


A detailed wireframe model of a particle accelerator. It features a large, oval-shaped ring structure in the foreground, composed of many segments. In the background, there are more complex structures, including smaller rings and a large, rectangular building-like structure.

Dual Isotope Beams from SIS18



D. Ondreka, SYS
Machine Experiments 2025
01.12.2025

- Motivation
- Dual isotope cycle
 - Idea and requirements
 - Implementation
 - Experiment
- Summary and outlook

Dual Isotope Beam: *“Acceleration and extraction of two ion species with different A/Q in the same synchrotron cycle”*

Why dual isotope beams?



- Mixed beams for range-monitoring in ion therapy
 - Carbon for treatment, helium for range detection
 - $C^{6+}/^3He^{2+}$ one way to provide contamination free beam
- Further possible applications
 - Plasma physics: heating and probing with two species
 - Fragment separator: small fraction of ‘reference ion’
 - Precise energy calibration of primary beam
- Interesting accelerator physics challenge




Courtesy L. Volz

Dual Isotope Cycle: Idea




- Different ions can be accelerated in same field
 - Differ only in energy and revolution frequencies
 - Two independent cavities would in principle suffice
- Basic expectations about mutual influence
 - No net voltage of 'foreign' RF if harmonics don't cross
 - Interactions between ions negligible for low intensities
- Facility satisfies all prerequisites
 - UNILAC can provide two ion species at a time
 - SIS18 cavities can run independently without feedback
 - Just need to program the correct set values!
- Challenges for the control system
 - **Cycle with two injections of two different ions**
 - **RF set values for two ion species in single cycle**



Double MTI




- Multi-turn injection (MTI) from UNILAC
 - Accumulation of beam in hor. phase space
 - Four bumpers affecting dynamic bump
- Two beams nested in phase space
 - Smaller bump for second injection needed**
- Further optimizations possible
 - Dispersive separation: inner and outer orbit
 - Electron cooling to merge beams in phase space



Extension of RF Model


- Generic hierarchy for circular accelerators
 - Central role of magnetic rigidity BRHO
 - Derived from beam and cycle parameters
 - Hierarchy separated into magnet and RF parts
 - Magnets depend on BRHO and tune, chroma, ...
 - RF depends on BRHO and bucket size, harmonic, ...
 - No interdependencies below BRHO
- SIS18: extension for dual isotope beams
 - Add input parameters for second ion
 - Modify BRHO calculation for second injection
 - Use first ion as reference for BRHO
 - Add copy of RF hierarchy for second ion
 - Calculate RF parameters once for each ion
 - Sent cavity set values to selected cavity per ion
- Extension almost transparent
 - Need only switch of RF synchronization manually



Cycle: Structure


- Technically composed of several sub-cycles
 - Necessary for injections of two ion species
 - Causes artifacts in current measurements

Beam Parameters		
Ion	$^{56}\text{Fe}^{25+}$	$^{209}\text{Bi}^{68+}$
E (Inj. 1) [Mev/u]	11.36	–
E (Inj. 2) [Mev/u]	20.90	11.14
E (Extr.) [Mev/u]	673.4	400.0



Cycle: RF


- One MA cavity for each ion species, operated at $h=2$
- Cavity for first ion operated as usual (synchronized with master DDS)
- Cavity for second ion disconnected from master DDS (unsynchronized)




Experiment: Results

- Simultaneous acceleration successful
 - About $1 - 2 \cdot 10^7$ particles per species
 - Transmission estimated to around 70%
- How to demonstrate there are two beams?
 - Dispersive separation with RF frequency shifts
 - Fe and Bi moved to inner and outer orbit
 - Fast extraction using kicker magnets
 - Observation of beam spots on screen


Current on DCT during cycle



Beam spots on screen at magnetic septum




Frequency offsets for Fe (left) and Bi (right)




Experiment: Challenges

- Strong influence of second RF observed
 - Initially large losses
- Mitigation measures
 - Reduced ramping speed
 - Smaller horizontal beam size
 - Short injection pulses (Fe: 20 μ s, Bi: 5 μ s)
 - Leads to small intensities
- Performance difficult to quantify
 - Measurements with DCT have artifacts
 - Spurious current drop and zero line offset
 - Caused by sub-cycle structure
 - Need to repeat measurements

Effect of RF for Fe on Bi (no Fe injected)




Artifacts in current measurement



- Simultaneous acceleration of Fe and Bi in SIS18 demonstrated
 - Manageable effort due to flexibility in RF system and machine model
 - Significant influence of 'foreign' RF observed
 - Mitigated by decreasing horizontal emittance at expense of intensity

- Next steps
 - Repetition of experiment with improved instrumentation
 - Systematic measurements for clarifying and quantifying loss mechanisms
 - Elimination of DCT artifacts, frequency selective measurements
 - Application of electron cooling to minimize emittance
 - Simulation of process and benchmarking with experiment
 - Influence of 'foreign' cavity and loss mechanisms
 - Non-trivial, because standard tools not adapted to this use-case

Thanks for your attention!



Special thanks go to Holger Liebermann, who implemented the RF model extensions, and the ring RF group for their support!