

20,000 mallocs → 0

Under the Sea

Practical C++ PMR

C++ User Meeting @GSI/FAIR
Ralph J. Steinhagen, 2026-03-04
R.Steinhagen@GSI.de



Every "new" Has a Cost

A metadata Tag attached to every sample:

- `index` (`std::size_t`)
- `map` (`pmr::unordered_map<string, gr::pmt::Value>`)
 - `key0` (`pmr::string`), `values0`
(scalars, strings, `pmr::vector<pmr::string>`, ...)
 - `key1` (`pmr::string`), `values1`
 - ...

Created and destroyed millions of times.

Each construction = multiple heap allocations.

```
using namespace std; // just for slides
using property_map =
    pmr::unordered_map<pmr::string, Value, ...>;
```

```
struct Tag {
    std::size_t index{0UZ};
    property_map map{};
};
```

```
// in a tight loop:
for (auto& sample : stream) {
    Tag t{index, {{key, value}, ...}};
    buffer.push(t); // 3+ mallocs per Tag!
}
```



Every "new" Has a Cost

A metadata Tag attached to every sample:

- `index` (`std::size_t`)
- `map` (`pmr::unordered_map<string, gr::pmt::Value>`)
 - `key0` (`pmr::string`), `values0`
(scalars, strings, `pmr::vector<pmr::string>`, ...)
 - `key1` (`pmr::string`), `values1`
 - ...

Created and destroyed millions of times.

Each construction = multiple heap allocations.

```
using namespace std; // just for slides
using property_map =
    pmr::unordered_map<pmr::string, Value, ...>;
```

```
struct Tag {
    std::size_t index{0UZ};
    property_map map{};
};
```

```
// in a tight loop:
for (auto& sample : stream) {
    Tag t{index, {{key, value}, ...}};
    buffer.push(t); // 3+ mallocs per Tag!
}
```


10,000 Tags × 2 allocs = 20,000 calls to malloc
— can we do better?



Memory Fragmentation

the silent performance killer

Fragmented Heap (after hours of alloc/free)




- X Holes between live objects
can't satisfy large requests
- X TLB pressure, cache misses, page faults
- X Non-deterministic latency
— poison for real-time



Memory Fragmentation


the silent performance killer

Fragmented Heap (after hours of alloc/free)



- ✗ Holes between live objects
can't satisfy large requests
- ✗ TLB pressure, cache misses, page faults
- ✗ Non-deterministic latency
— **poison for real-time**

Pool / Arena (contiguous, reusable)



- ✓ Fixed-size chunks
— no external fragmentation
- ✓ Contiguous → cache-friendly,
prefetch-friendly
- ✓ Deterministic: same cost every time

Memory Fragmentation


the silent performance killer

Fragmented Heap (after hours of alloc/free)



- ✗ Holes between live objects
can't satisfy large requests
- ✗ TLB pressure, cache misses, page faults
- ✗ Non-deterministic latency
— **poison for real-time**

Pool / Arena (contiguous, reusable)



- ✓ Fixed-size chunks
— no external fragmentation
- ✓ Contiguous → cache-friendly,
prefetch-friendly
- ✓ Deterministic: same cost every time

*24/7 accelerator control systems run for days to months
— the global heap fragments; pools don't.*



Why Custom Allocators?

... why we/you should care



Why Custom Allocators?

... why we/you should care

1. Cache-Line Alignment

- SIMD, lock-free buffers, DMA need 64Byte+ alignment.
- `std::allocator` gives whatever the heap returns.



Why Custom Allocators?

... why we/you should care

1. Cache-Line Alignment

- SIMD, lock-free buffers, DMA need 64Byte+ alignment.
- `std::allocator` gives whatever the heap returns.

2. Allocation Pressure & Latency

- 20,000 `malloc/free` per batch dominate wall-clock time.
- Monotonic bump-pointers eliminate them entirely.



Why Custom Allocators?

... why we/you should care

92

1. Cache-Line Alignment

- SIMD, lock-free buffers, DMA need 64Byte+ alignment.
- `std::allocator` gives whatever the heap returns.

2. Allocation Pressure & Latency

- 20,000 `malloc/free` per batch dominate wall-clock time.
- Monotonic bump-pointers eliminate them entirely.

3. Memory Fragmentation

- Long-running systems fragment the heap.
- Pool resources recycle fixed-size chunks — no fragmentation.

92



Why Custom Allocators?

... why we/you should care

92

1. Cache-Line Alignment

- SIMD, lock-free buffers, DMA need 64Byte+ alignment.
- `std::allocator` gives whatever the heap returns.

2. Allocation Pressure & Latency

- 20,000 `malloc/free` per batch dominate wall-clock time.
- Monotonic bump-pointers eliminate them entirely.

3. Memory Fragmentation

- Long-running systems fragment the heap.
- Pool resources recycle fixed-size chunks — no fragmentation.

4. Heterogeneous Computing (Unified / Device Memory)

- SYCL USM, CUDA unified memory need custom `alloc/free`.
- PMR wraps them behind the same interface.



Why Custom Allocators?

... why we/you should care

92

1. Cache-Line Alignment

- SIMD, lock-free buffers, DMA need 64Byte+ alignment.
- `std::allocator` gives whatever the heap returns.

2. Allocation Pressure & Latency

- 20,000 `malloc/free` per batch dominate wall-clock time.
- Monotonic bump-pointers eliminate them entirely.

3. Memory Fragmentation

- Long-running systems fragment the heap.
- Pool resources recycle fixed-size chunks — no fragmentation.

4. Heterogeneous Computing (Unified / Device Memory)

- SYCL USM, CUDA unified memory need custom `alloc/free`.
- PMR wraps them behind the same interface.



PMR addresses all four.

Cache-Line Alignment

why 64 bytes matters

- Modern CPUs fetch memory in cache lines (typically 64 bytes)
- Misaligned data → false sharing, torn reads, SIMD faults
- **Who needs alignment?**
 - SIMD intrinsics (`_mm256_load_ps` requires 32B alignment)
 - lock-free ring buffers (head/tail on separate cache lines)
 - ⁴² DMA transfers (hardware-mandated alignment)
 - GPU shared memory (coalesced access patterns)
- `std::allocator` guarantees `alignof(std::max_align_t)`
⁹² → typically 16 bytes. Not enough for any of the above.



Aligned<T>

the old way, done right → e.g. [GNU Radio 4 \(GR4\)](#)

```
// gr::allocator::Aligned<T> – from GR4
// 64B alignment → cache-aligned storage
template<typename T, size_t alignment = kCacheLine>
requires(has_single_bit(alignment)
         && alignment >= alignof(T))
struct Aligned {
    using value_type = T;

    T* allocate(size_t n) {
        if (void* p = ::operator new(
            n * sizeof(T), align_val_t{alignment}))
            return static_cast<T*>(p);
        throw std::bad_alloc();
    }

    void deallocate(T* p, size_t) noexcept {
        ::operator delete(p, align_val_t{alignment});
    }
    // + rebind, operator== (see repo)
};
```

Clean, ~20 lines,
production-ready.

GR4 uses this for:

- cache-aligned ring buffers
- SIMD-friendly data vectors
- DMA transfer buffers



Aligned<T> the Problems



Aligned<T> the Problems

```
// template contamination
std::vector<float, gr::Aligned<float>> aligned_data;
std::vector<float> normal_data;
// DIFFERENT TYPES!
// → Can't assign or pass them
// to the same function.

// rebind needed for nested containers:
template<typename U>
struct rebind {
    using other = Aligned<U, alignment>; };

// can't change strategy at runtime:
auto data = make_aligned_vector<float>();
// ← locked to Aligned<float>
// for its entire lifetime
```

1. Template contamination

`std::vector<float, Aligned<float>> ≠ std::vector<float>`

2. rebind boilerplate still needed

3. Can't swap strategy at runtime



Aligned<T> the Problems

```
// template contamination
std::vector<float, gr::Aligned<float>> aligned_data;
std::vector<float> normal_data;
// DIFFERENT TYPES!
// → Can't assign or pass them
// to the same function.

// rebind needed for nested containers:
template<typename U>
struct rebind {
    using other = Aligned<U, alignment>; };

// can't change strategy at runtime:
auto data = make_aligned_vector<float>();
// ← locked to Aligned<float>
// for its entire lifetime
```

1. Template contamination

`std::vector<float, Aligned<float>> ≠ std::vector<float>`

2. rebind boilerplate still needed

3. Can't swap strategy at runtime

What if the allocator
strategy wasn't part
of the type?



Type Erasure to the Rescue

since C++17 `std::pmr::`

The key insight:

`polymorphic_allocator<T>`

holds a pointer to a
`std::memory_resource`
(abstract base class with virtual
dispatch).

all `pmr::` containers share the
SAME TYPE regardless of which
resource backs them.

old: compile-time policy (template parameter)
new: runtime strategy (virtual dispatch)

```
// Same type, different backends:  
pmr::vector<float> a{&stack_resource};  
pmr::vector<float> b{&pool_resource};  
pmr::vector<float> c{&gpu_resource};
```

```
// all three are pmr::vector<float>!  
// → assignable, passable,  
// & ABI-stable.
```

```
void process(pmr::vector<float>& v);  
// ↑ Accepts ANY of the above.  
// The resource is the strategy,  
// not the type.
```



std::pmr::memory_resource

only 3 virtual methods

```
class memory_resource { // abstract base
protected:
    virtual void* do_allocate(
        size_t bytes, size_t alignment) = 0;

    virtual void do_deallocate(
        void* p, size_t bytes,
        size_t alignment) = 0;

    virtual bool do_is_equal(
        const memory_resource& other)
        const noexcept = 0;
};
```

```
// → custom resource in ~30 lines.
// wrap any backing store:
// stack, pool, mmap,
// SYCL USM, CUDA, shared memory, ...
```

Stable ABI:

Works across plugin/library boundaries.

No template instantiation bloat.

Composable:


Resources can chain:

stack → pool → heap → GPU

NVI pattern:

Public allocate() calls protected do_allocate().

Base class handles bookkeeping.



The PMR Zoo

standard resources (C++17)

`std::pmr::new_delete_resource()`

wraps global new/delete. The default.
what you get if you don't specify a resource.

`std::pmr::monotonic_buffer_resource`

bump-pointer allocator. Never frees individually.
Very fast. Perfect for scoped/batch work.

`std::pmr::unsynchronized_pool_resource`

size-bucketed pools. Recycles freed chunks.
No fragmentation. Single-threaded.

`std::pmr::synchronized_pool_resource`

thread-safe variant of the above.
Slightly more overhead (internal locking).

`std::pmr::null_memory_resource()`

Always throws `std::bad_alloc`.
Budget enforcer & overflow guard.

Also: `get/set_default_resource()` — process-wide default for all `pmr::` containers

std::pmr::monotonic_buffer_resource

the bump pointer

How it works:

1. You give it a buffer (stack or heap)
2. Each `allocate()` bumps a pointer forward
3. Individual `deallocate()` is a no-op
4. `release()` frees everything at once

Cost per allocation:

increment a pointer.

That's it. No free lists, no locking, no metadata.

Perfect when:

- All objects have the same lifetime
- You can estimate max memory needed
- Latency matters more than memory efficiency



`allocate(n):`

- returns `_ptr`
- advances `_ptr` by `n` (plus alignment padding)

`deallocate(p, n):`

- no-op (memory is not reclaimed individually)

`release():`

- resets `_ptr` back to start (all allocations reusable at once)



std::pmr::monotonic_buffer_resource

Zero Heap Allocs: stack buffer + monotonic

```
// 1 KByte on the stack — plenty for a handful of short strings
std::array<std::byte, 1024UZ> buf{};
std::pmr::monotonic_buffer_resource mbr{ buf.data(), buf.size(),
    std::pmr::null_memory_resource() // overflow → exception!
};
```

```
// all allocations come from 'buf' — zero malloc calls
```

```
Tag tag{42UZ, {"BEAM:POSITION:X", 1e4f},
        {"ctx", {"FAIR.ACCELERATOR", "INJECTION", "CYCLE.2024.Q3"}}},
    &mbr};
```

```
// when 'mbr' goes out of scope → all memory reclaimed at once.
```

```
// no individual deallocations. That's the monotonic contract.
```

Monotonic = bump pointer • No per-object free •
null_resource as guard • Perfect for short-lived scoped work



Lifetime Phases

Build → Use → Discard


Many workloads have clear phases:

1. **Build** — allocate temp structures
2. **Use** — process the data
3. **Discard** — free everything at once

In accelerator control:

Each acquisition cycle builds Tags, attaches them to samples, then the cycle ends and all metadata is discarded.

- One arena per cycle, release() at end.
- No individual deletes.
- No fragmentation.



<https://yr00mh.cryring.gsi.de/web/index.html#dashboard=CRYRINGStatus>

Per-Cycle Arena

example


```
// Per-acquisition-cycle arena
pmr::monotonic_buffer_resource cycle_arena{ 8192UZ, new_delete_resource()};

// — build phase —
pmr::vector<Tag> tags{&cycle_arena};
tags.emplace_back("BEAM:POS:X", "mm");
tags.emplace_back("RF:FREQ", "Hz");
// all allocs from cycle_arena – fast!

// — Use phase —
for (auto& tag : tags)
    process(tag);

// — Discard phase —
tags.clear();
cycle_arena.release(); // FREE EVERYTHING, zero fragmentation, ready for next cycle
```

***In GR4: target one arena per acquisition cycle.
10K Tags, zero fragmentation, microsecond teardown.***



<https://compiler-explorer.com/z/qh8nE8rqv>

Fallback Pattern I

guard mode (fail-fast)

```
// Development: strict – catch overflow early
std::array<std::byte, 512UZ> buf{};
std::pmr::monotonic_buffer_resource strict{ buf.data(), buf.size(),
    std::pmr::null_memory_resource() // BOOM if overflow
};

std::pmr::vector<int> v{&strict};
v.assign({1, 2, 3, 4, 5}); // OK – fits in 512 bytes

// v.resize(10000); // → throws std::bad_alloc!
// null_memory_resource says: "you sized your buffer wrong"
```

`std::pmr::null_memory_resource()` as the upstream resource.

If the buffer overflows, you get an immediate exception.
This catches sizing bugs during development and testing.



Think of `std::pmr::null_memory_resource` as a memory budget enforcer

<https://compiler-explorer.com/z/swMhjqGeM>

Fallback Pattern II

graceful overflow

```
// production: graceful – heap as safety net
std::array<std::byte, 512UZ> buf{};
std::pmr::monotonic_buffer_resource safe{ buf.data(), buf.size(),
    std::pmr::new_delete_resource()    // overflow → malloc
};

std::pmr::vector<int> v{&safe};
v.resize(10000); // overflows stack buffer → falls back to heap
// fast path stays on stack. Only unusual payloads hit malloc.
```



42 Fallback Pattern II

graceful overflow

```
// production: graceful – heap as safety net
std::array<std::byte, 512UZ> buf{};
std::pmr::monotonic_buffer_resource safe{ buf.data(), buf.size(),
    std::pmr::new_delete_resource() // overflow → malloc
};


std::pmr::vector<int> v{&safe};
v.resize(10000); // overflows stack buffer → falls back to heap
// fast path stays on stack. Only unusual payloads hit malloc.
```

42 Best of both worlds:

- Normal case: stack buffer handles everything → zero malloc
- Unusual case: graceful overflow to heap → no crash

92
Development: use `std::pmr::null_resource` (catch bugs)

Production: use `std::pmr::new_delete_resource` (never crash)



Measuring Fallback Rate


how often do you hit the slow path?

```
// Use CountingResource as upstream to measure overflow
CountingResource overflow_counter;
std::array<std::byte, 64UZ> tiny{};
std::pmr::monotonic_buffer_resource measured{ tiny.data(), tiny.size(),
    &overflow_counter};

std::pmr::vector<int> v{&measured};
v.resize(1000);

// overflow_counter.allocations tells you
// how many times you hit the heap.
// → If non-zero in typical usage: grow your buffer.
```

***If your fallback counter is non-zero in normal workloads,
your buffer is too small. Measure, then size.***




CountingResource

allocation tracker (~30 lines)

```
struct CountingResource : std::pmr::memory_resource {
    std::pmr::memory_resource* upstream{new_delete_resource()};
    size_t allocations{0}, deallocations{0};
    size_t bytes_allocated{0}, bytes_deallocated{0};

    void* do_allocate(size_t b, size_t a) override {
        ++allocations; bytes_allocated += b;
        return upstream->allocate(b, a);
    }
    void do_deallocate(void* p, size_t b, size_t a) override {
        ++deallocations; bytes_deallocated += b;
        upstream->deallocate(p, b, a);
    }
    bool do_is_equal(const memory_resource& o)
        const noexcept override { return this == &o; }

    bool is_balanced() const { // ← key method
        return allocations == deallocations
            && bytes_allocated == bytes_deallocated;
    }
};
```



CountingResource usage & output

```
CountingResource mr;  
{  
    std::pmr::vector<int> v{&mr};  
    v.assign({1, 2, 3, 4, 5});  
    mr.print("in-scope");  
}  
mr.print("exited");  
assert(mr.is_balanced());
```

Use in unit tests:

- verify zero leaks for every code path
- track allocation counts (regression testing)
- measure bytes consumed per operation

Output:

```
[in-scope] a=2 d=1 bytes=20/0 PENDING  
[exited ] a=2 d=2 bytes=40/40 BALANCED  
assert passed – no leaks
```



When Objects Come and Go

`std::pmr::pool_resource`

`std::pmr::monotonic`

- everything dies together (scoped/batch).
- no individual free. Blazing fast.

`std::pmr::[un]synchronized_pool_resource`

- recycles freed chunks in size-buckets.
- no heap fragmentation over time.
- (optional: thread-safe variant. slightly more overhead)

Key for long-running systems:

- Accelerator control loops run 24/7 for months.
- The global heap fragments; pools don't.



Stacked Resources

pool over monotonic

```
// two-level stacking: powerful pattern!  
std::array<std::byte, 8192UZ> buf{};  
std::pmr::monotonic_buffer_resource mono{ buf.data(), buf.size()};  
std::pmr::unsynchronized_pool_resource pool{&mono};  
//  
// pool handles reuse;  
// monotonic handles pool's bookkeeping.  
  
std::pmr::map<std::pmr::string, double> signals{&pool};  
signals["BEAM:POS:X"] = 3.14;  
signals.erase("BEAM:POS:X");  
signals["BEAM:POS:X"] = 2.71;  
// ↑ reuses freed memory – no heap call!
```

Pool recycles the chunks; monotonic provides the backing store.

When the monotonic buffer goes out of scope, everything is freed.



Benchmarks & Measurements

~ Surfacing for Air ~



10'000 Tag Constructions

<https://compiler-explorer.com/z/7x53W94b7>

20'000


heap allocs
(baseline)

0

heap allocs
(monotonic)

5

heap allocs
(pool)



<https://quick-bench.com/q/5JRxaSw4j8Hk-4f-iGaFiVUTM4Y>

`gr::pmr::migrate<T>`

bridging memory resources

Problem:

Data lives on resource *A*, but you need it on resource *B*.
(e.g., host memory → GPU shared memory)



gr::pmr::migrate<T>

bridging memory resources

Problem:

Data lives on resource A, but you need it on resource B.
(e.g., host memory → GPU shared memory)

Solution:

Allocate on target, move/copy data, free source.
exception-safe. Compile-time dispatch for speed.

Three paths (compile-time):

std::trivially_copyable → std::memcpy (fastest)
float, double, int, ...

std::nothrow_move → std::uninitialized_move_n
std::string, Tag, ...

throwing copy → copy + rollback (strong guarantee)



gr::pmr::migrate<T>

implementation (from GR4)

```
template<class T>
T* migrate(memory_resource& target, memory_resource& source,
           T* ptr, size_t count) {
    if (&target == &source) return ptr;           // no-op
    T* dest = static_cast<T*>(
        target.allocate(count*sizeof(T), alignof(T)));
    try {
        if constexpr (is_trivially_copyable_v<T>)
            memcpy(dest, ptr, count * sizeof(T)); // fast
        else if constexpr (is_nothrow_move_constructible_v<T>)
            uninitialized_move_n(ptr, count, dest); // move
        else
            for (size_t i=0; i<count; ++i)
                construct_at(dest + i, ptr[i]); // safe
    } catch (...) {
        destroy_n(dest, constructed);
        target.deallocate(dest, ...); throw; // rollback
    }
    destroy_n(ptr, count);
    source.deallocate(ptr, ...);
    return dest; // done
}
```



gr::pmr::migrate<T> implementation (from GR4)

```
CountingResource host_mr, device_mr;
constexpr size_t N = 1000;

// allocate on host
auto* host_ptr = static_cast<double*>(
    host_mr.allocate(N * sizeof(double)));
for (size_t i = 0; i < N; ++i) host_ptr[i] = double(i);

// Migrate to "device" (in production: SYCL USM resource)
auto* device_ptr = gr::allocator::pmr::migrate<double>(
    device_mr, host_mr, host_ptr, N);

// host_mr is balanced (source freed), device_mr owns data
assert(host_mr.is_balanced());
assert(device_ptr[42] == 42.0);
```

In production: host_mr & device_mr are backed by SYCL/CUDA resources.



STL Allocator vs. PMR

... how to choose.

STL Allocator (e.g. `Aligned<T>`)

Compile-time policy

- ✓ zero virtual dispatch overhead
- ✓ guarantees alignment at type level
- ✓ inlineable — visible to optimiser
- ✗ infects every container type
- ✗ can't change strategy at runtime
- ✗ rebind boilerplate


GR4: cache-aligned buffers/SIMD

PMR (`polymorphic_allocator`)

Runtime strategy

- ✓ one type for all backends
- ✓ swap host/device at runtime
- ✓ composable (stack resources)
- ✗ virtual dispatch per alloc (~1-3ns)
- ✗ alignment not encoded in type

GR4 use: `CircularBuffer`, Tag metadata



PMR Wins When...

- Many small allocations in hot paths
 - (Tags, messages, temp buffers)
- Clear lifetime phases: build → use → discard
 - (acquisition cycles, request handling, batch processing)
- API stability matters
 - (plugin boundaries, shared libraries, ABI compatibility)
- Memory accounting is needed
 - (CountingResource, budget enforcement, leak detection)
- Multiple memory backends
 - (host, GPU, NUMA, shared memory — same container type)
- Long-running systems where fragmentation matters
 - (accelerator control, embedded systems, servers)



Device Memory & Beyond

~ Diving Deeper ~



Unified Memory — Host ↔ Device

SYCL USM as a memory_resource

```
struct usm_shared_resource : public std::pmr::memory_resource {
    sycl::queue& _q;

    void* do_allocate(size_t bytes, size_t align) override {
        return sycl::aligned_alloc_shared( align, bytes, _q);
    }


    void do_deallocate(void* p, size_t, size_t) override { sycl::free(p, _q);}
    // do_is_equal: compare queue contexts
};
```

Wrap vendor API as
memory_resource:

SYCL USM: sycl::malloc_shared / free
CUDA: cudaMallocManaged / cudaFree
OpenCL: clSVMAlloc / clSVMFree

```
// migrate host → device in one call:
auto* dev = gr::pmr::migrate<float>(
    usm_resource, host_resource,
    host_ptr, N);
```

```
// or using USM directly
```



std::pmr::vector<float> doesn't know whether it lives on CPU (host) or GPU (device).

NUMA & Huge Pages

same interface, different backing

```
// huge-page resource (Linux)
struct huge_page_resource
    : public std::pmr::memory_resource {

    void* do_allocate(size_t bytes, size_t) override {
        // 2 MiB
        size_t sz = round_up(bytes, 1UL << 21);
        void* p = mmap(nullptr, sz,
            PROT_READ | PROT_WRITE,
            MAP_PRIVATE | MAP_ANONYMOUS
            | MAP_HUGETLB, -1, 0);

        if (p == MAP_FAILED) throw bad_alloc();
        return p;
    }
    void do_deallocate(void* p, size_t bytes, size_t)
        override {
        munmap(p, round_up(bytes, 1UL << 21));
    }
};

// Usage: same containers, different backing
std::pmr::vector<float> buf{&huge_resource};
```

The pattern extends to:

huge pages (2 MiB / 1 GiB)
fewer TLB misses for large buffers.

NUMA-local allocation

pin to socket running the thread.
mbind() behind do_allocate.

Shared memory (IPC)

mmap a shared fd. Multiple processes
use the same pmr:: containers.

**GR4: Double-mmap-ed
CircularBuffer<T>**



containers don't change -- only backing resources

What to Remember

1 Alignment → `Aligned<T>` or aligned PMR resource

Cache-line alignment for SIMD, lock-free buffers, DMA.

2 Latency → `monotonic_buffer_resource`

Bump-pointer: 20,000 → 0 heap allocs. Stack buffer + `null_resource` as guard.

3 Fragmentation → `pool_resource`

Long-running systems. Pool recycles fixed-size chunks — deterministic, zero fragmentation.

4 Device memory → `custom_memory_resource`

Wrap SYCL USM / CUDA unified. `pmr::migrate<T>()` moves data host ↔ device.



measure - measure - measure - avoid PMO (premature optimisation)!

Summary

What to Remember


- 1 PMR = practical custom allocators**

Type erasure via `memory_resource`. All `pmr::` containers share one type.
No more template contamination.
- 2 `monotonic_buffer_resource` first**

Your go-to for anything short-lived. Stack buffer + `std::pmr::null_resource` as guard.
(20,000 → 0 heap allocs)
- 3 Build your own for exotic backends**

Only 3 virtual methods. Wrap SYCL USM, CUDA managed memory, `mmap` — the sky is the limit.
- 4 Test with `CountingResource` + `is_balanced()`**


Verify zero leaks. Use `pmr::migrate<T>()` to move data between resources with strong exception guarantee.



Summary

What to Remember

- 1 PMR = practical custom allocators**
Type erasure via `memory_resource`. All `pmr::` containers share one type.
No more template contamination.
- 2 `monotonic_buffer_resource` first**
Your go-to for anything short-lived. Stack buffer + `std::pmr::null_resource` as guard.
(20,000 → 0 heap allocs)
- 3 Build your own for exotic backends**
Only 3 virtual methods. Wrap SYCL USM, CUDA managed memory, `mmap` — the sky is the limit.
- 4 Test with `CountingResource` + `is_balanced()`**
Verify zero leaks. Use `pmr::migrate<T>()` to move data between resources with strong exception guarantee.



measure - measure - measure - avoid PMO (premature optimisation)!

That's all - Questions?

