

EGAN 2014 Workshop 23-26 June 2014



Institut für Theoretische Physik I



Nuclear Dynamics at the Particle Threshold

Nadia Tsoneva

Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Giessen



Characteristic Response of an Atomic Nucleus to EM Radiation



Theoretical prediction of Pygmy Quadrupole Resonance: N. Tsoneva, H. Lenske, Phys. Lett. B 695 (2011) 174.

The Theoretical Model

Quasiparticle-Phonon Model: V. G. Soloviev: Theory of Atomic Nuclei: Quasiparticles and Phonons (Bristol, 1992)

N. Tsoneva, H. Lenske, Ch. Stoyanov, Phys. Lett. B 586 (2004) 213 N. Tsoneva, H. Lenske, Phys. Rev. C 77 (2008) 024321

$$H_{MF} = H_{sp} + H_{pair}$$

Nuclear Ground State

Single-Particle States

Phenomenological density functional approach based on a fully microscopic self-consistent Skyrme Hartree-Fock-Bogoljubov (HFB) theory

Pairing and Quasiparticle States

$$H_{res} = H_M^{ph} + H_{SM}^{ph} + H_M^{pp}$$

Excited states deformations, vibrations, rotations

- H_{M}^{ph} multipole interaction in the particle-hole channel;
- H_{SM}^{ph} spin-multipole interaction in the particle-hole channel;
- H_M^{pp} multipole interaction in the particle-particle channel

$$\begin{split} V(\left|\vec{r}-\vec{r}'\right|) &\approx \sum_{\lambda\mu\tau} (-)^{\mu} R_{\tau}^{\lambda}(r,r') Y_{\lambda\mu}(\theta,\varphi) Y_{\lambda-\mu}(\theta',\varphi') \\ R_{\tau}^{\lambda}(r,r') &= \kappa_{\tau}^{\lambda} R_{\lambda}(r) R_{\lambda}(r') \\ \tau &= 0 \text{ isoscalar interaction} \\ \tau &= 1 \text{ isovector interaction} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{N.Tsoneva, EGAN2014} \end{split}$$

Phenomenological Density Functional Approach for Nuclear Ground States

P. Hohenberg, W. Kohn, Phys. Rev. 136 (1964) B864; W. Kohn, L. J. Sham, Phys. Rev. 140 (1965) A 1133.

N. Tsoneva, H. Lenske, PRC 77 (2008) 024321

The total binding energy B(A) is expressed as an integral over an energy-density functional

$$B(A) = \sum_{q=p,n} \int d^3r \left(\tau_q \left(\rho \right) + \frac{1}{2} \rho_q U_q \left(\rho \right) \right) + E_q^{pair} \left(k, \rho \right)$$
effective potential
$$B(A) / \delta \rho_q \Rightarrow \Sigma_q \left(\rho \right) = U_q \left(\rho \right) + U_q^{(r)} \left(\rho \right)$$

$$p_q^{(r)} \delta \rho_q^{(r)} \Rightarrow \Sigma_q \left(\rho \right) = U_q \left(\rho \right) + U_q^{(r)} \left(\rho \right)$$

$$p_q^{(r)} \delta \rho_q^{(r)} \Rightarrow \Sigma_q \left(\rho \right) = U_q \left(\rho \right) + U_q^{(r)} \left(\rho \right)$$

$$p_q^{(r)} \delta \rho_q^{(r)} \Rightarrow \Sigma_q \left(\rho \right) = U_q \left(\rho \right) + U_q^{(r)} \left(\rho \right)$$

$$p_q^{(r)} \delta \rho_q^{(r)} \Rightarrow \Sigma_q \left(\rho \right) = U_q \left(\rho \right) + U_q^{(r)} \left(\rho \right)$$

$$p_q^{(r)} \delta \rho_q^{(r)} \Rightarrow \Sigma_q \left(\rho \right) = U_q \left(\rho \right) + U_q^{(r)} \left(\rho \right)$$

$$p_q^{(r)} \delta \rho_q^{(r)} \Rightarrow \Sigma_q \left(\rho \right) = U_q \left(\rho \right) + U_q^{(r)} \left(\rho \right)$$

$$p_q^{(r)} \delta \rho_q^{(r)} \Rightarrow \Sigma_q \left(\rho \right) = U_q \left(\rho \right) + U_q^{(r)} \left(\rho \right)$$

$$p_q^{(r)} \delta \rho_q^{(r)} \Rightarrow \Sigma_q \left(\rho \right) = U_q \left(\rho \right) + U_q^{(r)} \left(\rho \right)$$

$$p_q^{(r)} \delta \rho_q^{(r)} \Rightarrow \Sigma_q \left(\rho \right) = U_q \left(\rho \right) + U_q^{(r)} \left(\rho \right)$$

$$p_q^{(r)} \delta \rho_q^{(r)} \Rightarrow \Sigma_q \left(\rho \right) = U_q \left(\rho \right) + U_q^{(r)} \left(\rho \right)$$

$$p_q^{(r)} \delta \rho_q^{(r)} \Rightarrow \Sigma_q \left(\rho \right) = U_q \left(\rho \right) + U_q^{(r)} \left(\rho \right)$$

$$p_q^{(r)} \delta \rho_q^{(r)} \Rightarrow \Sigma_q \left(\rho \right) = U_q \left(\rho \right) + U_q^{(r)} \left(\rho \right)$$

$$p_q^{(r)} \delta \rho_q^{(r)} \Rightarrow \Sigma_q \left(\rho \right) = U_q \left(\rho \right) + U_q^{(r)} \left(\rho \right)$$

$$p_q^{(r)} \delta \rho_q^{(r)} \Rightarrow \Sigma_q \left(\rho \right) = U_q \left(\rho \right) + U_q^{(r)} \left(\rho \right)$$

$$p_q^{(r)} \delta \rho_q^{(r)} \Rightarrow \Sigma_q \left(\rho \right) = U_q \left(\rho \right) + U_q^{(r)} \left(\rho \right)$$

$$p_q^{(r)} \delta \rho_q^{(r)} \Rightarrow \Sigma_q \left(\rho \right) = U_q \left(\rho \right) + U_q^{(r)} \left(\rho \right)$$

$$p_q^{(r)} \delta \rho_q^{(r)} = U_q^{(r)} \left(\rho \right)$$

$$p_q^{(r)} = U_q^{(r)} \left(\rho \right)$$

Α

Theory of Nuclear Excitations

The QPM basis is built of phonons:

$$Q_{\lambda\mu i}^{+} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j_{1}j_{2}} \left[\psi_{j_{1}j_{2}}^{\lambda i} A_{\lambda\mu}^{+}(j_{1}, j_{2}) - (-1)^{\lambda-\mu} \varphi_{j_{1}j_{2}}^{\lambda i} A_{\lambda-\mu}(j_{1}, j_{2}) \right] \\A_{\lambda\mu}^{+}(j_{1}, j_{2}) = \sum_{m_{1}m_{2}} \left\langle j_{1}m_{1}j_{2}m_{2} \left| \lambda\mu \right\rangle \alpha_{j_{1}m_{1}}^{+} \alpha_{j_{2}m_{2}}^{+} \right. \\A_{\lambda-\mu}(j_{1}, j_{2}) = \sum_{m_{1}m_{2}} \left\langle j_{1}m_{1}j_{2}m_{2} \left| \lambda-\mu \right\rangle \alpha_{j_{2}m_{2}} \alpha_{j_{1}m_{1}} \right]$$

\dot{i} — labels the number of the QRPA state

The phonons are not 'pure' bosons:

$$\left[Q_{\lambda\mu i}, Q_{\lambda'\mu' i'}^{+}\right] = \delta_{\lambda\lambda'}\delta_{\mu\mu'}\delta_{ii'} + \epsilon$$

fermionic corrections $\sim \alpha_{j_1m_1}^+ \alpha_{j_2m_2}$

QRPA equations are solved:

$$\left[H,Q_{\lambda\mu i}^{+}
ight]=E_{\lambda\mu i}Q_{\lambda\mu i}^{+}$$

Anharmonicities in Nuclear Wave Function

For even-even nucleus the QPM wave functions are a mixture of one-, two- and three-phonon components

$$\Psi_{\nu}(JM) = \left\{ \sum_{i} R_{i}(J\nu)Q_{JMi}^{+} + \sum_{\substack{\lambda_{1}i_{1} \\ \lambda_{2}i_{2}}} P_{\lambda_{2}i_{2}}^{\lambda_{1}i_{1}}(J\nu) \left[Q_{\lambda_{1}\mu_{1}i_{1}}^{+} \otimes Q_{\lambda_{2}\mu_{2}i_{2}}^{+}\right]_{JM} \right.$$

$$\left. + \sum_{\substack{\lambda_{1}i_{1}\lambda_{2}i_{2} \\ \lambda_{3}i_{3}}} T_{\lambda_{3}i_{3}}^{\lambda_{1}i_{1}\lambda_{2}i_{2}I}(J\nu) \left[\left[Q_{\lambda_{1}\mu_{1}i_{1}}^{+} \otimes Q_{\lambda_{2}\mu_{2}i_{2}}^{+}\right]_{IK} \otimes Q_{\lambda_{3}\mu_{3}i_{3}}^{+}\right]_{JM} \right\} \Psi_{0}$$

$$\left. + \sum_{\substack{\lambda_{1}i_{1}\lambda_{2}i_{2} \\ \lambda_{3}i_{3}I}} T_{\lambda_{3}i_{3}I}^{\lambda_{1}i_{1}\lambda_{2}i_{2}I}(J\nu) \left[\left[Q_{\lambda_{1}\mu_{1}i_{1}}^{+} \otimes Q_{\lambda_{2}\mu_{2}i_{2}}^{+}\right]_{IK} \otimes Q_{\lambda_{3}\mu_{3}i_{3}}^{+}\right]_{JM} \right\} \Psi_{0}$$

$$\left. + \sum_{\substack{\lambda_{1}i_{1}\lambda_{2}i_{2} \\ \lambda_{3}i_{3}I}} T_{\lambda_{3}i_{3}I}^{\lambda_{1}i_{1}\lambda_{2}i_{2}I}(J\nu) \left[\left[Q_{\lambda_{1}\mu_{1}i_{1}}^{+} \otimes Q_{\lambda_{2}\mu_{2}i_{2}}^{+}\right]_{IK} \otimes Q_{\lambda_{3}\mu_{3}i_{3}}^{+}\right]_{JM} \right\} \Psi_{0}$$

M. Grinberg, Ch. Stoyanov, Nucl. Phys. A. 573 (1994) 231

Pygmy Dipole Resonance in Sn Isotopes

N. Tsoneva, H. Lenske, PRC 77 (2008) 024321

10

Pygmy Dipole Resonance and the Dynamics of Nuclear Skin

Multiphonon Calculations of E1 Transitions in ^{112,120}Sn

submitted to PRC

Dynamics of Neutron Skin Oscillations in N=50 Isotones

exp: R. Schwengner et al., First systematic photon-scattering experiments in N=50 nuclei: using bremsstrahlung produced with electron beams at the linear accelerator ELBE, Rossendorf and quasi-monoenergetic γ - rays at HI γ S facility, Duke university.

Total cross section of ⁸⁵Kr^{g (}n, γ)⁸⁶Kr reaction

R. Raut,...,N.Tsoneva et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 112501 (2013).

A way to investigate ⁸⁵Kr branching point and the s-process: ⁸⁵Kr ($\tau \sim 10.57$ Y)

ground state is a branching point and thus a bridge for the production of ⁸⁶Kr at low neutron densities.

Fine Structure of the Giant M1 Resonance in ⁹⁰Zr

Precision data on M1 strength distributions are of fundamental importance

Spin and Parity Determination at HI_γS, Duke University, USA

G. Rusev, N. Tsoneva, F. Dönau, S. Frauendorf, R. Schwengner, A. P. Tonchev, A. S. Adekola, S. L. Hammond, J. H. Kelley, E. Kwan, H. Lenske, W. Tornow, and A. Wagner, **Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 022503 (2013).**

•Explaining the fragmentation pattern and the dynamics of the 'quenching'.

• Multi-particle multi-hole effects increase strongly the orbital part of the magnetic moment.

 \cdot Prediction of M1 strength at and above the neutron threshold.

$$\Sigma B(M1)_{Exp.}$$
 = 4.5 (6) μ_N^2 $\Sigma B(M1)_{QPM.}$ = 4.6 μ_N^2

E^{c.m.}_{Exp.} = 9.0 MeV

E^{c.m.}_{QPM} = 9.1 MeV

Conclusions

A theoretical method based on Density Functional Theory and Quasiparticle-Phonon Model is developed.

Presently, this is the only existing method allowing for sufficiently large configuration space such that a unified description of low-energy single-particle, multiple-phonon states and the giant resonances is feasure.

Different

- systematic provide a low energy dipole strengths reveal new mode of nuclear excitation - Pygmy Dipole Resonance as a unique mode of excitation correlated with the size of the neutron skin.

- theoretical prediction of a higher order multipole pygmy resonance -Pygmy Quadrupole Resonance.
- description of the fragmentation pattern of E1, E2 and M1 strengths
- nuclear structure input for astrophysics