10=40	4x288 = 1152
3	3945-4245	1782	690	4x12=48	4x384 = 1536
4	4385-4685	2105	767	4x14 = 56	4x448=1792
5	6075-6225	3923	1200	4x27 = 108	4x824 = 3296
6	6395-6545	3923	1200	4x27 = 108	4x824 = 3296

Table 1.1: Positions, width and height of active area, number of modules and number of straw tubes in the Forward Tracker stations. In the second column z-coordinate of the first and forth double layers are given. The indicated width and height of active area corresponds to dimensions of the first double layer with vertical straws in individual tracking stations.

#### **Dipole TOF** positioned inside the dipole magnet gap as

## planned for TDR

Projected 2x10 scintillation slabs 80÷100x10x2.5cm readout from each end with Electron PMT 187



Diameter	30mm
Photocathode	20mm
Anode pulse rise time	1.4ns
TTS	≈500ps
Gain	5x10 <sup>5</sup>
W.m. emission	380nm
( 80%	at 420nm)
HV	1800v



tested in magnetic field up to 0.5T

Alternative solution SiPMs provided timing resolution better than 100ps

radiation hardness??

#### Not sensitive to mag. F.(!)

*SiPMs(hamamatsu)* S10931-50p, S10931-100p

active area3x3mmPixels3600Gain $7.5x10^5 - 2.4x10^6$ W.m. emission440nmTTS0.5-0.6ns(FWHM)

#### TDR TABLE OF CONTENT

#### 1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1.1 INTRODUCTION: THE PANDA EXPERIMENT AT HESR, GSI

**1.2.FORWARD TIME-OF-FLIGHT DETECTORS** 

**1.3 EXPERIMENTAL TESTS OF PROTOTYPES** 

**1.4.CONCLUSION** 

2. PHYSICS CASE OF FTOF, MC STUDY 2.1 FORWARD SPECTROMETER TOF PID 2.2 FTOF WALL COUNT RATES, BACKGROUND 2.3 HADRON DETECTION WITH FTOF WALL 2.4 STRANGE HYPERONS DETECTION

2.6 INTERPLAY OF FTOF WALLAND BARELL TOF

# 3. TECHNICAL DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS OF FTOF WALL

#### 3.1 PLASTIC SCINTILLATORS AND PHOTO DETECTORS

3.2 DIGITIZATION OF SCINTILLATION COUNTER RESPONSE

#### **4.EXPERIMENTAL STUDY OF PROTOTYPES**

4.1 STUDY OF PHOTODETECTORS USING TEST STATION

4.2 PROTPTYPING AT PROTON BEAMS

4.3 FTOF PROTOTYPING SUMMARY

5. MECHANICS, CABLING AND INTEGRATION

6. CALIBRATION AND MONITORING

7. PROJECT MANAGEMENT (SCHEDULE, RISK ASSESMENT, CONTRACT, ETC.)

8. CONCLUSION