# Atomic Quantum Simulation of Abelian and non-Abelian Gauge Theories

Uwe-Jens Wiese

Albert Einstein Center for Fundamental Physics Institute for Theoretical Physics, Bern University



SIGN 2014, GSI Darmstadt February 21, 2014



European Research Council

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

UNIVERSITÄT BERN

AEC ALBERT EINSTEIN CENTER FOR FUNDAMENTAL PHYSICS

Collaboration:

Debasish Banerjee, Michael Bögli, Pascal Stebler, Philippe Widmer (Bern), Fu-Jiun Jiang (Taipei), Marcello Dalmonte, Peter Zoller (Innsbruck), Enrique Rico (Strasbourg), Markus Müller (Madrid) Quantum Simulation to Address Severe Sign Problems

Wilson's Lattice Gauge Theory versus Quantum Link Models

Atomic Quantum Simulator for U(1) Gauge Theory Coupled to Fermionic Matter

Atomic Quantum Simulator for U(N) and SU(N) Non-Abelian Gauge Theories

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Conclusions

# Outline

### Quantum Simulation to Address Severe Sign Problems

Wilson's Lattice Gauge Theory versus Quantum Link Models

Atomic Quantum Simulator for U(1) Gauge Theory Coupled to Fermionic Matter

Atomic Quantum Simulator for U(N) and SU(N) Non-Abelian Gauge Theories

Conclusions

#### Some sign problems are completely solvable:

Meron-cluster algorithm analytically identifies cancellations by an improved estimator and samples only the positive uncanceled configurations, thus completely solving several severe sign problems.

• 2-d O(3) model at non-zero  $\theta$  or  $\mu$ : W. Bietenholz, A. Pochinsky, UJW, PRL 75 (1995) 4524. S. Chandrasekharan, B. Scarlet, UJW, CPC 147 (2002) 388. M. Bögli, F. Niedermayer, M. Pepe, UJW, JHEP 1204 (2012) 117. P. de Forcrand, M. Pepe, UJW, PRD 86 (2012) 075006.

• 2-d  $\mathbb{C}P(N-1)$  models at  $\theta = \pi$ : B. B. Beard, M. Pepe, S. Riederer, UJW, PRL 94 (2005) 010603.

• 3-d Z(3) Polyakov loop model for dense QCD: M. Alford, S. Chandrasekharan, J. Cox, UJW, NPB 602 (2001) 61.

• Strongly correlated fermions with a severe sign problem:

S. Chandrasekharan, UJW, PRL 83 (1999) 3116.

S. Chandrasekharan, J. Cox, K. Holland, UJW, NPB 576 (2000) 481.

S. Chandrasekharan, J. Osborn, PRB 66 (2002) 045113.

#### Some sign problems are harder:

• Geometrically frustrated quantum magnets: M. Nyfeler, F.-J. Jiang, F. Kämpfer, UJW, PRL 100 (2008) 247206.

• Real-time evolution driven by measurements: D. Banerjee, F.-J. Jiang, M. Kon, UJW, to be published.

### Some sign problems are too hard:

• As hard as the NP-complete traveling salesman problem: M. Troyer, UJW, PRL 94 (2005) 170201.

## Feynman's vision: Int. J. Theor. Phys. 21 (1982) 467.



"I'm not happy with all the analyses that go with just the classical theory, because nature isn't classical, dammit, and if you want to make a simulation of nature, you'd better make it quantum mechanical, and by golly it's a wonderful problem, because it doesn't look so easy."

# Ultra-cold atoms in optical lattices as analog quantum simulators



Superfluid-Mott insulator transition in the bosonic Hubbard model

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●



M. Greiner, O. Mandel, T. Esslinger, T. Hänsch, I. Bloch, Nature 415 (2002) 39.

# Outline

#### Quantum Simulation to Address Severe Sign Problems

### Wilson's Lattice Gauge Theory versus Quantum Link Models

Atomic Quantum Simulator for U(1) Gauge Theory Coupled to Fermionic Matter

Atomic Quantum Simulator for U(N) and SU(N) Non-Abelian Gauge Theories

Conclusions

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲≣▶ ▲≣▶ ▲国 ● ● ●

Hamiltonian formulation of U(1) lattice gauge theory

$$U=\exp(iarphi), \ U^{\dagger}=\exp(-iarphi)\in U(1)$$

Electric field operator E

$$E = -i\partial_{\varphi}, \ [E, U] = U, \ [E, U^{\dagger}] = -U^{\dagger}, \ [U, U^{\dagger}] = 0$$

Generator of U(1) gauge transformations

$$G_{x} = \sum_{i} (E_{x-\hat{i},i} - E_{x,i}), \quad [H, G_{x}] = 0$$

U(1) gauge invariant Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{g^2}{2} \sum_{x,i} E_{x,i}^2 - \frac{1}{2g^2} \sum_{x,i\neq j} (U_{x,i}U_{x+\hat{i},j}U_{x+\hat{j},i}^{\dagger}U_{x,j}^{\dagger} + \text{h.c.})$$

operates in an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space per link

U(1) quantum link model

$$\begin{array}{c}
E_{x,i}\\
\bullet & U_{x,i} \\
\hline x \\
\end{bmatrix} \hat{x} + \hat{i}$$

$$U = S_1 + iS_2 = S_+, \ U^{\dagger} = S_1 - iS_2 = S_-$$

Electric flux operator E

$$E = S_3, [E, U] = U, [E, U^{\dagger}] = -U^{\dagger}, [U, U^{\dagger}] = 2E$$

Generator of U(1) gauge transformations

$$G_{x} = \sum_{i} (E_{x-\hat{i},i} - E_{x,i}), \quad [H, G_{x}] = 0$$

Gauge invariant Hamiltonian for  $S = \frac{1}{2}$ 

$$H = -J\sum_{\Box} (U_{\Box} + U_{\Box}^{\dagger})$$

 $H \swarrow f = J \checkmark f$  $H \checkmark f = 0$ 

defines a gauge theory with a 2-d Hilbert space per link D. Horn, PLB B100 (1981) 149.

- P. Orland, D. Rohrlich, NPB338 (1990) 647.
- S. Chandrasekharan, UJW, NPB 492 (1997) 455.

Hamiltonian with Rokhsar-Kivelson term

$$H = -J\left[\sum_{\Box}(U_{\Box} + U_{\Box}^{\dagger}) - \lambda \sum_{\Box}(U_{\Box} + U_{\Box}^{\dagger})^{2}
ight]$$

#### Phase diagram



D. Banerjee, F.-J. Jiang, P. Widmer, UJW, arXiv:1303.6858, JSTAT (2013) P12010.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ◆□ ◆ ◇◇◇





L. Tagliacozzo, A. Celi, A. Zamora, M. Lewenstein, Ann. Phys. 330 (2013) 160.

999

# Outline

Quantum Simulation to Address Severe Sign Problems

Wilson's Lattice Gauge Theory versus Quantum Link Models

# Atomic Quantum Simulator for U(1) Gauge Theory Coupled to Fermionic Matter

Atomic Quantum Simulator for U(N) and SU(N) Non-Abelian Gauge Theories

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Conclusions

Hamiltonian for staggered fermions and U(1) quantum links

$$H = -t \sum_{x} \left[ \psi_{x}^{\dagger} U_{x,x+1} \psi_{x+1} + \text{h.c.} \right] + m \sum_{x} (-1)^{x} \psi_{x}^{\dagger} \psi_{x} + \frac{g^{2}}{2} \sum_{x} E_{x,x+1}^{2}$$

Bosonic rishon representation of the quantum links

$$U_{x,x+1} = b_x b_{x+1}^{\dagger}, \ E_{x,x+1} = \frac{1}{2} \left( b_{x+1}^{\dagger} b_{x+1} - b_x^{\dagger} b_x \right)$$

Gauge generator

$$\widetilde{G}_x = n_x^F + n_x^1 + n_x^2 - 2S + \frac{1}{2} \left[ (-1)^x - 1 \right]$$

Microscopic Hubbard model Hamiltonian

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{H} &= \sum_{x} h_{x,x+1}^{\mathcal{B}} + \sum_{x} h_{x,x+1}^{\mathcal{F}} + m \sum_{x} (-1)^{x} n_{x}^{\mathcal{F}} + U \sum_{x} \widetilde{G}_{x}^{2} \\ &= -t_{\mathcal{B}} \sum_{x \text{ odd}} b_{x}^{1\dagger} b_{x+1}^{1} - t_{\mathcal{B}} \sum_{x \text{ even}} b_{x}^{2\dagger} b_{x+1}^{2} - t_{\mathcal{F}} \sum_{x} \psi_{x}^{\dagger} \psi_{x+1} + \text{h.c.} \\ &+ \sum_{x,\alpha,\beta} n_{x}^{\alpha} U_{\alpha\beta} n_{x}^{\beta} + \sum_{x,\alpha} (-1)^{x} U_{\alpha} n_{x}^{\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

# Optical lattice with Bose-Fermi mixture of ultra-cold atoms



# Quantum simulation of the real-time evolution of string breaking



・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨ

D. Banerjee, M. Dalmonte, M. Müller, E. Rico, P. Stebler, UJW, P. Zoller, Phys. Rev. Lett. 109 (2012) 175302.

# Outline

Quantum Simulation to Address Severe Sign Problems

Wilson's Lattice Gauge Theory versus Quantum Link Models

Atomic Quantum Simulator for U(1) Gauge Theory Coupled to Fermionic Matter

Atomic Quantum Simulator for U(N) and SU(N) Non-Abelian Gauge Theories

Conclusions

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへ⊙

# U(N) guantum link operators $U^{ij} = S_1^{ij} + iS_2^{ij}, \ U^{ij\dagger} = S_1^{ij} - iS_2^{ij}, \ i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}, \ [U^{ij}, (U^{\dagger})^{kl}] \neq 0$ $SU(N)_{I} \times SU(N)_{R}$ gauge transformations of a quantum link $[L^a, L^b] = if_{abc}L^c, \ [R^a, R^b] = if_{abc}R^c, \ a, b, c \in \{1, 2, \dots, N^2 - 1\}$ $[L^{a}, R^{b}] = [L^{a}, E] = [R^{a}, E] = 0$ Infinitesimal gauge transformations of a quantum link $[L^a, U] = -\lambda^a U, \ [R^a, U] = U\lambda^a, \ [E, U] = U$ Algebraic structures of different quantum link models U(N): $U^{ij}$ , $L^{a}$ , $R^{a}$ , E, $2N^{2}+2(N^{2}-1)+1 = 4N^{2}-1$ SU(2N) generators $SO(N): O^{ij}, L^{a}, R^{a}, N^{2}+2\frac{N(N-1)}{2} = N(2N-1) SO(2N)$ generators $S_{p}(N)$ : $U^{ij}$ , $L^{a}$ , $R^{a}$ , $4N^{2}+2N(2N+1) = 2N(4N+1) S_{p}(2N)$ generators R. Brower, S. Chandrasekharan, UJW, Phys. Rev. D60 (1999) 094502

d-dimensional SU(N) gauge theory with staggered fermions

$$\begin{split} H &= -t \sum_{\langle xy \rangle} \left( s_{xy} \psi_x^{i\dagger} U_{xy}^{ij} \psi_y^{j} + \text{h.c.} \right) + m \sum_x (-1)^x \psi_x^{i\dagger} \psi_x^{i} \\ &+ \frac{g^2}{2} \sum_{\langle xy \rangle} \left( L_{xy}^a L_{xy}^a + R_{xy}^a R_{xy}^a \right) + \frac{g'^2}{2} \sum_{\langle xy \rangle} E_{xy}^2 \\ &- \frac{1}{4g^2} \sum_{\langle wxyz \rangle} \left( U_{wx} U_{xy} U_{yz} U_{zw} + \text{h.c.} \right) - \gamma \sum_{\langle xy \rangle} (\det U_{xy} + \text{h.c.}) \end{split}$$

Fermionic rishons at the two ends of a link

$$\{c_x^i, c_y^{j\dagger}\} = \delta_{xy}\delta_{ij}, \ \{c_x^i, c_y^j\} = \{c_x^{i\dagger}, c_y^{j\dagger}\} = 0$$

Rishon representation of link algebra

$$\begin{array}{ccc} c_x^i & c \\ \hline x & U_{ij} & y \end{array}$$

 $U_{xy}^{ij} = c_x^{i} c_y^{j\dagger}, \ L_{xy}^{a} = c_x^{i\dagger} \lambda_{ij}^{a} c_x^{j}, \ R_{xy}^{a} = c_y^{i\dagger} \lambda_{ij}^{a} c_y^{j}, \ E_{xy} = \frac{1}{2} (c_y^{i\dagger} c_y^{i} - c_x^{i\dagger} c_x^{i})$ 

# Optical lattice with ultra-cold alkaline-earth atoms $({}^{87}Sr \text{ or } {}^{173}Yb)$ with color encoded in nuclear spin



D. Banerjee, M. Bögli, M. Dalmonte, E. Rico, P. Stebler, UJW, P. Zoller, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110 (2013) 125303

(日)、

э



- \* ロ > \* 個 > \* 注 > \* 注 > … 注 … の < 0

### Expansion of a "fireball" mimicking a hot quark-gluon plasma



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 - のへで

# Outline

Quantum Simulation to Address Severe Sign Problems

Wilson's Lattice Gauge Theory versus Quantum Link Models

Atomic Quantum Simulator for U(1) Gauge Theory Coupled to Fermionic Matter

Atomic Quantum Simulator for U(N) and SU(N) Non-Abelian Gauge Theories

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

#### Conclusions

### Conclusions

• If quantum link models can be implemented with ultra-cold atoms, such systems can be used as quantum simulators for dynamical Abelian and non-Abelian gauge theories, which can be validated in efficient classical cluster algorithm simulations, at least in the Abelian case.

• Quantum simulator constructions have already been presented for the U(1) quantum link model as well as for U(N) and SU(N) quantum link models with fermionic matter.

• This would allow the quantum simulation of the real-time evolution of string breaking as well as the quantum simulation of "nuclear" physics and dense "quark" matter, at least in qualitative toy models for QCD.

• Accessible effects may include chiral symmetry restoration, baryon superfluidity, or color superconductivity at high baryon density, as well as the quantum simulation of "nuclear" collisions.

• The path towards quantum simulation of QCD will be a long one. However, with a lot of interesting physics along the way.

• INT workshop on Quantum Simulation from March 23 to May 8, 2015, organized in collaboration with Peter Zoller and other atomic physicists.

Digital quantum simulation of Kitaev's toric code (a  $\mathbb{Z}(2)$  lattice gauge theory) with trapped ions



• Precisely controllable many-body quantum device, which can execute a prescribed sequence of quantum gate operations.

- State of simulated system encoded as quantum information.
- Dynamics is represented by a sequence of quantum gates, following a stroboscopic Trotter decomposition.

A. Y. Kitaev, Ann. Phys. 303 (2003) 2.

B. P. Lanyon, C. Hempel, D. Nigg, M. Müller, R. Gerritsma, F. Zähringer, P. Schindler, J. T. Barreiro, M. Rambach, G. Kirchmair, M. Hennrich, P. Zoller, R. Blatt, C. F. Roos, Science 334 (2011) 6052.

# Quantum spin liquids (U(1) gauge theories) to be simulated with Rydberg atoms in an optical lattice



- Lasers can excite atoms to high-lying Rydberg states.
- Rydberg atoms are large and have collective interactions.
- Ensemble Rydberg atoms represent qubits at link centers.
- Control atoms at lattice sites ensure the Gauss' law.
- M. Müller, I. Lesanovsky, H. Weimer, H. P. Büchler, P. Zoller, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102 (2009) 170502.
- H. Weimer, M. Müller, I. Lesanovsky, P. Zoller, H. P. Büchler, Nat. Phys. 6 (2010) 382.
- L. Tagliacozzo, A. Celi, P. Orland, M. Lewenstein, Nature Communications 4 (2013) 2615.
- L. Tagliacozzo, A. Celi, A. Zamora, M. Lewenstein, Ann. Phys. 330 (2013) 160.

### Analog quantum simulators

- Time evolution proceeds continuously, not using discrete quantum gates.
- Limited to simpler interactions, but more easily scalable.

Proposals for analog quantum simulators for Abelian and non-Abelian gauge theories with and without matter

H. P. Büchler, M. Hermele, S. D. Huber, M. P. A. Fisher, P. Zoller, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95 (2005) 040402.

- E. Kapit, E. Mueller, Phys. Rev. A83 (2011) 033625.
- E. Zohar, B. Reznik, Phys. Rev. Lett. 107 (2011) 275301.
- E. Zohar, J. Cirac, B. Reznik, Phys. Rev. Lett. 109 (2012) 125302.
- E. Zohar, J. Cirac, B. Reznik, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110 (2013) 055302.
- E. Zohar, J. Cirac, B. Reznik, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110 (2013) 125304.
- E. Zohar, J. Cirac, B. Reznik, Phys. Rev. A 88 (2013) 023617.
- D. Banerjee, M. Dalmote, M. Müller, E. Rico, P. Stebler, UJW,
- P. Zoller, Phys. Rev. Lett. 109 (2012) 175302.
- D. Banerjee, M. Bögli, M. Dalmote, E. Rico, P. Stebler, UJW,
- P. Zoller, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110 (2013) 125303.
- UJW, Annalen der Physik 525 (2013) 777, arXiv:1305.1602.

#### Confinement versus Deconfinement



∃ 990

Schematic illustration of string breaking in real time in the 1-d S = 1 U(1) quantum link model



$$x \stackrel{R_{x-\hat{\mu},\mu}}{-\hat{\mu}} \qquad \begin{array}{c} L_{x,\mu} \\ x \xrightarrow{} x \xrightarrow{} x + \hat{\mu} \end{array}$$

Generator of SU(N) gauge transformations

$$G^a_{\mathrm{x}} = \sum_{\mu} (R^a_{\mathrm{x}-\hat{\mu},\mu} + L^a_{\mathrm{x},\mu})$$

U(N)-invariant Hamiltonian "action" operator

$$H = -J \sum_{x,\mu < \nu} \operatorname{Tr}(U_{x,\mu}U_{x+\hat{\mu},\nu}U_{x+\hat{\nu},\mu}^{\dagger}U_{x,\nu}^{\dagger} + \text{h.c.}), \ [H, G_{x}^{a}] = 0$$

Functional integral of a quantum link model

$$Z = \operatorname{Tr} \exp(-\beta H)$$

・ロト・日本・モート モー うへで

defines a quantum field theory using discrete variables

Low-energy effective action of a quantum link model

$$S[G_{\mu}] = \int_{0}^{\beta} dx_{5} \int d^{4}x \, \frac{1}{2e^{2}} \left( \operatorname{Tr} \ G_{\mu\nu} G_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{c^{2}} \operatorname{Tr} \ \partial_{5} G_{\mu} \partial_{5} G_{\mu} \right), \ G_{5} = 0$$

undergoes dimensional reduction from 4+1 to 4 dimensions

$$S[G_{\mu}] \rightarrow \int d^{4}x \ \frac{1}{2g^{2}} \operatorname{Tr} \ G_{\mu\nu} G_{\mu\nu}, \ \frac{1}{g^{2}} = \frac{\beta}{e^{2}}, \ \frac{1}{m} \sim \exp\left(\frac{24\pi^{2}\beta}{11Ne^{2}}\right)$$