## Time-based Track Finding for CBM

## V. Akishina, I. Bezshyiko, I. Kisel, M. Zyzak

22 September 2014
FAIRNESS

## Outline

1. Time-slices

- Why time-slices in reconstruction?
- Event building
- Time-slice length: border problem


## 2. Time-based CA Track Finder

- 3D CA Track Finder at High Track Multiplicities
- From 3D to 4D Track Reconstruction
- Performance of 4D Track Reconstruction
- Scalability
- Results of Event building


## Tracking Challenge in the CBM Experiment

$\checkmark$ Fixed-target heavy-ion experiment
$\checkmark 10^{7} \mathrm{Au}+\mathrm{Au}$ collisions/sec
$\checkmark \sim 1000$ charged particles/collision, only 1 m length
$\checkmark$ Double-sided strip sensors - 85\% fake combinatorial space points in STS
$\checkmark$ Non-homogeneous magnetic field
$\checkmark$ Reconstruction of the full event topology is required in the first level trigger


The speed and efficiency of the reconstruction algorithm are crucial

## Time-slice based reconstruction

- The CBM beam: no bunch structure
 Time-slice reconstruction rather than event-by-event

Time-based tracking: 4D (x,y,z, t)

Events overlap on a hit level
Iteration rate: 10 MHz , Sts hits


Time, ns
Correct procedure of event building from time-slices is crucial for right physics interpretation

## First Level Event Selection Package



Event building as a part of the FLES package

## Time-slice Length: Border Problem

The time-slice length should meet the requirements:

- avoid splitting of the event into two parts by the time-slice border
- maximise performance on modern many-core computing architectures

The beam particle flow is close to Poisson process.
The number of events at any time defined by the Poisson distribution.


Thus, time intervals between neighbouring events at interaction rate $I_{N}$ is distributed by:

$$
f(t)=e^{-t \cdot I_{N}} \cdot I_{N}
$$

The probability to have a time interval between events large than a given value:

| $I R, \mathrm{~Hz}$ | 100 ns | 200 ns | 300 ns |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| 10 | 0.99 | 0.98 | 0.97 |
| 10 | 0.91 | 0.82 | 0.74 |
| 10 | 0.37 | 0.14 | 0.05 |


each 20th interval between events is larger than 300 ns at $10 \mathrm{MHz} \Rightarrow$ safe cutting in time-slices starting from 100 events length without event splitting, no need to duplicate border regions

No time-slice border problem up to 10 MHz

## Cellular Automaton Based Track Finder



Cellular Automaton:

- local w.r.t. data
- intrinsically parallel
- simple
- very fast

Perfect for many-core CPU/GPU !

Cellular Automaton:

1. Build short track segments - triplets.
2. Mark possible neighbours while building triplets
3. Connect according to the track model, estimate a possible position on a track.
4. Tree structures appear, collect segments into track candidates.
5. Select the best track candidates.

## CA Track Finder at High Track Multiplicity

A number of minimum bias events is gathered into a group, which is then treated by the track finder as one event


## Efficiency and Time vs. Track Multiplicities



Stable reconstruction efficiency and time as a second order polynomial up to 100 minimum bias events in a group

## Time-based CA Track Finder

How to use time information in tracking?

- Triplets are build from the hits with the same time measurement within $3 \sigma$ of detector precision
- Fast access to the hits is provided by time-based structure: hits are sorted by time and space coordinates and stored into the time-based grid


Variable time step of grid: 4D tracking in a 3D-style approach
Hits time measurement have to be the same within detector precision to build a triplet


## Time Measurement Simulation

- The time is measured for each strip in cluster independently: $\mathrm{t}_{1}, \mathrm{t}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{N}}$
- The time measurement $t_{n}$ is obtained by smearing the MC time stamp according Gauss to distribution with $\sigma=5 \mathrm{~ns}$ representing detector resolution
- The hit time measurement is defined as average over all strip measurements in front and back clusters:

$$
t_{h i t}=\sum_{n=1}^{N} t_{n s t r i p s}
$$

- Hit time measurements are propagated to the primary vertex by a straight line assuming speed of light
- Track time is defined in the primary vertex as an average over its propagated hits time measurements

$$
t_{\text {track }}=\sum_{n=1}^{N} t_{n} \text { hits }
$$

Hit time as an average over smeared strip time measurement of two clusters


Track time as an average over its hits time measurements propagated along straight line to primary vertex with $\mathrm{v}=\mathrm{c}$


## Time Resolution: Hits and Tracks

Hit time resolution: 2.6 ns
Track time resolution: 1.2 ns



## Time-based CA Track Finder

Reconstruction of grouped event of 100 mbias AuAU 25AGeV

|  | 3.5D CA Track Finder | 4D CA Track Finder |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fast primary tracks, \% | $94 \%$ | $94 \%$ |
| All tracks, \% | $80.6 \%$ | $83 \%$ |
| Ghost, \% | $7.3 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ |
| Reconstructed MC tracks | 10060 | 10355 |
| Time on one core | 3150 ms | 850 ms |

Time-based tracking shows high efficiency, kills ghosts and works 3.7 times faster

## 3D CA Track Finder Scalability within CPU

## Total CA time $=298 \mathrm{~ms}$



Speed-up factor 10.6 Theoretically achievable factor: 13

## 4D CA Track Finder Scalability within CPU

100 mbias event time-slice reconstructed in parallel in 84 ms


Speed-up factor 10.1 Theoretically achievable factor: 13

## Time-based Track Reconstruction





Reconstructed tracks




Reconstructed tracks are clearly clustered in groups representing original events

## Event Building at $\mathrm{IR}=10 \mathrm{MHz}$

Reconstructed tracks are grouped in events using histogramming:

- all tracks are filled in a time histogram with bin width of 1 ns
- neighbouring not empty bins are called an event
- gap of a 4 empty bins is a sign for event end

| normalised to <br> reconstructable <br> MC events (83) | all MC <br> events <br> (100) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Eff. | $100 \%$ | $83 \%$ |

Clones 00

Ghost
0
0

Event reconstruction efficiency: 100 \%


- The event is called reconstructable if it has at least one reconstructed track
- MC event is called reconstructed if all its reco tracks belong to this reco event only
- Thus reconstructed MC event is not splitted in several reco events, but can be merged with other MC event
- Clones are two or more reco events with tracks from one MC event (event splitting)
- Ghost is an event which tracks are not matched to any MC events


## Primary Vertex Reconstruction



- About $16 \%$ of MC events are merged together, can not be separated with time info only
- Primary tracks can be separated using primary vertex information.
- Search of only one primary vertex per event using KF Particle Finder package is currently implemented.
- Multi-vertex reconstruction is in progress.


## Summary

- Event building is a necessary part of the FLES package
- Time-based 4D tracking allows to efficiently reconstruct tracks without ghost with speed of 84 ms for a time-slice of 100 bias while run in parallel on a 20 logical core CPU
- At interaction rates of 10 MHz it is possible to use time-slices with 100 events or more without data duplication of border region or event splitting
- A first version of event building was implemented based on the 4D tracking. The algorithm shows $100 \%$ efficiency without event splitting, although some events are merged, which is a task for future multivertex reconstruction


## Future Plans

- Multi-vertex reconstruction for merged events
- Include 4D CA Track Finder in CBMROOT

