

Poster prize: criteria considered

- **Content:** level/quality of the research work done
- **Conclusions:** did the work lead to something?
- **Contribution:** how much personal work is involved?
- **Clarity:** is the poster understandable for a pseudo-general audience? Bottom line?
- **Charm:** interesting/catchy presentation style, important points in evidence, pleasant
- **Care** in the presentation: no typos, readable figures

The winners are...

Poster prize: criteria considered

- **Content:** level/quality of the research work done
- **Conclusions:** did the work lead to something?
- **Contribution:** how much personal work is involved?
- **Clarity:** is the poster understandable for a pseudo-general audience? Bottom line?
- **Charm:** interesting/catchy presentation style, important points in evidence, pleasant
- **Care** in the presentation: no typos, readable figures

The winners are...

3rd place: ex aequo between Luc Perrot and Aziz Kurt (prize)

2nd place: Nurgul Hafizoglu

1st place: André Ornelas