# Search for the Kaonic Cluster ppK- 

## Analysis of the reaction

$p+p \rightarrow p+K^{+}+\Lambda$
of HADES and FOPI
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## K-N Interaction


C. Sturm, Diss. TUD 2001

Coupling of $\bar{K}$ to Resonances

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Sigma+\pi \leftrightarrow \Lambda(1405) \leftrightarrow \bar{K}+N \\
& \Lambda+\pi \leftrightarrow \Sigma(1385) \leftrightarrow \bar{K}+N
\end{aligned}
$$



Lutz, Prog.Part.Nucl.Phys, 53 125-136

Resonances close to $\bar{K} \mathrm{~N}$ threshold
$\rightarrow$ Chiral Perturbation cannot be applied

## Coupled Channel Calculation

## Self Consistent Bethe-Salpeter Equation

$$
T_{i j}(\sqrt{s})=V_{i j}(\sqrt{s})+V_{i l}(\sqrt{s}) G_{l}(\sqrt{s}) T_{l j}(\sqrt{s})
$$


T.Hyodo,W.Weise, Phys.Rev.C77 (2008)


Phenomenological Potential

Quasi bound state of $K^{-}$p via attractive I=0 interaction

J. Esmaili, Y.Akaishi, T. Yamazaki
J. Esmaili, Y.Akaishi, T. Yamazaki

Phys.Lett. B 686,23 Phys.Rev. C 83

## Kaonic Cluster



## Kaonic Cluster



## Theoretical Predictions

|  | Chiral, energy dependent |  |  |  |  | Binding Energy (BE): |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | var. [DHW09, DHW08] | Fad. [BO12b, BO12a] | var. [BGL12] | Fad. [IKS10] | Fad. [RS14] |  |
| $B E$ | 17-23 | 26-35 | 16 | 9-16 | 32 | 10-100 MeV |
| $\Gamma_{m}$ | 40-70 | 50 | 41 | 34-46 | 49 |  |
| $\Gamma_{n m}$ | 4-12 | 30 |  |  |  | Mesonic Decay ( $\Gamma_{\text {m }}$ ) |
|  | Non-chiral, static calculations |  |  |  |  | 30-110 MeV |
|  | var. [YA02, AY02] | Fad. [SGM07, SGMR07] | Fad. [IS07, IS09] | var. [WG09] | var. [FIK ${ }^{+11]}$ | Non-Mesonic Decay ( $\Gamma_{\mathrm{nm}}$ ) |
| BE | 48 | 50-70 | 60-95 | 40-80 | 40 | $4-30 \mathrm{MeV}$ |
| $\Gamma_{m}$ | 61 | 90-110 | 45-80 | 40-85 | 64-86 |  |
| $\Gamma_{n m}$ | 12 |  |  | $\sim 20$ | ~21 |  |

## Experimental Results on $\mathrm{ppK}^{-}$



## Kaonic Cluster



Part of the $\Lambda(1405)$ Resonance

$$
\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{p} \longrightarrow \underbrace{\wedge(1405)+\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{ppK}^{-}+\mathrm{K}^{+}}+\mathrm{K}^{+}
$$

## Kaonic Cluster



Part of the $\Lambda(1405)$ Resonance

$$
\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{p} \longrightarrow \underbrace{\substack{\hline 1405)+\mathrm{p}}}_{\mathrm{ppK}^{-}+\mathrm{K}^{+}}+\mathrm{K}^{+}
$$

Physical Background:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{p}+\mathrm{p} \longrightarrow \Lambda+\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{K}^{+} \\
& \mathrm{p}+\mathrm{p} \longrightarrow \mathrm{~N}^{*+}+\mathrm{p}
\end{aligned}
$$



## Experimental Data
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Robert Münzer

## The FOPI Experiment

## SIS18 GSI Darmstadt



## Beam Energy: 3.1 GeV

- Fixed-target Setup
- Full azimuthal coverage, $5^{\circ}$ - $110^{\circ}$ in polar angle
- Momentum resolution $\approx 7 \%-15 \%$
- Particle identification via $\mathrm{dE} / \mathrm{dx} \& \mathrm{ToF}$

Trigger Detector - $\mathrm{Si} \wedge \mathrm{ViO}$ :
$\Lambda$ - Enhancement: $\quad 14.1 \pm 7.9(\text { stat })_{-0.6}^{+4.3}$

Total Number of exclusive Events: 903

# The HADES experiment 

High Acceptance Di-electron Spectrometer GSI, Darmstadt


## Beam Energy: 3.5 GeV

- Fixed-target Setup
- Full azimuthal coverage, $15^{\circ}-185^{\circ}$ in polar angle
- Momentum resolution $\approx 1 \%-5 \%$
- Particle identification via $\mathrm{dE} / \mathrm{dx}$ \& ToF

```
HADES Coll. (G. Agakishiev et al.),
Eur. Phys. J. A41 (2009)
```


## The HADES Data Sample



## HADES data

13,000 events of $\mathrm{pK}^{+} \Lambda$
Background from wrong PID $\approx 6 \%$
Background from $\mathrm{pK}^{+} \Sigma^{0} \approx 1 \%$


WALL data
8000 events of $\mathrm{pK}^{+} \Lambda$
Background from wrong PID $\approx 11.7 \%$
Background from $\mathrm{pK}^{+} \Sigma^{0} \approx 3 \%$

## Total Data Set

## Hades Data $\mathrm{E}_{\text {beam }}=3.5 \mathrm{GeV}$

Had. Wall Data $\mathrm{E}_{\text {beam }}=3.5 \mathrm{GeV}$
FOPI Data $\mathrm{E}_{\text {beam }}=3.1 \mathrm{GeV}$



R. Miunzer, PhD Thesis, TUM 2014
E. Epple, PhD Thesis, TUM 2014

## Total Data Set

## Hades Data $\mathrm{E}_{\text {beam }}=3.5 \mathrm{GeV}$

Had. Wall Data $E_{\text {beam }}=3.5 \mathrm{GeV}$
FOPI Data $\mathrm{E}_{\text {beam }}=3.1 \mathrm{GeV}$




> R. Mïnzer, PhD Thesis, TUM 2014
> E. Epple, PhD Thesis, TUM 2014
No Peak Visible No Signal?

# Model Comparison 

## Phase Space Simulation Partial Wave Analysis

## Phase Space Simulation



## Phase Space Model

\section*{| $\frac{0}{00}$ |
| :---: |
| $\stackrel{\sum}{4}$ |
| $\sum_{0}^{n}$ |}







Inside HADES acceptance

- Experimental Data
- $\mathrm{pp} \rightarrow \mathrm{p} \mathrm{K} \mathrm{K}^{+} \wedge$ Phase Space





## Partial Wave Analysis

## Bonn-Gatchina PWA Framework

A. Sarantsev et.al., Eur. Phys J A 252005

Cross-section Decomposition

$$
d \sigma=\frac{(2 \pi)^{4}|A|^{2}}{4|\boldsymbol{k}| \sqrt{s}} d \Phi_{3}\left(P, q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}\right), \quad P=k_{1}+k_{2} \mid
$$

A : reaction amplitude $A \propto A_{t r}{ }^{\alpha}(s) \quad$ (Transition amplitude of wave $\alpha$ )
$\mathrm{k}: 3$-momentum of the initial particle in the CM
$s-P^{2}:\left(k_{1}+k_{2}\right)^{2}$
$d \Phi_{3}\left(P, q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}\right)$ : invariant three-particle phase space

Parameterization of the Transition

$$
A_{\mathrm{tr}}^{\alpha}(s)=\left(a_{1}^{\alpha}+a_{3}^{\alpha} \sqrt{s}\right) \exp \left(\mathrm{i} a_{2}^{\alpha}\right)
$$

$a_{1}^{\alpha}$ Constant amplitude
$a_{2}^{\alpha} \quad$ Phase
$a_{3}^{\alpha}$ Energy dependent amp.

## Systematical Analysis

Systematical Scan over different p-p Initial Systems and different inclusion of $\mathrm{N}^{*}$ Resonances
Resonance in final State

Initial System

| $J^{P}$ | $S_{t o t}=0$ | $S_{t o t}=1$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{~L}=0$ | $0^{+}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{L}=1$ |  | $0^{-}, 1^{-}, 2^{-}$ |
| $\mathrm{L}=2$ | $2^{+}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{L}=3$ |  | $2^{-}, 3^{-}, 4^{-}$ |


| Resonance | $J^{P}$ | Mass $\left(\mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}\right)$ | Width $\left(\mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $N^{*}(1650)$ | $\frac{1}{2}^{-}$ | 1.655 | 0.150 |
| $N^{*}(1710)$ | $\frac{1}{2}^{+}$ | 1.710 | 0.100 |
| $N^{*}(1720)$ | $\frac{3}{2}^{+}$ | 1.720 | 0.250 |
| $N^{*}(1875)$ | $\frac{3}{2}^{-}$ | 1.875 | 0.220 |
| $N^{*}(1880)$ | $\frac{1}{2}^{+}$ | 1.870 | 0.235 |
| $N^{*}(1895)$ | $\frac{1}{2}^{-}$ | 2.090 | 0.090 |
| $N^{*}(1900)$ | $\frac{3}{2}^{+}$ | 1.900 | 0.250 |

## Four Best PWA Solutions



Inside HADES acceptance

Measured Data
PWA solutions

## PWA Results



## + Experimental Data

Solution A
Solution B
Solution C
Solution D
Solution E

## Four Best PWA Solutions








# Contribution of Production Channels 

EXA 2014 - Vienna
Robert Münzer

## PWA Results - Relative Contribution

| Sol. | $X^{2} / \mathrm{ndf}$ | Direct pK ${ }^{+} \bigwedge$ | $\begin{aligned} & N^{*+} \\ & (1650) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & N^{*+} \\ & (1710) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & N^{*+} \\ & (1720) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}^{*+} \\ & (1875) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}^{*+} \\ & (1880) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}^{*+} \\ & (1895) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}^{*+} \\ & (1900) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 1.09 | $0 \%$ | 11.3 \% | 52.4 \% | 11.8 \% | 6.3 \% | 10.9 \% | 0 \% | 7.3 \% |
| B | 1.09 | 16.6 \% | 9.4 \% | 42.3 \% | 14.1 \% | 0 \% | 9.7 \% | 0 \% | 7.9 \% |
| C | 1.10 | 0 \% | 11.1 \% | 49.5 \% | 7.5 \% | 0 \% | 14.1 \% | 9.3 \% | 8.5 \% |
| D | 1.12 | 13.9 \% | 6.8 \% | 43.8 \% | 11.9 \% | 5.3 \% | 9.4 \% | 0 \% | 8.9 \% |
| E | 1.15 | 21.1 \% | 8.6 \% | 41.9 \% | 17.6 \% | 0 \% | 0 \% | 0 \% | 10.8 \% |
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## PWA Results - Relative Contribution
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 1.09 | 0 \% | 11.3 \% | 52.4 \% | 11.8 \% | 6.3 \% | 10.9 \% | 0 \% | 7.3 \% |
| B | 1.09 | 16.6 \% | 9.4 \% | 42.3 \% | 14.1 \% | 0 \% | 9.7 \% | 0 \% | 7.9 \% |
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## PWA Results - Relative Contribution
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## PWA Results - Relative Contribution

| Sol. | $X^{2} / \mathrm{ndf}$ | Direct pK ${ }^{+} \bigwedge$ | $\begin{aligned} & N^{*+} \\ & (1650) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & N^{*+} \\ & (1710) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & N^{*+} \\ & (1720) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}^{*+} \\ & (1875) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}^{*+} \\ & (1880) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}^{*+} \\ & (1895) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}^{*+} \\ & (1900) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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## PWA Results - Relative Contribution

| Sol. | $\mathrm{X}^{2} / \mathrm{ndf}$ | Direct $\mathrm{pK}^{+} \wedge$ | $\begin{aligned} & N^{*+} \\ & (1650) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}^{*+} \\ & (1710) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & N^{*+} \\ & (1720) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & N^{*+} \\ & (1875) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & N^{*+} \\ & (1880) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}^{*+} \\ & (1895) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}^{*+} \\ & (1900) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 1.09 | 0 \% | 11.3 \% | 52.4 \% | 11.8 \% | 6.3 \% | 10.9 \% | 0 \% | 7.3 \% |
| B | 1.09 | 16.6 \% | 9.4 \% | 42.3 \% | 14.1 \% | 0 \% | 9.7 \% | 0 \% | 7.9 \% |
| C | 1.10 | 0 \% | 11.1 \% | 49.5 \% | 7.5 \% | 0 \% | 14.1 \% | 9.3 \% | 8.5 \% |
| D | 1.12 | 13.9 \% | 6.8 \% | 43.8 \% | 11.9 \% | 5.3 \% | 9.4 \% | 0 \% | 8.9 \% |
| E | 1.15 | 21.1 \% | 8.6\% | 41.9 \% | 17.6 \% | $0 \%$ | 0 \% | $0 \%$ | 10.8 \% |



Experimental Data can be described by known sources

## Upper Limit of ppK- Contribution

## ppK- Upper Limit Determination

-> ppK ${ }^{-}$Waves include in BG-PWA
-> Mass and Width fixed
-> Background for 5 best solution without ppK-
-> Stepwise increase of Amplitude $\left(a_{1}\right)$
-> Phase Parameter free $\left(\mathrm{a}_{2}\right)$ => Optimal amount of Interference

Exclusion limit:
Confidence Level (95\%) (CL ${ }_{s}$ )



$\mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{ppK}^{-}\right)=2.305 \mathrm{GeVc}^{-2} \Gamma\left(\mathrm{ppK}^{-}\right)=20 \mathrm{MeVc}^{-2}$

## ppK- Upper Limit Determination


$p+p->p+K^{+}+\Lambda$
Total Cross Section

$$
\sigma_{\mathrm{p} K^{+} \Lambda}=41.0 \pm 12.8 \mu \mathrm{~b}
$$

Interpolated from literature



## Upper Limit Cross Section

| Г $\left(\mathrm{MeVc}^{-2}\right)$ | Cross Section $(\mu \mathrm{b})$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 20 | $7.6 \pm 1.2^{-3.5}-22.4 \pm 3^{-6.6^{-10.7}}$ |
| 35 | $6.3 \pm 1^{-0.6}-9.5 \pm 2.6^{-0.9}$ |
| 50 | $10.2 \pm 1.8^{-4.5}-11.6 \pm 3.4^{-0.6}$ |
| 60 | $11.2 \pm 1.9^{-5.0}-33.8 \pm 5^{-16.9}-16.9$ |
| 80 | $11.4 \pm 2.7^{-3.8}-35.9 \pm 5.7^{-17.4}$ |

## Upper Limit





Measured total cross-section: $\quad \sigma_{p K+\Lambda}=38.12 \pm 0.43_{-2.83}^{+3.55} \pm 2.67$ ( $p+p$-error) -2.9 (background) $\mu \mathrm{b}$

Upper limit of ppK ${ }^{-}$Cross Section:

| $\Gamma\left(\mathrm{MeVc}^{-2}\right)$ | Cross Section $(\mu \mathrm{b})$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $0^{+}$ | $1.9-3.9$ |
| $1^{-}$ | $2.1-4.2$ |
| $2^{+}$ | $0.7-2.1$ |

## Production Cross Section $\wedge$ (1405)

$$
9.2 \pm 0.9^{ \pm} 0.7^{+3.3}{ }_{-1.0} \mu \mathrm{~b}
$$

HADES coll. (G. Agakishiev et al.) Phys. Rev. C 87, 025201 (2013) $\square$ LIVU

HADES

## Summary

- 13000 (HADES) $+8000($ WALL $)+903$ (FOPI) exclusive events $p+p->p+K^{+}+\Lambda$ reconstructed
- Experimental data cannot be reproduced by Phase Space
- Good explanation by Bonn-Gatchina PWA framework:

Strong contribution of $\mathrm{N}^{*+}$ resonances
No Additional Signal needed

- Determination of upper limit of the kaonic cluster between 7.4 and $35.9 \mu \mathrm{~b}$ (FOPI) and $0.7-4.2 \mu \mathrm{~b}$ (HADES).
- Important effect of Interference $=>$ No Peak in final spectrum


## Future Perspectives

- Combined analysis of results from different experiments at different energies and polarization observables => Application to the DFG accepted

| experiment | $\sqrt{s}(\mathrm{GeV})$ | $\epsilon_{\mathrm{p} K^{+}}$ | $\epsilon_{\mathrm{pp} K^{-}}$ | statistics | polar. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COSY-TOF [AS ${ }^{+} 06 \mathrm{a}$ ] | 2.63 | 84.87 | -231.40 | 791 | N |
| COSY-TOF [ $\mathrm{AS}^{+} 06 \mathrm{a}$ ] | 2.66 | 114.91 | -201.35 | 1037 | N |
| COSY-TOF [Rit13] | 2.67 | 121.56 | -194.71 | 160000 | ? |
| COSY-TOF [AS $\left.{ }^{+} 06 \mathrm{a}\right]$ | 2.72 | 171.05 | -145.22 | 4323 | N |
| DISTO [ $\mathrm{M}^{+}$10, Mag01] | 2.75 | 200.44 | -115.83 | 121000 | Y |
| COSY-TOF [M.R11] | 2.75 | 203.69 | -112.58 | 43662 | Y |
| COSY-TOF [ $\left.\mathrm{AES}^{+} 10\right]$ | 2.75 | 203.69 | -112.58 | 7228 | N |
| COSY-TOF [AES $\left.{ }^{+} 10\right]$ | 2.75 | 203.69 | -112.58 | 15372 | N |
| COSY-TOF [ $\mathrm{AB}^{+10]}$ | 2.79 | 238.95 | -77.32 | 89684 | N |
| COSY-TOF [ $\left.\mathrm{AESBB}^{+} 13\right]$ | 2.79 | 245.70 | -70.57 | 30000 | N |
| COSY-TOF [ $\left.\mathrm{AB}^{+} 10\right]$ | 2.83 | 284.06 | -32.21 | 3322 | N |
| COSY-TOF [AES $\left.{ }^{+} 10\right]$ | 2.83 | 284.06 | -32.21 | 5791 | N |
| COSY-TOF [AES $\left.{ }^{+} 10\right]$ | 2.87 | 318.86 | 2.60 | 6263 | N |
| DISTO [ $\left.\mathrm{M}^{+} 10, \mathrm{Mag} 01\right]$ | 2.87 | 318.86 | 2.60 | 304000 | Y |
| DISTO [ $\left.\mathrm{M}^{+} 10, \mathrm{Mag} 01, \mathrm{~B}^{+} 99\right]$ | 2.98 | 430.48 | 114.21 | 424000 | Y |
| FOPI | 3.06 | 508.97 | 192.70 | 903 | N |
| HADES | 3.18 | 629.33 | 313.06 | 20000 | N |

AES ${ }^{+10}$ : S. Abd El-Samad et al. Phys.Lett B688 (2010) AB+10: M. Abdel-Bary et al., Eur.Phys.J A46(2010) AESBB'13: S. Abd El-Samad et al., Eur.Phys.J A49 (2013) Rit13: J.Ritmann, private cormunication (2013)

ASt06a : S. Abd El-Samad et al. Phys.Lett B632 (2006) M ${ }^{+10}$ : M. Maggiora et al. Nucl. Phys. A385 (2010) Mag01: M. Maggiora Nucl. Phys. A691 (2001) B+99: F. Balestra et al., Phys.Rev.Lett 83 (1999) Epp14: E.Epple, Diss. TUM 2014

## Outlook - Combined Analysis
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## Thank You

HADES Collaboration
FOPI Collaboration

## Clus
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## Backup

EXA 2014 - Vienna
Robert Münzer

## The Smallest Cluster

$$
I_{\mathrm{NN}}=1
$$

| Property | Value |
| :--- | :--- |
| charge | +1 |
| strangeness | -1 |
| participants | $p p K^{-}, p n \bar{K}^{0}$ |
| $\rho$ | $0^{-}$ |



Chiral, energy dependent

|  | var. [DHW09, DHW08] | Fad. [BO12b, BO12a] | var. [BGL12] | Fad. [IKS10] | Fad. [RS14] |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $B E$ | $17-23$ | $26-35$ | 16 | $9-16$ | 32 |
| $\Gamma_{m}$ | $40-70$ | 50 | 41 | $34-46$ | 49 |
| $\Gamma_{n m}$ | $4-12$ | 30 |  |  |  |

Non-chiral, static calculations

|  | var. [YA02, AY02] | Fad. [SGM07, SGMR07] | Fad. [IS07, IS09] | var. [WG09] | var. [FIK ${ }^{+}$11] |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $B E$ | 48 | $50-70$ | $60-95$ | $40-80$ | 40 |
| $\Gamma_{m}$ | 61 | $90-110$ | $45-80$ | $40-85$ | $64-86$ |
| $\Gamma_{n m}$ | 12 |  |  | $\sim 20$ | $\sim 21$ |

Binding Energy (BE):
10-100 MeV
Mesonic Decay ( $\Gamma_{m}$ )
$30-110 \mathrm{MeV}$
Non-Mesonic Decay ( $\Gamma_{\mathrm{nm}}$ ) 4-30 MeV

## Trigger Detector - SiNViO

Silicon $\Lambda$-Vertexing and Identification Online


Trigger conditions:
LVL1: Multiplicty(ToF) > 1
LVL2 : LVL1 + SiAViO



$$
\wedge \text { - Enhancement: } \quad 14.1 \pm 7.9(\text { stat })_{-0.6}^{+4.3}
$$

$$
\text { R. Münzer et. al. NIM A } 745 \text { (2014) 38-49 }
$$

# Reconstruction of exclusive Reactions 

$$
\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{p} \rightarrow \mathrm{p}+\mathrm{K}^{+}+\Lambda
$$

## Inclusive Reconstruction


(a)

(b)


|  | Before Refit $[\mathrm{cm}]$ | After Refit $[\mathrm{cm}]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\sigma_{x}$ | 3.84 | 0.09 |
| $\sigma_{y}$ | 2.98 | 0.04 |
| $\sigma_{z}$ | 5.50 | 0.31 |

## Exclusive Data Sample

Primary K ${ }^{+}$Selection

Kaon Candidates in RPC and CDC


## Exclusive Data Sample

## Primary $\mathrm{K}^{+}$Selection

$\Lambda$ Candidates in all sub detector Combinations


$$
\Lambda->p+\pi^{-}
$$




## Exclusive Reconstruction



Primary $\mathrm{K}^{+}$Selection



## Exclusive Reconstruction

$$
\begin{gathered}
p+p \xrightarrow{3.1 \mathrm{GeV}} \mathrm{~L}^{\Lambda}+p+K^{+} \\
\text {Primary } \mathrm{K}^{+} \text {Selection } \\
\begin{array}{c}
\text { Exclusive Selection } \\
\text { by Kinematical Refit }
\end{array} \\
\begin{array}{c}
\text { Secondary } \mathrm{K}^{+} \text {Selection } \\
\text { Sideband Analysis }
\end{array}
\end{gathered}
$$



## Exclusive Data Sample



## Kinematical Refit

Variation of Track parameters with error

$$
\chi^{2}=\left(\vec{\alpha}-\vec{\alpha}_{0}\right)^{T} V_{\vec{\alpha}_{0}}^{-1}\left(\vec{\alpha}-\vec{\alpha}_{0}\right)
$$

$$
\text { pvalue }=\int_{\chi_{\text {fit }}^{2}}^{\infty} f_{\nu}\left(\chi^{2}\right) d \chi^{2} .
$$



## Different Kaon selection





## Backup





## Sideband Analysis




HADESS

## $\Lambda / \Sigma$ Separation



| Particle | Mass | Fit $\mu$ | Fit $\sigma$ | Fit Amplitude |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Lambda$ | 1115.8 | 1.1171 | 0.07 | 136.35 |
| $\Sigma^{0}$ | 1192.1 | 1.185 | 0.06 | 11.277 |
| higher Resonance contribution |  | 1.32 | 0.05 | 28.8 |

HADES




| value | minimal value $[\mathrm{cm}]$ | maximal value $[\mathrm{cm}]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| primvertex $_{x}$ | -1.0 | 1.0 |
| primvertex $_{y}$ | -1.0 | 1.0 |
| primvertex $_{z}$ | -2.0 | 2.0 |
| dr | 3.0 | $\infty$ |

EXA 2014 - Vienna Robert Münzer

## Exclusive Data Sample

## Sideband Background





Background Subtracted




HADES

## Exclusive Data Sample





| Momentum Region | Signal Events | Background Events |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0.0-0.5 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$ | 177 | 146 |
| $0.5-0.6 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$ | 150 | 136 |
| $0.6-\mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$ | 577 | 577 |
| Total | 903 | 859 |

## Angular Distributions

## Center-of-mass angle

Gottfried-Jackson Angle


## Simulation Packages

## Phase Space Simulation

Transport Modell - UrQMD
Incoherent Cocktail
$p+p \rightarrow p+K^{+}+\Lambda$

$$
p+p \rightarrow p+N^{+*}\left(\rightarrow K^{+}+\Lambda\right)
$$

Angular Distribution $\begin{aligned} & \text { Froblich et al. } \\ & \text { Pos Scarzoon (2007) }\end{aligned}$

M. Abdel-Bary et al.,

Eur. Phys.J A46 (2010)

E. Epple,

Diss. TUM (2014)

Quantum Molecular Dynamics

| Description of all |
| :---: |
| particle correlations |

Production of $\mathrm{p} \mathrm{K}^{+} \Lambda$ via Resonances ( $\mathrm{N}^{+*}$ )

The UrgMD Model, http://urqmal.org/ 2013

## Phase Space Simulation



+ Experimental Data
- Incoherent Cocktail
$p+p \rightarrow p+K^{+}+\Lambda$
$-p+p \rightarrow p+N^{*+}(1650)$
$-p+p \rightarrow p+N^{*+}(1700)$
$=p+p \rightarrow p+N^{*+}(1900)$
$p+p \rightarrow p+N^{*+}(2190)$


## Phase Space Simulation

## 



$\cos \left(\theta_{C M S}\right)\left(K^{+}\right)$




+ Experimental Data
- Incoherent Cocktail
$-p+p \rightarrow p+K^{+}+\Lambda$
$-p+p \rightarrow p+N^{*+}(1650)$
$-p+p \rightarrow p+N^{*+}(1700)$
$-p+p \rightarrow p+N^{*+}(1900)$
$-p+p \rightarrow p+N^{*+}(2190)$


## Phase Space Simulation
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+ Experimental Data
pp -> p K ${ }^{+} \wedge$ Phase Space Simulation


## Phase Space Simulation


(a)

(d)

(g)

(j)

(b)

(e)

(h)

(k)

(c)

(f)

(i)

(1)

+ Experimental Data
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## Phase Space Simulation
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+ Experimental Data
pp -> p N*+(1650)
Phase Space Simulation


## Phase Space Simulation



+ Experimental Data
pp -> p N*+(1700)
Phase Space Simulation


## Phase Space Simulation



+ Experimental Data
pp -> p N*+(1900)
Phase Space Simulation


## Phase Space Simulation



## UrQMD Simulation



+ Experimental Data
- UrQMD Simulations
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## UrQMD Simulation



+ Experimental Data
- UrQMD Simulations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=\quad N^{*}(1875) \text { waves enabled (1) / disabled (0) } \\
& B=\quad N^{*}(1880) \text { waves enabled (1) / disabled (0) } \\
& C=N^{*}(1895) \text { waves enabled (1)/disabled (0) } \\
& D=\quad N^{*}(1900) \text { waves enabled (1)/disabled (0) } \\
& E=\mathrm{p} K^{+} \Lambda \text { non resonant waves enabled (1)/disabled (0) } \\
& F=5 \quad \text { Initial proton states: }{ }^{1} S_{0},{ }^{1} D_{2},{ }^{3}, P_{0},{ }^{3} P_{1},{ }^{3} P_{2},{ }^{3} F_{3} \\
& =4 \quad \text { Initial proton states. }{ }^{1} S_{0},{ }^{1} D_{2},{ }^{3} P_{0},{ }^{3} P_{1},{ }^{3} P_{2} \\
& =3 \quad \text { Initial proton states: }{ }^{1} S_{0},{ }^{1} D_{2},{ }^{3} P_{0},{ }^{3} P_{1} \\
& =2 \quad \text { Initial proton states: }{ }^{1} S_{0},{ }^{1} D_{2},{ }^{3} P_{0} \\
& =1 \quad \text { Initial proton states: }{ }^{1} S_{0},{ }^{1} D_{2} \\
& =0 \quad \text { Initial proton states: }{ }^{1} S_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$


(a)

(c)

(b)

(d)

## PWA Results in $4 \pi$


(a)

(d)

(g)

(j)

(b)

(e)

(h)

(k)

(c)

(f)

(i)

(1)

EXA 2014 - Vienna Robert Münzer

## ppK-Upper Limit Determination

## Exclusion limit:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
p_{\mu}>\alpha\left(1-p_{0}\right) \\
p_{\mu}=\int_{\chi_{\text {eignal }}^{2}}^{\infty} f_{\nu}\left(\chi^{2}\right) d \chi^{2}
\end{array}
$$

Scan of different mass and width $\mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{ppK}^{-}\right)=2.205-2.305 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$ $\Gamma\left(\mathrm{ppK}^{-}\right)=20-80 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$
And 5 best solution of PWA w/o $\mathrm{ppK}^{-}$

Background Solution: 000113


## Bonn-Gatchina PWA

## Cross Section for the production of three particles out of a collision of two particle

$$
d \sigma=\frac{(2 \pi)^{4}|A|^{2}}{4|\boldsymbol{k}| \sqrt{s}} d \Phi_{3}\left(P, q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}\right), \quad P=k_{1}+k_{2}
$$

A - reaction amplitude
$k$-3-momentum of the initial particle in the CM
$s-P^{2}=\left(k_{1}+k_{2}\right)^{2}$
$d \Phi_{3}\left(P, q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}\right)$ - invariant three-particles phase space
http://pwa.hiskp.uni-bonn.de/ A.V. Anisovich, V.V. Anisovich, E. Klempt, V.A. Nikonov and A.V. Sarantsev Eur. Phys. J. A 34, 129152 (2007)

The decomposition of the scattering amplitude into partial waves can be written as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\sum A_{t r}^{\alpha}(s) Q_{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{J}}^{i n}(S L J) A_{2 b}\left(i, S_{2} L_{2} J_{2}\right)\left(s_{i}\right) \times Q_{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{J}}^{f i n}\left(i, S_{2} L_{2} J_{2} S^{\prime} L^{\prime} J\right) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$S, L, J$ - spin, orbital mom. and total angular momentum of the pp system
$S_{2}, L_{2}, J_{2}$ - spin, orbital mom. and total angular momentum of the two particle system in fin. state
$S^{\prime}, L^{\prime} \quad$ - spin, orbital mom. between the two particle system and the third particle with four mom. $q_{i}$ multiindex $\alpha$-possible combinations of the $S, L, J, S_{2}, L_{2}, J_{2}, S^{\prime}, L^{\prime}$ and $i$
$A_{t r}{ }^{\alpha}$ (s) - transition Amplitude
$A_{2 b}{ }^{\alpha}\left(i, S_{2}, L_{2}, J_{2}\right)$ - rescattering process in he final two-particle channel (e.g. production of $\Delta$ )

## Fitting Procedure

The transition Amplitude is parameterized as follows

$$
A_{t r}^{\alpha}(s)=\left(a_{1}^{\alpha}+a_{3}^{\alpha} \sqrt{s}\right) e^{i a_{2}^{\alpha}}
$$

This is a log-likelihood minimization on an event-by-event base

## What we included to model the $\mathrm{PK}^{+} \Lambda$ process:

$\mathrm{N}^{*}$ Resonances in the PDG with measured decay into $\mathrm{K}^{+} \Lambda$

| Notation in PDG | Old notation | Mass [GeV/c ${ }^{2}$ ] | Width [ $\mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$ ] | $\Gamma_{\wedge K} / \Gamma_{\text {All }} \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}(1650) \frac{1}{2}^{-}$ | $N(1650) S_{11}$ | 1.655 | 0.150 | 3-11 |
| $N(1710) \frac{1}{2}^{+}$ | $\mathrm{N}(1710) \mathrm{P}_{11}$ | 1.710 | 0.200 | 5-25 |
| $N(1720) \frac{3}{2}^{+}$ | $N(1720) D_{13}$ | 1.720 | 0.250 | 1-15 |
| $\mathrm{N}(1875){ }^{\frac{3}{2}}$ | $N(1875) D_{13}$ | 1.875 | 0.220 | $4 \pm 2$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1880) \frac{1}{2}^{+}$ | $N(1880) \mathrm{P}_{11}$ | 1.870 | 0.235 | $2 \pm 1$ |
| $N(1895) \frac{1}{2}^{-}$ | $N(1895) \mathrm{S}_{11}$ | 1.895 | 0.090 | $18 \pm 5$ |
| $N(1900) \frac{3}{2}^{+}$ | $N(1900) \mathrm{P}_{13}$ | 1.900 | 0.250 | 0-10 |

[^0]HADIES

## Systematic

| $N^{*}$ content |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| No. | Combination |
| 0 | $N(1650), N(1710), N(1720)$ |
| 1 | $N(1650), N(1710), N(1720), N(1900)$ |
| 2 | $N(1650), N(1710), N(1720), N(1895)$ |
| 3 | $N(1650), N(1710), N(1720), N(1880)$ |
| 4 | $N(1650), N(1710), N(1720), N(1875)$ |
| 5 | $N(1650), N(1710), N(1720), N(1900), N(1880)$ |
| 6 | $N(1650), N(1710), N(1720), N(1900), N(1895)$ |
| 7 | $N(1650), N(1710), N(1720), N(1900), N(1875)$ |
| 8 | $N(1650), N(1710), N(1720), N(1895), N(1880)$ |
| 9 | $N(1650), N(1710), N(1720), N(1895), N(1875)$ |
| 10 | $N(1650), N(1710), N(1720), N(1880), N(1875)$ |

## non-resonant content

| No. | Combination |
| ---: | :--- |
| 0 | no non-resonant waves |
| 1 | $(p L)\left({ }^{1} S_{0}\right)-K$ |
| 2 | previous wave $+(p L)\left({ }^{3} S_{1}\right)-K$ |
| 3 | previous waves $+(p L)\left({ }^{1} P_{1}\right)-K$ |
| 4 | previous waves $+(p L)\left({ }^{3} P_{0}\right)-K$ |
| 5 | previous waves $+(p L)\left({ }^{3} P_{1}\right)-K$ |
| 6 | previous waves $+(p L)\left({ }^{3} P_{2}\right)-K$ |
| 7 | previous waves $+(p L)\left({ }^{1} D_{2}\right)-K$ |
| 8 | previous waves $+(p L)\left({ }^{3} D_{1}\right)-K$ |
| 9 | previous waves $+(p L)\left({ }^{3} D_{2}\right)-K$ |


| No. of ${ }^{*}$ combination |  | No. of non-res. waves | Log-likelih. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Best Solutions | 0 | 7 | -2415.74 |
|  | 1 | 8 | -2708.49 |
|  | 2 | 8 | -2524.59 |
|  | 3 | 8 | -2712.49 |
|  | 4 | 4 | -2671.05 |
|  | 5 | 8 | -2310.4 |
|  | 6 | 9 | -2754.37 |
|  | 7 | 8 | -2657.77 |
|  | 8 | 8 | -2734.97 |
|  | 9 | 6 | -2698.86 |
|  | 10 | 4 | -2642.58 | s 4 LIVU

## Solution inside WALL acceptance



Figure 2.18: Two-particle masses for the HADES data set (black points) shown with the four be st PW A solutions (gray band), obtained by a ?t to the HADE S and WALL data.


Figure 2.19: Two-particle masses for the W ALL data set (black points) shown with the four be st PW A solutions (gray band), obtained by a ?t to the HADES and WALL data.
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## PWA Results



+ Experimental Data
- Solution A

Solution B

- Solution C
- Solution D
- Solution E
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## PWA Results



## + Experimental Data

Solution A
Solution B
Solution C
Solution D
Solution E

## Solution inside WALL acceptance











## ppK- Upper Limit

$p+p->p+K^{+}+\Lambda$
Total Cross Section

## Upper Limit Cross Section

| $\Gamma\left(\mathrm{MeVc}^{-2}\right)$ | Cross Section $(\mu \mathrm{b})$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 20 | $7.6 \pm 1.2^{-3.5}-22.4 \pm 3.6^{-10.7}$ |
| 35 | $6.3 \pm 1.7^{-0.6}-9.5 \pm 2.6^{-0.9}$ |
| 50 | $10.2 \pm 1.8^{-4.5}-11.6 \pm 3.4^{-0.6}$ |
| 60 | $11.2 \pm 1.9^{-5.0}-33.8 \pm 5^{-16.9}$ |
| 80 | $11.4 \pm 2.7^{-3.8}-35.9 \pm 5.7^{-17.4}$ |

High production cross section even though no peak is visible

Peak structure suppressed due to interference
S. Abd El-Samad et al. Phys.Lett B688 (2010)
S. Abd El-Samad et al. Phys.Lett B632 (2007)
M. Abdel-Bary et al., Eur.Phys.J A46 (2010)
S. Abd El-Samad et al., Eur.Phys.J A49 (2013)
K.Fuchs et al., Springer Verlag 1985

## Cross Check
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## Cross Check




Good consistency among the results.
The solution is not biased by a possible signal in the excluded mass range

## Result



$$
\text { pull }=\sum_{i=1}^{N_{b}} \frac{\left(m_{i}-\lambda_{i}\right)}{\lambda_{i}}
$$

$m_{i}$ are the number of measured events in the bin i
$\lambda_{i}$ number of expected events in the bin according to the model $N_{b}$ is the number of bins

## The best solution




included resonances:


$\mathrm{N}(1650), \mathrm{N}(1710), \mathrm{N}(1720), \mathrm{N}(1900), \mathrm{N}(1895)$
$(p L)\left({ }^{1} S_{0}\right)-K(p L)\left({ }^{3} S_{1}\right)-K(p L)\left({ }^{1} P_{1}\right)-K$
$(p L)\left({ }^{3} P_{0}\right)-K \quad(p L)\left({ }^{3} P_{2}\right)-K(p L)\left({ }^{3} P_{1}\right)-K$
$(p L)\left({ }^{3} D_{1}\right)-K(p L)\left({ }^{1} D_{2}\right)-K(p L)\left({ }^{3} D_{2}\right)-K$

## Four Best PWA Solutions

Inside HADES acceptance


Measured data
PWA solutions


| Name | $N^{*}$ combination |
| :---: | :---: |
| $1 / 8$ | $N(1650), N(1710), N(1720), N(1900)$ |
| $3 / 8$ | $N(1650), N(1710), N(1720), N(1880)$ |
| $6 / 9$ | $N(1650), N(1710), N(1720), N(1900), N(1895)$ |
| $8 / 8$ | $N(1650), N(1710), N(1720), N(1895), N(1880)$ |

## Test of the Null Hypothesis

EXA 2014 - Vienna

## Test of the Null Hypothesis



$\chi_{P}^{2}=\frac{(m-\lambda)^{2}}{\lambda}$
$p-$ value $=\int_{\chi_{P, d}^{2}}^{\infty} P\left(\chi^{2}, N d f\right) d \chi^{2}$


$\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}}$ measured events in bin i
$\lambda_{i}$ expected events in bin i according to the model

Test of the Null Hypothesis


HADES

Test of the Null Hypothesis


## Test of the Null Hypothesis




$$
\chi_{P}^{2}=\frac{(m-\lambda)^{2}}{\lambda}
$$

$$
p-\text { value }=\int_{\chi_{P, d}^{2}}^{\infty} P\left(\chi^{2}, N d f\right) d \chi^{2}
$$

$m_{i}$ measured events in bin $i$ $\lambda_{i}$ expected events in bin i according to the model



$$
\chi_{P}^{2}=\frac{(m-\lambda)^{2}}{\lambda} \square \chi_{P}^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{N_{b}} \frac{\left(m_{i}-\lambda_{i}\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{i}}
$$

Combined result


EXA 2014 - Vienna Robert Münzer

## Test of the Signal Hypothesis

## Inclusion of a new State








## Feature of a PWA

## ... Interferences



The minimum has to be found
by the fit

## Upper limit at $C L_{s} 95 \%$

These waves are included into the four best solutions of the PWA


Scanned masses:
$2220-2370 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$ (in steps of $10 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$ )
Scanned widths:
30 MeV , 50 MeV , and 70 MeV

## Thanks to the HADES Collaboration

Jörn Adamczewski-Musch, Geydar Agakishiev, Claudia Behnke, Alexander Belyaev, Jia-Chii Berger-Chen, Alberto Blanco, Christoph Blume, Michael Böhmer, Pablo Cabanelas, Nuno Carolino, Sergey Chernenko, Jose Díaz, Adrian Dybczak, Eliane Epple, Laura Fabbietti, Oleg Fateev, Paulo Fonte, Jürgen Friese, Ingo Fröhlich, Tetyana Galatyuk, Juan A. Garzón, Roman Gernhäuser, Alejandro Gil, Marina Golubeva, Fedor Guber, Malgorzata Gumberidze, Szymon Harabasz, Klaus Heidel, Thorsten Heinz, Thierry Hennino, Romain Holzmann, Jochen Hutsch, Claudia Höhne, Alexander Ierusalimov, Alexander Ivashkin, Burkhard Kämpfer, Marcin Kajetanowicz, Tatiana Karavicheva, Vladimir Khomyakov, Ilse Koenig, Wolfgang Koenig, Burkhard W. Kolb, Vladimir Kolganov, Grzegorz Korcyl, Georgy Kornakov, Roland Kotte, Erik Krebs, Hubert Kuc, Wolfgang Kühn, Andrej Kugler, Alexei Kurepin, Alexei Kurilkin, Pavel Kurilkin, Vladimir Ladygin, Rafal Lalik, Kirill Lapidus, Alexander Lebedev, Ming Liu, Luís Lopes, Manuel Lorenz, Gennady Lykasov, Ludwig Maier, Alexander Malakhov, Alessio Mangiarotti, Jochen Markert, Volker Metag, Jan Michel, Christian Müntz, Rober Münzer, Lothar Naumann, Marek Palka, Vladimir Pechenov, Olga Pechenova, Americo Pereira, Jerzy Pietraszko, Witold Przygoda, Nicolay Rabin, Béatrice Ramstein, Andrei Reshetin, Laura Rehnisch, Philippe Rosier, Anar Rustamov, Alexander Sadovsky, Piotr Salabura, Timo Scheib, Alexander Schmah, Heidi Schuldes, Erwin Schwab, Johannes Siebenson, Vladimir Smolyankin, Manfred Sobiella, Yuri Sobolev, Stefano Spataro, Herbert Ströbele, Joachim Stroth, Christian Sturm, Khaled Teilab, Vladimir Tiflov, Pavel Tlusty, Michael Traxler, Alexander Troyan, Haralabos Tsertos, Evgeny Usenko, Taras Vasiliev, Vladimir Wagner, Christian Wendisch, Jörn Wüstenfeld, Yuri Zanevsky


## References for the Calculations

[AYO2]

Yoshinori Akaishi and Toshimitsu Yamazaki. Nuclear anti-K bound states in light nuclei. Phys.Rev., C65:044005, 2002.
[BGL12] N. Barnea, A. Gal, and E.Z. Liverts. Realistic calculations of $\bar{K} N N$, $\bar{K} N N N$, and $\bar{K} \bar{K} N N$ quasibound states. Phys.Lett., B712:132-137, 2012.
[BO12a] M. Bayar and E. Oset. $\bar{K} N N$ Absorption within the Framework of the Fixed Center Approximation to Faddeev equations. 2012.
[BO12b] M. Bayar and E. Oset. Improved Fixed Center Approximation of the Faddeev equations for the $\bar{K} N N$ system with $\mathrm{S}=0$. Nucl.Phys., A883:57-68, 2012.
[DHW08] Akinobu Dote, Tetsuo Hyodo, and Wolfram Weise. $K^{-}$pp system with chiral SU(3) effective interaction. Nucl.Phys., A804:197-206, 2008.
[DHW09] Akinobu Dote, Tetsuo Hyodo, and Wolfram Weise. Variational calculation of the $\mathrm{ppK}^{-}$system based on chiral SU(3) dynamics. Phys.Rev., C79:014003, 2009.
[FIK $\left.{ }^{+} 11\right]$ M. Faber, A.N. Ivanov, P. Kienle, J. Marton, and M. Pitschmann. Molecule model for kaonic nuclear cluster K̄NN. Int.J.Mod.Phys., E20:1477-1490, 2011.
[IKS10]
[IS07]
[IS09]
[RS14]
[SGM07]
N.V. Shevchenko, A. Gal, and $ل$ Mares. Faddeev calculation of a $K^{-} p p$ quasi-bound state. Phys.Rev.Lett., 98:082301, 2007.
[SGMR07] N.V. Shevchenko, A. Gal, $\downarrow$ Mares, and $\downarrow$ Revai. $\bar{K} N N$ quasi-bound state and the $\bar{K} N$ interaction: Coupled-channel Faddeev calculations of the $\bar{K} N N-\pi \Sigma N$ system. Phys.Rev., C76:044004, 2007.
[WG09] S. Wycech and A. M. Green. Variational calculations for $\bar{K}$-fewnucleon systems. Phys. Rev. C, 79:014001, 2009.
[YA02] T. Yamazaki and Y. Akaishi. $\left(K^{-}, \pi^{-}\right)$production of nuclear $\bar{K}$ bound states in proton-rich systems via $\wedge^{*}$ doorways. Phys.Lett., B535:7076, 2002.

## N* resonances






Figure 6.10: a) $I M_{K+\wedge}$, b) $I M_{p \wedge, ~ c) ~} M M_{K+}$ and d) $M M_{\wedge}$ fitted with the sum of the four $N^{*+}-$ resonances from table 6.2 and the simulation of a direct $\mathrm{pK}+\Lambda$ production.
Master Thesis A. Solaguren-Beascoa Negre

## Upper Limit



## Dalitz Plots
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## Cross Section
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## Multi PWA
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## Combined Analysis of HADES and FOPI


s:- ( Log Likely hood) of PWA

Energy dependent coefficient $=0$

## Results of 3_8
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## Results of 3_8



## Results of 3_8



## Results of 3_8



## Combined Analysis of HADES and FOPI

4 Best HADES Solutions HADES-FOPI (ene-fix) $1 \_8$ ( $s=-0.8310^{5}$ ) $8 \_8\left(s=-0.8210^{5}\right)$ 3_8 ( $s=-0.9810^{5}$ )
$6 \_9\left(s=-0.7810^{5}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 4 \text { Best HADES Solutions } \\
& \text { HADES+FOPI (ene_dep) } \\
& \begin{array}{l}
1 \_8\left(s=-0.7310^{5}\right) \\
8 \_8\left(s=-0.7610^{5}\right) \\
3 \_8\left(s=-0.7010^{5}\right) \\
6 \_9\left(s=-0.6210^{5}\right)
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

s:- ( Log Likely hood) of PWA

## Energy dependent coefficient fitted

## Results HADES








## Results HADES



## Results WALL








## Results WALL



## Results FOPI








## Results FOPI



## 4 PI - param_3_8_ene_dep








## 4 PI - param_3_8_ene_dep



## Legendre Fits

## Mean of all solutions

$\cos \left(\theta_{A}^{\mathrm{cm}}\right)$


$\cos \left(\theta_{K p}^{R F_{p}}\right)$


## $\cos \left(\theta_{\mathrm{P}}^{\mathrm{cm}}\right)$


$\cos \left(\theta_{K B / T}^{R F}\right)$


$\cos \left(\theta_{k}^{c m}\right)$


$\cos \left(\theta_{P A}^{R E F A}\right)$


## Contributions









# PWA without Interference 

## Combined Analysis of HADES and FOPI

| With Interference: |
| :---: | :---: |
| Without Interference: <br> 1. cfgg $=\Sigma \mathrm{cp} *$ tensor <br> 2. Cross section $=\Sigma \mathrm{cfgg}^{*} \mathrm{cfgg}^{+}$ |
| 1. $\mathrm{cfgg}=\Sigma\left(\mathrm{cp}^{*}\right.$ tensor $) *\left(\mathrm{cp}^{*} \text { tensor }\right)^{+}$ <br> 2. Cross section $=\Sigma \mathrm{cfgg}$ |

## Results of 3_8_wo_int (not fitted)








## Results of 3_8_wo_int (not fitted)
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## Results of 3_8_wo_int (100 iter)








## Results of 3_8_wo_int (100 iter)
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[^0]:    And the production of $\mathrm{pK}^{+} \wedge$ via non resonant waves

