Complex Langevin for chiral Random Matrix Theory at nonzero chemical potential

Kim Splittorff Niels Bohr Institute / DFF - Sapere Aude

GSI, Germany, Feb 20 2014

What Complex Langevin and the Dirac spectrum

$$(iD_\eta \gamma_\eta + \mu \gamma_0)\psi_j = z_j\psi_j$$

Why Understand complex Langevin with fermionic sign problem

... in a case with the $\mu=m_\pi/2$ barrier

... and where exact analytic results are known

How Analytically and explicit simulations

Find To take-home

Special requirement for Dirac eigenvalues in complex Langevin

Perfect convergence even for $\mu > m_{\pi}/2$

First a reminder: statistical physics $@ \mu = 0$

 $\langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle(m)$ from the Dirac eigenvalues

Eigenvalue equation

 $\mu = 0$

$$(iD_{\eta}\gamma_{\eta})\psi_j = i\lambda_j\psi_j$$

$$\langle \bar{\psi}\psi\rangle(m) = \langle \sum_{j} \frac{2m}{\lambda_{j}^{2} + m^{2}} \rangle$$

 $\langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle(m)$ from the Dirac eigenvalues

Eigenvalue equation

 $\mu = 0$

$$(iD_{\eta}\gamma_{\eta})\psi_j = i\lambda_j\psi_j$$

$$\langle \bar{\psi}\psi\rangle(m) = \langle \sum_j \frac{2m}{\lambda_j^2 + m^2} \rangle$$

Contributions add up statistically



Now: non-statistical physics $\mu \neq 0$

Eigenvalue equation @ $\mu \neq 0$

$$(iD_{\eta}\gamma_{\eta} + \mu\gamma_0)\psi_j = z_j\psi_j$$

Electrostatic analogy suggests



CL for chRMT - p. 7/40

Quark mass hits the strip of the eigenvalues at $\mu=m_\pi/2$

\Leftrightarrow

$$\det(iD_{\eta}\gamma_{\eta} + \mu\gamma_0 + m)^* = \det(iD_{\eta}\gamma_{\eta} - \mu\gamma_0 + m)$$

The phase quenched theory



$\mu \neq 0$ The silver blaze problem



Eigenvalues move into the complex plane the discontinuity of the chiral condensate remains

Barbour et al. NPB 275 (1986) 296 Gibbs PLB 182 (1986) 369

Cohen PRL 91 (2003) 222001

The unquenched eigenvalue density $m\langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle V = 100$ increasing $2\mu^2 F_{\pi}^2 V$



For $\mu > m_{\pi}/2$ the density is complex and oscillates

Osborn PRL 93 (2004) 222001

Akemann Osborn Splittorff Verbaarschot NPB 712 (2005) 287_

CL for chRMT – p. 10/40

Definition of the eigenvalue density

Eigenvalue equation

$$(iD_{\eta}\gamma_{\eta} + \mu\gamma_0)\psi_j = z_j\psi_j$$

Eigenvalue density

$$\rho^{N_f}(z, z^*, m; \mu) \equiv \left\langle \sum_j \delta^2(z - z_j) \right\rangle_{\text{QCD}}$$

Definition of the eigenvalue density

Eigenvalue equation

$$(iD_\eta \gamma_\eta + \mu \gamma_0)\psi_j = z_j\psi_j$$

Eigenvalue density

$$\rho^{N_f}(z, z^*, m; \mu) \equiv \left\langle \sum_j \delta^2(z - z_j) \right\rangle_{\text{QCD}}$$

$$\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle_{\rm QCD} \equiv \frac{\int dA \ \mathcal{O} \det(iD_{\eta}\gamma_{\eta} + \mu\gamma_0 + m)^{N_f} \ \mathbf{e}^{-S_{\rm YM}(A)}}{\int dA \det(iD_{\eta}\gamma_{\eta} + \mu\gamma_0 + m_f)^{N_f} \ \mathbf{e}^{-S_{\rm YM}(A)}}$$

The chiral condensate from the eigenvalue density

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \bar{\psi}\psi\rangle(m) &= \frac{1}{V}\partial_m \log Z(m;\mu) \\ &= \frac{1}{V}\int d^2z \,\rho(z) \frac{2m}{-z^2 + m^2} \end{aligned}$$

The oscillations of the density are responsible for chiral symmetry breaking

Osborn Splittorff Verbaarschot PRL 94 (2005) 202001

CL for chRMT – p. 12/40

Q: Can complex Langevin do this?

Q: Can complex Langevin do this?

A: No

Complex Langevin - basics

Previous talk by Denes Sexty

 $S(\mathbf{x})$ complex valued on the real fields $\mathbf{x} = (x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(N)})$ Complexify all fields $x^{(k)} \to x^{(k)} + iy^{(k)}$ Drift

$$\begin{aligned} x_{t+1}^{(k)} &= x_t^{(k)} - \operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{dS}{dx^{(k)}}\right]_{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{x_t}+\mathbf{iy_t}} dt + \eta\sqrt{dt} \\ y_{t+1}^{(k)} &= y_t^{(k)} - \operatorname{Im}\left[\frac{dS}{dx^{(k)}}\right]_{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{x_t}+\mathbf{iy_t}} dt \end{aligned}$$

 $t = 0, \ldots, T$ is the Langevin time

Expectation values

$$\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=0}^{T} \mathcal{O}(x_t^{(k)} + iy_t^{(k)})$$

Parisi PLB 131, 393 (1983)

Klauder Acta Phys. Austriaca 25, 251 (1983)

Complex Langevin - Dirac spectrum

Eigenvalue equation

$$(iD_\eta\gamma_\eta+\mu\gamma_0)\psi_j=z_j\psi_j$$

$$\langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle_{CL}(m) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t} \sum_{j} \frac{2m}{-(z_j^{(t)})^2 + m^2}$$

Complex Langevin - Dirac spectrum

Eigenvalue equation

$$(iD_{\eta}\gamma_{\eta} + \mu\gamma_0)\psi_j = z_j\psi_j$$

$$\langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle_{CL}(m) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t} \sum_{j} \frac{2m}{-(z_j^{(t)})^2 + m^2}$$

Equivalently

$$\langle \bar{\psi}\psi\rangle_{CL}(m) = \int d^2z \frac{2m\rho_{N_f}^{(CL)}(z,m;\mu)}{-z^2 + m^2}$$

$$\rho_{N_f}^{(CL)}(z,m;\mu) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_t \sum_j \delta^{(2)}(z-z_j^{(t)})$$

Complex Langevin - Dirac spectrum

Eigenvalue equation

$$(iD_\eta\gamma_\eta+\mu\gamma_0)\psi_j=z_j\psi_j$$

$$\langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle_{CL}(m) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t} \sum_{j} \frac{2m}{-(z_j^{(t)})^2 + m^2}$$

Equivalently

$$\langle \bar{\psi}\psi\rangle_{CL}(m) = \int d^2z \frac{2m\rho_{N_f}^{(CL)}(z,m;\mu)}{-z^2 + m^2}$$

$$\rho_{N_f}^{(CL)}(z,m;\mu) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t} \sum_{j} \delta^{(2)}(z-z_j^{(t)})$$

Key Point: $\rho_{N_f}^{(CL)}(z,m;\mu)$ always real and positive

CL for chRMT - p. 15/40

Q: Can complex Langevin do this?



For $\mu > m_{\pi}/2$ the density is complex and oscillates

Osborn PRL 93 (2004) 222001

Akemann Osborn Splittorff Verbaarschot NPB 712 (2005) 287

CL for chRMT – p. 16/40

A: No



The eigenvalue density from complex Langevin is real and positive

Splittorff, ... to appear

CL for chRMT - p. 17/40

Q: So complex Langevin fails for $\mu > m_\pi/2$?

Q: So complex Langevin fails for $\mu > m_\pi/2$?

A: No, not necessarily!

Q: So complex Langevin fails for $\mu > m_{\pi}/2$?

A: No, not if the Dirac spectrum flows inside the quark mass!

Illustration: Quark mass & the eigenvalue distribution

Initially in the flow

After sufficient Langevin time



Q: But what about

Quark mass hits the strip of the eigenvalues at $\mu=m_\pi/2$

 \Leftrightarrow

 $\det(iD_{\eta}\gamma_{\eta} + \mu\gamma_0 + m)^* = \det(iD_{\eta}\gamma_{\eta} - \mu\gamma_0 + m)$

Q: But what about

Quark mass hits the strip of the eigenvalues at $\mu=m_\pi/2$

\Leftrightarrow

$$\det(iD_{\eta}\gamma_{\eta} + \mu\gamma_0 + m)^* = \det(iD_{\eta}\gamma_{\eta} - \mu\gamma_0 + m)$$

A:
$$\det(iD_{\eta}\gamma_{\eta} + \mu\gamma_{0} + m)^{*} \neq \det(iD_{\eta}\gamma_{\eta} - \mu\gamma_{0} + m)$$

Requirement for Dirac eigenvalues in complex Langevin

In the chiral limit:

 $\sim V$ eigenvalues must end up on the imaginary axis close to the origin in order to make $\langle \bar\psi\psi\rangle\neq 0$

Test this in Random matrix Theory

$$Z = \int_{\mathbb{C}^{n \times n}} d\Phi_1 d\Phi_2 \ e^{-n \operatorname{Tr} \Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1 - n \operatorname{Tr} \Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2} \\ \times \det^{N_f} \begin{pmatrix} m & e^{\mu} \Phi_1 - e^{-\mu} \Phi_2^{\dagger} \\ -e^{-\mu} \Phi_1^{\dagger} + e^{\mu} \Phi_2 & m \end{pmatrix}$$

Same flavor symmetries and explicit flavor symmetry breaking as QCD Same Hermiticity properties as QCD

$$Z = \int_{\mathbb{C}^{n \times n}} d\Phi_1 d\Phi_2 \ e^{-n \operatorname{Tr} \Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1 - n \operatorname{Tr} \Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2} \\ \times \det^{N_f} \begin{pmatrix} m & e^{\mu} \Phi_1 - e^{-\mu} \Phi_2^{\dagger} \\ -e^{-\mu} \Phi_1^{\dagger} + e^{\mu} \Phi_2 & m \end{pmatrix}$$

Same flavor symmetries and explicit flavor symmetry breaking as QCD Same Hermiticity properties as QCD Same microscopic low energy theory (Chiral Perturbation Theory)

$$Z = \int_{\mathbb{C}^{n \times n}} d\Phi_1 d\Phi_2 \ e^{-n \operatorname{Tr} \Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1 - n \operatorname{Tr} \Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2} \\ \times \det^{N_f} \begin{pmatrix} m & e^{\mu} \Phi_1 - e^{-\mu} \Phi_2^{\dagger} \\ -e^{-\mu} \Phi_1^{\dagger} + e^{\mu} \Phi_2 & m \end{pmatrix}$$

Same flavor symmetries and explicit flavor symmetry breaking as QCD Same Hermiticity properties as QCD Same microscopic low energy theory (Chiral Perturbation Theory) Same $\langle \exp(i\theta) \rangle$

> Shuryak and Verbaarschot NPA 560, 306 (1993) Stephanov PRL 76, 4472 (1996) Osborn PRL 93, 222001 (2004) Bloch Bruckmann Kieburg Splittorff Verbaarschot PRD 87, 034510 (2013) Osborn Splittorff Verbaarschot PRD 78, 065029 (2008)

> > G. Akemann, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 22, 1077 (2007) CL for chRMT – p. 24/40

$$Z = \int_{\mathbb{C}^{n \times n}} d\Phi_1 d\Phi_2 \ e^{-n \operatorname{Tr} \Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1 - n \operatorname{Tr} \Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2} \\ \times \det^{N_f} \begin{pmatrix} m & e^{\mu} \Phi_1 - e^{-\mu} \Phi_2^{\dagger} \\ -e^{-\mu} \Phi_1^{\dagger} + e^{\mu} \Phi_2 & m \end{pmatrix}$$

Advantage - we know the analytic solution for any n

Shuryak and Verbaarschot NPA 560, 306 (1993) Stephanov PRL 76, 4472 (1996) Osborn PRL 93, 222001 (2004) Bloch Bruckmann Kieburg Splittorff Verbaarschot PRD 87, 034510 (2013) Osborn Splittorff Verbaarschot PRD 78, 065029 (2008)

G. Akemann, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 22, 1077 (2007).

CL for chRMT – p. 25/40

$$N_f = 2$$



Splittorff, ... to appear

CL for chRMT - p. 26/40

$$N_f = 2$$

Phase quenched



Splittorff, ... to appear

CL for chRMT - p. 27/40

$$N_f=1,2$$
 and 3 with $\mu=2$



Splittorff, ... to appear

CL for chRMT - p. 28/40

Q: So the eigenvalues flow inside the quark mass?

A: Yes!

Simulation CL of chRMT: Quark mass & the eigenvalue distribution

Initially in the flow

After sufficient Langevin time



But wait ... how about the log?

With a complex fermion determinant

Should we use the multivalued \log

$$S = S_g - \log\left(\det D\right)$$

or the principal part of the log

$$S = S_g - \operatorname{Log}\left(\det D\right)$$

In both cases

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}U \, e^{-S} = \int \mathcal{D}U \, \det(D) \, e^{-S_g}$$

The Langevin force

$$-\frac{dS(x)}{dx}|_{x \to x + iy}$$

For the \log we have

$$d_x \log(\det(D(x))) = \frac{\det'(D(x))}{\det(D(x))}$$

but for the principal part we have

$$d_x \operatorname{Log}(\det(D(x))) = \frac{\det'(D(x))}{\det(D(x))}$$

only if $det(D(x)) \in \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}_-$

The Langevin force

$$-\frac{dS(x)}{dx}|_{x \to x+iy}$$

For the \log we have

$$d_x \log(\det(D(x))) = \frac{\det'(D(x))}{\det(D(x))}$$

but for the principal part we have

$$d_x \operatorname{Log}(\det(D(x))) = \frac{\det'(D(x))}{\det(D(x))}$$

only if $det(D(x)) \in \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}_-$

The drift is affected by our choice of log if the determinant circles the origin!

Observation: Failure of complex Langevin correlated with cut of the log

Criterion: *measurements can only be trusted if the flow of the determinant does not frequently trace out a path surrounding the origin*

Møllgaard, Splittorff PRD 88, 116007 (2013)

Next talk by Erhard Seiler

Q: Can complex Langevin flow the eigenvalues inside the quark mass without the determinant circles the origin?

Illustration: Quark mass & the eigenvalue distribution

Initially in the flow

After sufficient Langevin time



Q: Can complex Langevin flow the eigenvalues inside the quark mass without the determinant circles the origin?

A1 (observation): yes, in the RMT simulation with sufficiently small dt

A2 (partial): complex Langevin stays close to Lefschetz thimbles and on these the phase of the fermion determinant is constant

Aarts, PRD 88, 094501 (2013) + private communications

Splittorff, ... to appear

CL for chRMT - p. 37/40

$$N_f=2$$
 with $\mu=2$



Splittorff, ... to appear

CL for chRMT - p. 38/40

Conclusion

Complex Langevin and the Dirac spectrum

New requirement for Dirac eigenvalues in complex Langevin

Tested in chiral Random Matrix Theory

Successful simulation even for $\mu > m_{\pi}/2$

Thanks to

Anders Møllgaard Jac Verbaarschot Gert Aarts Nucu Stamatescu Erhard Seiler Denes Sexty Philippe de Forcrand Francesco Di Renzo Luigi Scorzato