Strangeness in Neutron Stars

Jürgen Schaffner-Bielich

Institut für Theoretische Physik



Physics Symposium, CBM Collaboration Meeting GSI, Darmstadt, April 9, 2014

GEFÖRDERT VOM

Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung







Outline

- Introduction
- Hyperons in Neutron Stars: incompatible with massive pulsars?
 - Maximum neutron star masses with hyperons
 - The hyperon puzzle
- Strange Matter in Compact Stars: The QCD Equation of State
 - Low- and high-density limit: neutron matter and QCD
 - Constraints from pulsar mass measurements
 - Implications for EoS and mass-radius relation
- Summary

Work done in collaboration with:

- Aleksi Kurkela (CERN)
- Aleksi Vuorinen (Helsinki)
- Eduardo Fraga (Rio de Janeiro)
- Simon Weissenborn (Heidelberg)
- Debarati Chatterjee (Paris)
- Giuseppe Pagliara (Ferrara)
- Matthias Hempel (Basel)
- Irina Sagert (Urbana Champaign)
- Andreas Zacchi (Frankfurt)

Introduction

Neutron Stars



NASA, ESA, and J. Hester (Arizona State University)

MPIfR-Bonn Pulsar Group

- produced in core collapse supernova explosions
- compact, massive objects: radius \approx 10 km, mass $1 - 2M_{\odot}$
- extreme densities, several times nuclear density: $n \gg n_0 = 2.5 \cdot 10^{14} \,\mathrm{g/cm^3}$
- in the middle of the crab nebula: a pulsar, a rotating neutron star!

Masses of Pulsars

414700 37(33)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
401700-37 (32)		
Vela X-1 (33)		
Cyg X-2 (34)		
40 1538-52 (33)		
SMC X-1 (33)		
LMC X-4 (33)	Here is a second s	Vanislandiant
Cen X-3 (33)		A-ray/optical
Her X-1 (33)		binaries
XTE J2123-058 (35)		
25 0921-630 (36)		
4U 1822-371 (37)		
EXO 1722-363 (38)		
B1957+20 (39)	Black widow pulsar	
IGR J18027-2016 (40)		
J1829+2456 (42)		
J1829+2456 comp. (42		
J1811-1736 (43)		
J1811-1736 comp. (43)		
J1906+0746 (44)		
J1906+0746 comp. (44		
J1518+4904 (27)		
J1518+4904 comp. (27		
B1534+12 (45)		Double- neutron star binaries
B1534+12 comp. (45)		
B1913+16 (46)		
B1913+16 comp (46)	Hulse-Taylor binary	
B2127+11C (47)		
B2127+11C comp (47)	• In M15	
10737-3030A (48)		
10737-3030R (48)	Double pulsar	
11756-2251 (49)		
11756-2251 comp (49)		
11907-2500B (20)		
11907-2500B (25)	D) D NGC 6544	
P2202 (46 (21)		
11012 5207 (50)		
11712+0367 (50)		
J1/13+0/4/ (51)	IN IN NGC 6520	
B1002-07 (31)		
10621+1002 (52)		
10751 - 1002 (53)		
JU/51+1807 (53)		
JU437-4715 (54)		
11740 24461 (56)		
J1748-24401 (50)	In Ter 5	
J1/48-2446J (56)		
J1909-3744 (57)	 2.95 ms pulsar 	White dwarf-
JU024-7204H (56)	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	neutron star
81802-2124 (58)		binaries
J051-4002A (56)	• In NGC 1851	
B1516+02B (59)	In M5	
J1748-2021B (60)	In NGC 6440	
J1750-37A (60)	• In NGC 6441	
J1738+0333 (61)		
B1911-5958A (62)	• In NGC 6752	
J1614-2230 (63)	ter en	
J2043+1711 (64)		
J1910+1256 (28)		
J2106+1948 (28)		
J1853+1303 (28)		
J1045-4509 (31)		
J1804-2718 (31)		
J2019+2425 (65)		Main sequence
J0045-7319 (31)		neutron star
		incation atal
J1903+0327 (66)		binaries
J1903+0327 (66)		binaries

- more than 2000 pulsars known with 140 binary pulsars
- best determined mass:
 $M = 1.4414 \pm 0.0002 M_{\odot}$ Hulse-Taylor pulsar
 - PSR 1748-2021B: $M = 2.74 \pm 0.21 M_{\odot}$ (stat. analysis in inclination) (Freire et al. 2007)
- black-widow pulsar PSR
 B1957+20: $M = 2.40 \pm 0.12 M_{\odot}$ (pulsar consumes its star)
 (van Kerkwijk et al. 2010)
- black widow pulsar PSR
 J1311-3430: $M > 2.1 M_{\odot}$ (Romani et al. 2012)

– р.б

(Lattimer 2012)

Mass measurement of pulsar PSR J1614-2230 (Demorest et al. 2010)



1.8 1.85

1.9 1.95

21

1.95 2 2.05 Pulsar Mass (solar)

89.13

- extremely strong signal for Shapiro delay
- Shapiro delay parameters r and s alone give M = (1.97 ± 0.04)M_☉
 – very high mass!
- high pulsar masses confirmed with PSR J0348+0342:
 $M = (2.01 \pm 0.04) M_{\odot}$ (Antoniadis et al. 2013)
- considerable constraints on neutron star matter properties!

Constraints on the Mass-Radius Relation (Lattimer and Prakash 2004)



spin rate from PSR B1937+21 of 641 Hz: R < 15.5 km for $M = 1.4 M_{\odot}$

- Schwarzschild limit (GR): $R > 2GM = R_s$
- \blacksquare causality limit for EoS: R > 3GM
- \blacksquare mass limit from PSR J1614-2230 (red band): $M=(1.97\pm0.04)M_{\odot}$

Structure of Neutron Stars — the Crust (Dany Page)



• $n \le 10^4$ g/cm³: atmosphere (atoms)

- $n = 10^4 4 \cdot 10^{11}$ g/cm³: outer crust or envelope (free e^- , lattice of nuclei)
- $n = 4 \cdot 10^{11} 10^{14}$ g/cm³: Inner crust (lattice of nuclei with free neutrons and e^-)

Pure Neutron Matter: State of the Art



(Tews, Krüger, Hebeler, Schwenk 2012)

- chiral effective field theory including N³LO terms with 3N and 4N forces
- comparison to Quantum Monte Carlo Simulations QMC and GCR
- band of uncertainty for the neutron equation of state up to saturation density - p.10

The EoS for the neutron star crust and neutron matter



(Kurkela et al. (2014))

 EoS for pure neutron matter very well determined recently (Gandolfi, Carlson, Reddy 2012; Tews, Krüger, Hebeler, Schwenk 2012; Sammarruca, Chen, Coraggio, Itaco, Machleidt 2012; Holt, Kaiser, Weise 2011; Hebeler, Schwenk 2010)

• up to and slightly above saturation density ($\epsilon_0 = 2.5 \cdot 10^{14} \text{ g/cm}^3$)

Structure of a Neutron Star (Fridolin Weber)



Hyperons in Neutron Stars

Experimental Status of Hypernuclear Systems

NA: attractive $\rightarrow \Lambda$ -hypernuclei for A = 3 - 209 $U_{\Lambda} = -30$ MeV at $n = n_0$

N Σ : ⁴_{Σ}He hypernucleus bound by isospin forces Σ^- atoms: potential is repulsive

NE: attractive \rightarrow 7 Ξ hypernuclear events $U_{\Xi} = -28$ MeV at $n = n_0$ quasi-free production of Ξ : $U_{\Xi} = -18$ MeV

 $\Lambda\Lambda$: attractive \rightarrow 3 $\Lambda\Lambda$ hypernuclei measured

YY: $Y = \Lambda, \Sigma, \Xi$, unknown!

hypernuclear programs: JLab, J-PARC, PANDA, Alice@LHC, PANDA, HYPHI, CBM@FAIR: access to strange dibaryons, multi-hypernuclei!

Λ Single–Particle Energies



(Rufa, JS, Maruhn, Stöcker, Greiner, Reinhard (1990))

- **•** measured in (π^+, K^+) reactions
- If to single particle energies: $U_{\Lambda} = -27$ MeV for $A \to \infty$
- note: only for the Λ (besides nuclei) do we *know* its in-medium properties!
- need to be considered for neutron star matter due to weak equilibrium! p.15

Maximum mass with nucleons only (mean field models)



(Weissenborn, Chatterjee, JSB 2011)

- \bullet maximum mass for different effective masses m^*/m and compressibility K
- \blacksquare change in maximum mass for different compressibilities: at most $0.1 M_{\odot}$
- \blacksquare change in maximum mass for different m^*/m : up to $1M_{\odot}!$
- \checkmark values of $M > 2M_{\odot}$ possible for reasonable values of m^*/m

Maximum mass with hyperons (mean field models)



(Weissenborn, Chatterjee, JSB 2011)

- maximum mass for different effective masses of RMF parameter sets
- stars: parameter sets with standard SU(6) baryon couplings (no ϕ meson)
- \blacksquare values of $M > 2M_{\odot}$ possible with hyperons for stiff nuclear EoS

Maximum neutron star masses with hyperons

Maximum mass with hyperons (Relativistic Hartree)



(Huber, Weigel, Weber 1999)

- maximum mass in relativistic Hartree (RH) and relativistic Hartree-Fock (RHF)
- maximum mass increases with vector coupling strength
- \blacksquare relativistic Hartree model can reach $2M_{\odot}$

Maximum mass in Density Dependent Hadron Field Theory



(Hofmann, Keil, Lenske 2001)

maximum mass in Density Dependent Hadron Field Theory
 model 2: vertex function for hyperons depends on hyperon density
 upper curve: SU(6) symmetry for vector coupling strength

Maximum mass in Extended RMF model



(Dhiman, Kumar, Agrawal 2007)

- maximum mass in extended relativistic field theoretical model
- fitted to properties of nuclei and hypernuclei
- L0, U0: without hyperons; LY, UY: with hyperons (with different neutron skin thicknesses for ²⁰⁸Pb)

Maximum mass in a chiral SU(3) model



(Dexheimer and Schramm 2008)

- maximum mass in a hadronic chiral SU(3) model
- describes properties of nuclei and hypernuclei
- interaction (repulsion!) with full SU(3) flavour symmetry
- \blacksquare inclusion of full baryon octet gives $M = 2.06 M_{\odot}$

The hyperon puzzle

Maximum mass with hyperons in ab-initio approaches

modern many-body calculations based on two-body potentials (using Nijmegen soft-core YN potential)

- Vidana et al. (2000): $M_{\rm max} = 1.47 M_{\odot}$ (NN and YN interactions), $M_{\rm max} = 1.34 M_{\odot}$ (NN, NY, YY interactions)
- Baldo et al. (2000): $M_{\rm max} = 1.26 M_{\odot}$ (including three-body nucleon interaction)
- **Schulze et al. (2006):** $M_{\rm max} < 1.4 M_{\odot}$
- **D** Japo et al. (2008): $M_{\rm max} < 1.4 M_{\odot}$ ($V_{\rm low-k}$)
- Schulze and Rijken (2011): $M_{\rm max} < 1.4 M_{\odot}$ (ESC08)
- EoS too soft with hyperons, too low masses!
- \implies The Hyperon Puzzle!!!

Maximum masses of neutron stars with hyperons (ab-initio)



- Brueckner-Hartree-Fock calculation with most recent soft core Nijmegen potential ESC08
- includes repulsive three-body forces (TBF, UIX')
- overall findings: $M < 1.4 M_{\odot}$ when hyperons are included

(Schulze and Rijken 2011)

 \implies models based on two- and three-body baryon potentials fail!

The hyperon puzzle: new vistas?

- $\,$ many models with hyperons fail to describe a $2M_\odot$ pulsar mass
- closing the eyes and ignoring hyperons is NOT an option!
- breakdown of baryon-based models around $2n_0$?
- matter not describable with standard baryonic models appears at high densities?
- the hyperon puzzle => signaling the onset of a new phase?

Strange Matter in Compact Stars

A Quark Star? (NASA press release 2002)



NASA news release 02-082: "Cosmic X-rays reveal evidence for new form of matter" — a quark star?

Task Force Meeting on Quark Matter in Compact Stars

Helmholtz Alliance Extremes of Density and Temperature: Cosmic Matter in the Laboratory

ExtreMe Matter Institute EMMI

EMMI Rapid Reaction Task Force Quark Matter in Compact Stars

October 7-10, 2013, FIAS Frankfurt, Germany

Key Topics

- Exotic Matter and Neutron Stars
- Signals for Quark Matter in Compact Stars
- Recent Pulsar Mass Constraints
- Hybrid Stars
- Effective Models of QCD at High Baryon Density

Organizers

Michael Buballa, TU Darmstadt Eduardo Fraga, Federal U. Rio de Janeiro & Frankfurt U. Igor Mishustin, FIAS Dirk Rischke, Frankfurt U. Jürgen Schaffner-Bielich, Frankfurt U. Stefan Schramm, FIAS Armen Sedrakian, Frankfurt U.



Information http://www.gsi.de/emmi/rrtf.html More about EMMI www.gsi.de/emmi



HELMHOLTZ ASSOCIATION





EMMI Rapid Reaction Task Force Meeting on 'Quark Matter in Compact Stars', FIAS, October 6-10, 2013

(report: Buballa, Dexheimer, Drago, Fraga, Haensel, Mishustin, Pagliara, JSB, Schramm, Sedrakian, Weber, arXiv:1402.6911)

High-Density Side: perturbative QCD



(Fraga, Kurkela, Vuorinen, 2013)

- asymptotic freedom of strong interactions (QCD): weakly interacting at large scales (temperature and/or chemical potential)
- perturbative calculations up to $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$:
 follows lattice data at nonzero temperature
- band of uncertainty for the pQCD equation of state at nonzero µ
 (from choice of renormalization scale)

What we know about the EoS for neutron star matter



(Kurkela, Fraga, JSB, Vuorinen (2014))

- \bullet pressure versus the quark chemical potential (above the one of ⁵⁶Fe)
- Iow-density regime: outer crust (lattice of nuclei), inner crust (lattice of nuclei in a neutron fluid), neutron matter
- high-density regime: pQCD, close to Stefan-Boltzmann limit

Extrapolate EoS from neutron matter with polytropes



(Hebeler, Lattimer, Pethick, Schwenk 2013)

- Iow-densities: crust EoS (BPS plus Negele and Vautherin)
- \square neutron matter EoS from ChEFT with uncertainties up to $1.1n_0$
- extrapolate by using three piecewise polytropes
- parametrizes an extensive set of high-density neutron star EoSs (Read, Lackey, Owen, Friedman 2009)

Extrapolated EoS and Mass-Radius Relation



(Hebeler, Lattimer, Pethick, Schwenk 2013)

- neutron matter EoS (dark blue) extrapolated by piecewise polytropes
- Iight blue region: $M > 1.97 M_{\odot}$, blue region: $M > 2.4 M_{\odot}$
- \blacksquare range of radii: 9.7-13.9km for $1.4M_{\odot}$ and 9.3-14.4km for $1.97M_{\odot}$

Interpolated Equation of State: Matching to pQCD!



(Kurkela, Fraga, JSB, Vuorinen (2014))

- use two matched polytropes to interpolate
- demand causality and smooth matching
- matching to pQCD limit rules out certain EoS

Interpolated Equation of State: pulsar mass constraint



(Kurkela, Fraga, JSB, Vuorinen (2014))

- use two matched polytropes to interpolate
- demand causality and smooth matching
- \blacksquare cyan region: maximum mass less than $2M_{\odot}$
- green region: fulfills all constraints (labels I,II,III: representative EoS)

Interpolated Mass-Radius Relation



(Kurkela, Fraga, JSB, Vuorinen (2014))

- corresponding band of the interpolated EoS in mass-radius plot
- \checkmark cyan band: excluded by $2M_{\odot}$ mass constraint
- \blacksquare green band: masses of up to $2.5M_{\odot}$ possible
- \blacksquare typical radii: 11-14.5km for $1.4M_{\odot}$ and 10-15 km for $2M_{\odot}$

Mass-Radius Relation with phase transition



(Kurkela, Fraga, JSB, Vuorinen (2014))

- possible band of mass-radius relation shrinks with a phase transition
- \blacksquare allowed radii: 11.5-13.5km for $1.4M_{\odot}$ and 10-13.5km for $2M_{\odot}$
- \blacksquare with three polytropes: maximum masses of up to $2.75 M_{\odot}$
- note: effects of phase transition not fully explored here

QCD Equation of State



(Kurkela, Fraga, JSB, Vuorinen (2014))

- green band: allowed band for the EoS with mass constraint
- comparison to analysis of Hebeler, Lattimer, Pethick, Schwenk 2013 (HLPS): case without pQCD constraint (extrapolated case)

QCD Matter in Compact Stars



(Kurkela, Fraga, JSB, Vuorinen (2014))

- green band: interpolated region compatible with pulsar mass constraint
- only pretty narrow region allowed for the EoS!
- note: we do not know what the matter within the green band is made of!

Outlook: Improved Models for Cold Quark Matter



(Zacchi and JSB, in preparation)

- need models beyond the simple MIT bag model
- one approach: the Quark-Meson model as an effective model of QCD
- repulsive vector meson interaction needed to get massive neutron stars

Summary:

- Hyperons in Neutron Stars
 - many baryon-based models with hyperons do not reach $2M_{\odot}$ (however some do reach $2M_{\odot}$)
 - does NOT rule out hyperons in neutron stars
 - ignoring hyperons by hand can NOT rescue the model used!
 - hyperon puzzle:
 breakdown of baryonic models at high densities?
 onset of a new phase not based on baryon d.o.f.?
- QCD matter in compact stars
 - interpolating QCD EoS at low- and high-densities possible
 - constraints on allowed mass-radius relations
 - composition of high-density neutron star cores: unknown!
 - further input needed from relativistic heavy-ion experiments!