PANDA L. Collaboration Meeting & Hadron Physics Workshop

Candidate Construction

Simulation of the Ds Semileptonic Decay and Neutral



Lu Cao

8th September, 2014



Outline

- Decay chain and reconstruction strategy
- EMC correlator in PID
- Neutral candidate multiplicity in Geant 3/4
- Reconstruction results with Geant 3/4
- Summary & outlook





$$M^{2}(\boldsymbol{v}_{e}) = \left(E_{p\overline{p}} - E_{Ds^{-}} - E_{\eta} - E_{e^{+}}\right)^{2} - \left|\vec{P}_{p\overline{p}} - \vec{P}_{Ds^{-}} - \vec{P}_{\eta} - \vec{P}_{e^{+}}\right|^{2}$$

L.Cao: Simulation of Ds Semileptonic Decay and Neutral Candidate Construction





• Ds mass constraint fi
$$\pi^-$$

$$M^{2}(\boldsymbol{v}_{e}) = \left(E_{p\overline{p}} - E_{Ds^{-}} - E_{\eta} - E_{e^{+}}\right)^{2} - \left|\vec{P}_{p\overline{p}} - \vec{P}_{Ds^{-}} - \vec{P}_{\eta} - \vec{P}_{e^{+}}\right|^{2}$$





$$M^{2}(\boldsymbol{v}_{e}) = \left(E_{p\overline{p}} - E_{Ds^{-}} - E_{\eta} - E_{e^{+}}\right)^{2} - \left|\vec{P}_{p\overline{p}} - \vec{P}_{Ds^{-}} - \vec{P}_{\eta} - \vec{P}_{e^{+}}\right|^{2}$$







FullSim 2k evt #25800

Neutral Candidate Multiplicity



08/09/14



Neutral Candidate Multiplicity

FullSim 2k evt #25800





Neutral Candidate Multiplicity

 π^0

0.14

all $m_{\gamma\gamma}$

mass window

γγ

0.2

0.18

GeV/c²

0.16

Invariant mass spectrum of two-photon m



• Bremsstrahlung?

0.04

0.06

0.08

0.1

0.12

• Bump split off?

0.02

- MisPID?
- ... ???



stun 001400

1200

1000

800

600

400

200

0



MC Truth Matched Photon Photon energy ${\rm E}_{\rm mc}$ vs ${\rm E}_{\rm sim}$ E [GeV] 5.2^{sim} [GeV] 3 450 400 350 2 300 1.5 250 hemc Entries 30667 200 0.3678 Mean x Photon energy E_{mc} vs E_{sim} 0.1755 Mean y 0.3068 150 RMS x ∑0. Dog 10 0.2569 RMS y Entries 31266 0.5 100 Mean x 0.09023 Mean y 0.05907 50 0.03971 RMS x 8 0.12 RMS y 0.04702 0 0.1 0.5 1.5 2.5 2 1 3 E_{mc} [GeV] 6 0.08 5 0.06 0.04 0.02 0 FullSim 10k evt #25800 -0.02 0.14 0.16 E_{mc} [GeV] 0 0.02 0.04 0.06 0.08 0.1 0.12

08/09/14

L.Cao: Simulation of Ds Semileptonic Decay and Neutral Candidate Construction





08/09/14

L.Cao: Simulation of Ds Semileptonic Decay and Neutral Candidate Construction

JÜLICH FORSCHUNGSZENTRUM

EMC Correlator in PID: EMC Quality

- PndPidCorrelator.cxx
- Distance squared of the cluster position from the closest track (in cm²)
- fEmcQuality > 2500







- Distance squared of the cluster position from the closest track (in cm²)
- fEmcQuality > 2500







- Distance squared of the cluster position from the closest track (in cm²)
- fEmcQuality > 2500







- Distance squared of the cluster position from the closest track (in cm²)
- fEmcQuality > 2500







- Distance squared of the cluster position from the closest track (in cm²)
- fEmcQuality > 2500







- Distance squared of the cluster position from the closest track (in cm²)
- fEmcQuality > 2500







- Distance squared of the cluster position from the closest track (in cm²)
- fEmcQuality > 2500

 \rightarrow consider neutral candidates only when the closest track is 50 cm away



- o no MC or no MCindex found when ideal PID is used
- cluster energy below EMC barrel threshold 20 MeV
- hit not come from EMC or FSC
- no propagation at module when Geane is used
- momenta too low (<0.1) or too high (> 15)
- poor tracking quality
- no track info

Forum



EMC Quality vs MC Index



ÜLICH

10

8.4

12 14 hgeve

7

0

Entries Mean

> 18 20

Mean BMS

htemp Entries

> htemp Entries

Mean

RMS

00 6000 7000 PidNeutralCand.fEmcQuality

983.5

2388

8.292

0

16 number of candidates

8.8 9 9.2 9.4 PidChargedCand.fEmcQuality

RMS

Single Event of K+



- K+ 10k evt in BoxGenerator
- PandaRoot #25800
- Geant 3 / Geant 4

- p ∈ (1,4) GeV/c
- $\theta \in (0, 180)$ degree
- $\phi \in$ (-180, 180) degree







Reconstruction Results with G3/G4

Full sim: 10k evt (#25800) $E_{\gamma} \ge 30 \text{ MeV}$

| G3 | | entries | ~ % |
|---|------------------|---------|------|
| <i>e</i> ⁺ | | 7340 | 73% |
| D _s ⁻ | all | 3431 | |
| | vtx | 2428 | |
| | mcf | 1682 | 17% |
| η | $\pi^+\pi^-$ all | 9727 | |
| | $\pi^+\pi^-$ vtx | 7231 | |
| | eta all | 2191 | |
| | eta mcf | 1104 | 11% |
| π^0 | all | 55906 | |
| | mcf | 25120 | |
| | mass window | 10423 | |
| (e ⁺ v _e) | w/o cut | 209 | 2% |
| | w. cut | 80 | 0.8% |
| | sig : bkg | 0.62 | :1 |

| G4 | | entries | ~ % | | |
|-----------------------|------------------|----------|------|--|--|
| <i>e</i> ⁺ | | 7216 | 72% | | |
| D_s^- | all | 3465 | | | |
| | vtx | 2450 | | | |
| | mcf | 1673 | 17% | | |
| η | $\pi^+\pi^-$ all | 9893 | | | |
| | $\pi^+\pi^-$ vtx | 7441 | | | |
| | eta all | 2150 | | | |
| | eta mcf | 1122 | 11% | | |
| π^0 | all | 43822 | | | |
| | mcf | 21263 | | | |
| | mass window | 9204 | | | |
| | w/o cut | 201 | 2% | | |
| (e^+v_e) | w. cut | 83 | 0.8% | | |
| | sig : bkg | 0.70 : 1 | | | |

08/09/14

L.Cao: Simulation of Ds Semileptonic Decay and Neutral Candidate Construction

ÜLICH



Full sim: 10k evt (#25800) $E_{\gamma} \ge 30 \text{ MeV}$

| | | Mass resolution | Vertex resolution [µm] | | Momentum resolution [%] | | <i>Ds⁻ cτ</i> [μm] | |
|----|------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|-----|---------------------------------|-----|------------------------------------|---------|
| | (vtx) [Wev/c ²] | X | Y | Z | Pt | Pz | PDG: 150 | |
| G3 | Ds | 16 | 73 | 72 | 169 | 2.8 | 1.3 | 188 ± 4 |
| | η | 11.2 | 335 | 327 | 938 | 1.9 | 1.5 | |
| | ν_e | 11.4 | | | | | | |
| G4 | Ds ⁻ | 15.5 | 67 | 63 | 144 | 2.9 | 1.3 | 174 ± 3 |
| | η | 10.9 | 294 | 273 | 790 | 2.0 | 1.5 | |
| | ν _e | 9.3 | | | | | | |

• Similar entries amount, but better resolutions of mass and vertex with G4



Summary and Outlook

EMC PID checked with event display

- Neutral candidates multiplicity compared in G3 / G4
- Full simulation result updated

To Do

Done

- Improve EMC cluster correlator in PID
- Modify present simulation if possible
- Investigate background channels

PANDA L. Collaboration Meeting @ & Hadron Physics Workshop

@ Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati





Thank you

l.cao@fz-juelich.de



Backup Slides

#25009(before) vs #25628(now)







Check with BoxGen





Cutting 1: photon energy > 0.02 GeV



Cutting 2: opening angle > 0.1 rad



2k evt #24910





08/09/14

L.Cao: Simulation of Ds Semileptonic Decay and Neutral Candidate Construction







if (!clusterList (kTrue))

cluster/bump loop

SetNeutralCandi.emcQuality (clusterQ), etc.



L.Cao: Simulation of Ds Semileptonic Decay and Neutral Cand

٥L

PidNeutralCand.fEmcQuality











Reconstruction Results with G3/G4

Setting & Cut:

- 10k evt \sqrt{s} = 4.108 GeV (#25800)
- Ideal hypothesis in Kalman track finder
- Ideal PID
- photon energy threshold: 30 MeV
- $\gamma\gamma$ mass window: 135 ± 5 MeV/c²
- D_s^- mass window: 1968 \pm 100 MeV/c²
- η mass window: 548 \pm 50 MeV/c²
- pi0 mcf p>0.01
- eta vtx p>0.01
- eta mcf p>0.01
- Ds vtx p>0.01
- Ds mcf p>0.01
- select "best" candi. for each event
- $|M^2(v_e)| < 0.1 \text{ GeV/c}^2$



The

Main requirements for EMC

| | Required p | erformanc | e value | | |
|---|---|---------------------|--------------------|-------------|--|
| Common properties | | | | | |
| energy resolution σ_E/E | $\leq 1\% \oplus \frac{\leq 2\%}{\sqrt{E/CeV}}$ | | | | |
| energy threshold (photons) E_{thres} | $10 \mathrm{MeV}$ (20 MeV tolerable) | | | | |
| energy threshold (single crystal) E_{xtl} | $3{ m MeV}$ | | | | |
| rms noise (energy equiv.) $\sigma_{E,noise}$ | $1{ m MeV}$ | | | | A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A |
| angular coverage $\% 4\pi$ | 99% | | | | |
| mean-time-between-failures t_{mtbf} | $2000\mathrm{y}$ | | | | |
| (for individual channel) | | | | | Barrel and forward end-can EMC |
| Subdetector specific properties | backward | barrel | forwa | rd | |
| | $(\geq 140^\circ)$ | $(\geq 22^{\circ})$ | $(\geq 5^{\circ})$ |) | |
| energy range from E_{thres} to | $0.7{ m GeV}$ | $7.3{ m GeV}$ | 14.6 | ${\rm GeV}$ | Reconstruction thresholds |
| angular equivalent of crystal size θ | 4° | | 1° | | |
| spatial resolution σ_{θ} | 0.5° | 0.3° | 0.1° | | • $E_{xtl} = 3 \mathrm{MeV}$ |
| maximum signal load f_{γ} ($E_{\gamma} > E_{xtl}$) | $60\mathrm{kHz}$ | | $500\mathrm{kHz}$ | | • $E_{el} = 10 \mathrm{MeV}$ |
| (pp-events) maximum signal load f_{γ} ($E_{\gamma} > E_{xtl}$) | $100\mathrm{kHz}$ | | $500\mathrm{kHz}$ | | |
| (all events) shaping time t_s | $400\mathrm{ns}$ | | $100\mathrm{ns}$ | | • $E_{max} = 20 \mathrm{MeV}$ |
| radiation hardness | $0.15\mathrm{Gy}$ | $7{ m Gy}$ | $125\mathrm{G}$ | у | |
| (maximum annual dose pp-events) | | | | | |
| radiation hardness | 100 | Зy | $125\mathrm{G}$ | у | Dynamical Energy Range |
| (maximum annual dose from all events) | | | | 1 | |
| | | | | • bac | kward endcap EMC: $10(20)$ MeV- 0.7 GeV |
| | | | | • bar | rel EMC: $10(20)$ MeV- 7.3 GeV, and |
| | | | | • for | ward endcap EMC: $10(20)$ MeV- 14.6 GeV. |





Production Rate of Ds pair

with high luminosity mode in 35 days

 $R = \mathcal{L} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \cdot \boldsymbol{t} \cdot \mathcal{BR} \qquad \sigma = A \, nb = A \times 10^{-9} \, b \qquad \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = B\%$

 $= 2 \times 10^{32} (cm^{-2}s^{-1}) \cdot \mathbf{A}(nb) \times 10^{-24} (cm^{2}/b) \cdot \mathbf{B} \times 10^{-2} \cdot 3 \times 10^{6}(s) \cdot 2.67\% \times 5.49\% \times 22.74\%$ $\sim 2\mathbf{AB} = \mathbf{2} \times \mathbf{20} \times \mathbf{3.7} = \mathbf{148}$