Poster prize: criteria considered

• **Content:** level/quality of the research work done
• **Conclusions:** did the work lead to something?
• **Contribution:** how much personal work is involved?
• **Clarity:** is the poster understandable for a pseudo-general audience? Bottom line?
• **Charm:** interesting/catchy presentation style, important points in evidence, pleasant
• **Care** in the presentation: no typos, readable figures

The winners are...

3\textsuperscript{rd} place: ex aequo between Luc Perrot and Aziz Kurt (prize)
2\textsuperscript{nd} place: Nurgul Hafizoglu
1\textsuperscript{st} place: André Ornelas