

# Fast simulation tools for Raman amplification

John Farmer Alexander Pukhov

Heinrich Heine University

September 30, 2013

Raman amplification in plasma is a possible source of ultra-short, ultra-intense laser pulses.

Multidimensional effects can play a significant role.

BUT conventional simulation techniques are computationally intensive.

Develop a fast, multidimensional simulation model for Raman.



# Abstract





★ 문 ► ★ 문 ►

P



2 Raman amplification

#### 3 Simulation model







< ∃ > < ∃ >



- 2 Raman amplification
- 3 Simulation model







< ∃ > < ∃ >

Ultra-intense laser pulses have many interesting applications

Have to be careful you don't damage your amplifier or optics

- No damage threshold
- Inherent compression mechanisms
- Scalable frequency



Make current laser systems smaller, cheaper



-

Make current laser systems smaller, cheaper



Optic from the Vulcan PetaWatt laser

John Farmer Fast simulation tools for Raman amplification

Make current laser systems smaller, cheaper



Plasma capillary from Strathclyde



Make current laser systems smaller, cheaper



-

Make current laser systems smaller, cheaper

OR



Make current laser systems smaller, cheaper

OR

Do things which are not possible with conventional technologies





#### 3 Simulation model







∃ ► < ∃ ►</p>

# Plasma waves



# Two counterpropagating laser pulses of different frequency create a beatwave

and drive a plasma wave through the ponderomotive force.

The resulting density perturbation scatters the higher frequency pump into the lower frequency probe.



#### 2 Raman amplification

#### Simulation model



#### 5 Conclusions



< ∃ > < ∃ >

Many physical processes relevant to Raman amplification require a particle description

- wavebreaking
- particle trapping
- Landau damping

Particle in Cell



# Raman amplification in plasma





'문▶' ★ 문≯

Wavelength of excited plasma wave is short (  $\sim \lambda_{\textit{laser}}/2)$ 

and high amplitude waves lead to anharmonic structure.

Requires a high resolution - large computational overheads

We look to existing techniques used to reduce the computational overhead of simulations of laser plasma interactions

Remove fast laser oscillations by switching to laser envelopes

## Envelope model - wakefield



John Farmer Fast simulation tools for Raman amplification

Laser pulse evolution calculated from the wave equation:



Laser pulse evolution calculated from the wave equation:

$$\left(\partial_t^2 - c^2 \nabla^2\right) \vec{a} = rac{e}{mcarepsilon_0} J_\perp$$



Laser pulse evolution calculated from the wave equation:

$$\left(\partial_t^2 - c^2 \nabla^2\right) \vec{a} = rac{e}{mcarepsilon_0} J_\perp$$

where  $\vec{a}$  is the reduced vector potential.

Laser pulse evolution calculated from the wave equation:

$$\left(\partial_t^2 - c^2 \nabla^2\right) \vec{a} = rac{e}{mcarepsilon_0} J_\perp$$



Laser pulse evolution calculated from the wave equation:

$$\left(\partial_t^2 - c^2 
abla^2
ight) ec{a} = rac{e}{mcarepsilon_0} J_\perp$$

Transform into a co-moving frame,  $\xi = z/c - t$ ,  $\tau = t$ 



Laser pulse evolution calculated from the wave equation:

$$\left(\partial_t^2 - c^2 \nabla^2\right) \vec{a} = \frac{e}{mc\varepsilon_0} J_\perp \left(\partial_\tau^2 - 2\partial_\tau \partial_\xi - c^2 \nabla_\perp^2\right) \vec{a} = \frac{e}{mc\varepsilon_0} J_\perp$$

Transform into a co-moving frame,  $\xi = z/c - t$ ,  $\tau = t$ 



Laser pulse evolution calculated from the wave equation:

$$\left(\partial_t^2 - c^2 \nabla^2\right) \vec{a} = \frac{e}{mc\varepsilon_0} J_\perp$$
$$\left(\partial_\tau^2 - 2\partial_\tau \partial_\xi - c^2 \nabla_\perp^2\right) \vec{a} = \frac{e}{mc\varepsilon_0} J_\perp$$



Laser pulse evolution calculated from the wave equation:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \partial_t^2 - c^2 \nabla^2 \end{pmatrix} \vec{a} = \frac{e}{mc\varepsilon_0} J_{\perp} \\ \left( \partial_\tau^2 - 2\partial_\tau \partial_\xi - c^2 \nabla_{\perp}^2 \right) \vec{a} = \frac{e}{mc\varepsilon_0} J_{\perp}$$

#### Make the quasistatic approximation



Laser pulse evolution calculated from the wave equation:

$$\left(\partial_t^2 - c^2 \nabla^2\right) \vec{a} = \frac{e}{mc\varepsilon_0} J_\perp$$
$$\left(\partial_\tau^2 - 2\partial_\tau \partial_\xi - c^2 \nabla_\perp^2\right) \vec{a} = \frac{e}{mc\varepsilon_0} J_\perp$$
$$= \chi \vec{a}$$

Make the quasistatic approximation



Laser pulse evolution calculated from the wave equation:

$$(\partial_t^2 - c^2 \nabla^2) \vec{a} = \frac{e}{mc\varepsilon_0} J_\perp$$
$$(\partial_\tau^2 - 2\partial_\tau \partial_\xi - c^2 \nabla_\perp^2) \vec{a} = \frac{e}{mc\varepsilon_0} J_\perp$$
$$= \chi \vec{a}$$

Make the quasistatic approximation where  $\chi$  is the (renormalised) plasma susceptibility.



Laser pulse evolution calculated from the wave equation:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \partial_t^2 - c^2 \nabla^2 \end{pmatrix} \vec{a} = \frac{e}{mc\varepsilon_0} J_\perp \\ \left( \partial_\tau^2 - 2\partial_\tau \partial_\xi - c^2 \nabla_\perp^2 \right) \vec{a} = \frac{e}{mc\varepsilon_0} J_\perp \\ = \chi \vec{a}$$



Laser pulse evolution calculated from the wave equation:

$$(\partial_t^2 - c^2 \nabla^2) \vec{a} = \frac{e}{mc\varepsilon_0} J_\perp$$
$$(\partial_\tau^2 - 2\partial_\tau \partial_\xi - c^2 \nabla_\perp^2) \vec{a} = \frac{e}{mc\varepsilon_0} J_\perp$$
$$= \chi \vec{a}$$

Introduce an envelope representation of the laser field

Laser pulse evolution calculated from the wave equation:

$$(\partial_t^2 - c^2 \nabla^2) \vec{a} = \frac{e}{mc\varepsilon_0} J_\perp$$
$$(\partial_\tau^2 - 2\partial_\tau \partial_\xi - c^2 \nabla_\perp^2) \vec{a} = \frac{e}{mc\varepsilon_0} J_\perp$$
$$= \chi \vec{a}$$

Introduce an envelope representation of the laser field  $\vec{a} = a e^{-i\omega\xi} \vec{u}$ 

Laser pulse evolution calculated from the wave equation:

$$(\partial_t^2 - c^2 \nabla^2) \vec{a} = \frac{e}{mc\varepsilon_0} J_\perp$$
$$(\partial_\tau^2 - 2\partial_\tau \partial_\xi - c^2 \nabla_\perp^2) \vec{a} = \frac{e}{mc\varepsilon_0} J_\perp$$
$$= \chi \vec{a}$$

Introduce an envelope representation of the laser field  $\vec{a} = a e^{-i\omega\xi} \vec{u}$ where  $\vec{u}$  is the polarisation vector.



Laser pulse evolution calculated from the wave equation:

$$(\partial_t^2 - c^2 \nabla^2) \vec{a} = \frac{e}{mc\varepsilon_0} J_\perp$$
$$(\partial_\tau^2 - 2\partial_\tau \partial_\xi - c^2 \nabla_\perp^2) \vec{a} = \frac{e}{mc\varepsilon_0} J_\perp$$
$$= \chi \vec{a}$$
$$(\partial_\tau^2 - 2\partial_\tau \partial_\xi + 2i\omega\partial_\tau - c^2 \nabla_\perp^2) \vec{a} = \chi \vec{a}$$

Introduce an envelope representation of the laser field  $\vec{a} = a e^{-i\omega\xi} \vec{u}$ where  $\vec{u}$  is the polarisation vector.



Laser pulse evolution calculated from the wave equation:

$$(\partial_t^2 - c^2 \nabla^2) \vec{a} = \frac{e}{mc\varepsilon_0} J_\perp$$
$$(\partial_\tau^2 - 2\partial_\tau \partial_\xi - c^2 \nabla_\perp^2) \vec{a} = \frac{e}{mc\varepsilon_0} J_\perp$$
$$= \chi \vec{a}$$
$$(\partial_\tau^2 - 2\partial_\tau \partial_\xi + 2i\omega\partial_\tau - c^2 \nabla_\perp^2) \vec{a} = \chi \vec{a}$$



Laser pulse evolution calculated from the wave equation:

$$\left(\partial_t^2 - c^2 \nabla^2\right) \vec{a} = \frac{e}{mc\varepsilon_0} J_\perp$$
$$\left(\partial_\tau^2 - 2\partial_\tau \partial_\xi - c^2 \nabla_\perp^2\right) \vec{a} = \frac{e}{mc\varepsilon_0} J_\perp$$
$$= \chi \vec{a}$$
$$\left(\partial_\tau^2 - 2\partial_\tau \partial_\xi + 2i\omega\partial_\tau - c^2 \nabla_\perp^2\right) \vec{a} = \chi \vec{a}$$

Neglect the second derivative in  $\tau$  (evolves slowly in co-moving frame).



Laser pulse evolution calculated from the wave equation:

$$\left(\partial_t^2 - c^2 \nabla^2\right) \vec{a} = \frac{e}{mc\varepsilon_0} J_\perp$$
$$\left(\partial_\tau^2 - 2\partial_\tau \partial_\xi - c^2 \nabla_\perp^2\right) \vec{a} = \frac{e}{mc\varepsilon_0} J_\perp$$
$$= \chi \vec{a}$$
$$\left(\partial_\tau^2 - 2\partial_\tau \partial_\xi + 2i\omega\partial_\tau - c^2 \nabla_\perp^2\right) \vec{a} = \chi \vec{a}$$
$$\left(-2\partial_\tau \partial_\xi + 2i\omega\partial_\tau - c^2 \nabla_\perp^2\right) \vec{a} = \chi \vec{a}$$

Neglect the second derivative in  $\tau$  (evolves slowly in co-moving frame).

Laser pulse evolution calculated from the wave equation:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \partial_t^2 - c^2 \nabla^2 \end{pmatrix} \vec{a} = \frac{e}{mc\varepsilon_0} J_\perp \\ \left( \partial_\tau^2 - 2\partial_\tau \partial_\xi - c^2 \nabla_\perp^2 \right) \vec{a} = \frac{e}{mc\varepsilon_0} J_\perp \\ = \chi \vec{a} \\ \left( \partial_\tau^2 - 2\partial_\tau \partial_\xi + 2i\omega\partial_\tau - c^2 \nabla_\perp^2 \right) \vec{a} = \chi \vec{a} \\ \left( -2\partial_\tau \partial_\xi + 2i\omega\partial_\tau - c^2 \nabla_\perp^2 \right) \vec{a} = \chi \vec{a}$$

Neglect the second derivative in  $\tau$  (evolves slowly in co-moving frame).

Suppresses backscatter and reflection.

Laser pulse evolution calculated from the wave equation:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \partial_t^2 - c^2 \nabla^2 \end{pmatrix} \vec{a} = \frac{e}{mc\varepsilon_0} J_\perp \\ \left( \partial_\tau^2 - 2\partial_\tau \partial_\xi - c^2 \nabla_\perp^2 \right) \vec{a} = \frac{e}{mc\varepsilon_0} J_\perp \\ = \chi \vec{a} \\ \left( \partial_\tau^2 - 2\partial_\tau \partial_\xi + 2i\omega\partial_\tau - c^2 \nabla_\perp^2 \right) \vec{a} = \chi \vec{a} \\ \left( -2\partial_\tau \partial_\xi + 2i\omega\partial_\tau - c^2 \nabla_\perp^2 \right) \vec{a} = \chi \vec{a}$$







'문▶' ★ 문≯



John Farmer Fast simulation tools for Raman amplification



John Farmer Fast simulation tools for Raman amplification

Laser envelopes vary slowly in space and time

Excited plasma wave varies slowly in time, but rapidly in space.

Solution - treat plasma wave as electrostatic.

Hur et al, Phys. Plasmas 11 5204 (2004)







< 注 > < 注 >

Envelope solver for a single pulse:

$$\left(-2\partial_ au\partial_\xi+2i\omega\partial_ au-c^2
abla_\perp^2
ight)$$
 a  $=\chi$ a



∃ ► < ∃ ►</p>

Envelope solver for a single pulse:

$$\left(-2\partial_ au\partial_\xi+2i\omega\partial_ au-c^2
abla_\perp^2
ight)$$
 a  $=\chi$ a

Envelope solver for two pulses:  $\vec{a} = a e^{-i\omega_a \xi} \vec{u} + b e^{i\omega_b(\xi+2\tau)} \vec{u}$ 



Envelope solver for a single pulse:

$$\left(-2\partial_ au\partial_\xi+2i\omega\partial_ au-c^2
abla_\perp^2
ight)$$
 a  $=\chi$ a

Envelope solver for two pulses:  $\vec{a} = a e^{-i\omega_a \xi} \vec{u} + b e^{i\omega_b (\xi + 2\tau)} \vec{u}$ 

$$\left(-2\partial_{\tau}\partial_{\xi}+2i\omega_{a}\partial_{\tau}-c^{2}\nabla_{\perp}^{2}\right)a=\chi a+\chi\,\mathrm{e}^{i\left(\left(\omega_{b}+\omega_{a}\right)\xi+2\omega_{b}\right)}b$$



Envelope solver for a single pulse:

$$\left(-2\partial_{ au}\partial_{\xi}+2i\omega\partial_{ au}-c^{2}
abla_{ot}^{2}
ight)$$
 a  $=\chi$ a

Envelope solver for two pulses:  $\vec{a} = a e^{-i\omega_a \xi} \vec{u} + b e^{i\omega_b (\xi + 2\tau)} \vec{u}$ 

$$(-2\partial_{\tau}\partial_{\xi} + 2i\omega_{a}\partial_{\tau} - c^{2}\nabla_{\perp}^{2}) a = \chi a + \chi e^{i((\omega_{b} + \omega_{a})\xi + 2\omega_{b})} b$$
$$(-2\partial_{\tau}\partial_{\xi} + 2i\omega_{b} (\partial_{\tau} - 2\partial_{\xi}) - c^{2}\nabla_{\perp}^{2}) b = \chi b + \chi e^{-i((\omega_{b} + \omega_{a})\xi + 2\omega_{b})} a$$

Envelope solver for a single pulse:

$$\left(-2\partial_{ au}\partial_{\xi}+2i\omega\partial_{ au}-c^{2}
abla_{ot}^{2}
ight)$$
 a  $=\chi$ a

Envelope solver for two pulses:  $\vec{a} = a e^{-i\omega_a \xi} \vec{u} + b e^{i\omega_b (\xi + 2\tau)} \vec{u}$ 

$$(-2\partial_{\tau}\partial_{\xi} + 2i\omega_{a}\partial_{\tau} - c^{2}\nabla_{\perp}^{2}) a = \chi a + \chi e^{i((\omega_{b} + \omega_{a})\xi + 2\omega_{b})} b$$
$$(-2\partial_{\tau}\partial_{\xi} + 2i\omega_{b}(\partial_{\tau} - 2\partial_{\xi}) - c^{2}\nabla_{\perp}^{2}) b = \chi b + \chi e^{-i((\omega_{b} + \omega_{a})\xi + 2\omega_{b})} a$$

Introduce new slowly-varying quantities,  $\tilde{\chi} = \langle \chi \rangle$ ,  $\tilde{\psi} = \langle e^{i((\omega_b + \omega_a)\xi + 2\omega_b)} \chi \rangle$ 



Envelope solver for a single pulse:

$$\left(-2\partial_{ au}\partial_{\xi}+2i\omega\partial_{ au}-c^{2}
abla_{ot}^{2}
ight)$$
 a  $=\chi$ a

Envelope solver for two pulses:  $\vec{a} = a e^{-i\omega_a \xi} \vec{u} + b e^{i\omega_b (\xi + 2\tau)} \vec{u}$ 

$$(-2\partial_{\tau}\partial_{\xi} + 2i\omega_{a}\partial_{\tau} - c^{2}\nabla_{\perp}^{2}) a = \tilde{\chi}a + \tilde{\psi}b (-2\partial_{\tau}\partial_{\xi} + 2i\omega_{b}(\partial_{\tau} - 2\partial_{\xi}) - c^{2}\nabla_{\perp}^{2}) b = \tilde{\chi}b + \tilde{\psi}^{*}b$$

Introduce new slowly-varying quantities,  $\tilde{\chi} = \langle \chi \rangle$ ,  $\tilde{\psi} = \langle e^{i((\omega_b + \omega_a)\xi + 2\omega_b)} \chi \rangle$ 



Envelope solver for a single pulse:

$$\left(-2\partial_{ au}\partial_{\xi}+2i\omega\partial_{ au}-c^{2}
abla_{ot}^{2}
ight)$$
 a  $=\chi$ a

Envelope solver for two pulses:  $\vec{a} = a e^{-i\omega_a \xi} \vec{u} + b e^{i\omega_b (\xi + 2\tau)} \vec{u}$ 

$$(-2\partial_{\tau}\partial_{\xi} + 2i\omega_{a}\partial_{\tau} - c^{2}\nabla_{\perp}^{2}) a = \tilde{\chi}a + \tilde{\psi}b (-2\partial_{\tau}\partial_{\xi} + 2i\omega_{b}(\partial_{\tau} - 2\partial_{\xi}) - c^{2}\nabla_{\perp}^{2}) b = \tilde{\chi}b + \tilde{\psi}^{*}b$$





Envelope laser solver using averaged values

Electrostatic solver using a fine grid

Both updated using same long timestep - lower computational overhead





- 2 Raman amplification
- 3 Simulation model







A B > A B >

#### Experimental results by Ren et al:

Nature Physics 3, 732 - 736 (2007)

Intensity gain of 10.000 times  $1.3{\times}10^{12}$  to  $1.3{\times}10^{16}~~W\,cm^{-2}$ 

Energy gain of 200 times 16  $\mu$ J to 3.2 mJ



Use similar parameters:

Probe amplitude = 0.001 Pump amplitude = 0.01 Probe diameter = 55  $\mu$ m Probe diameter = 55  $\mu$ m Probe FWHM = 500 ps Plasma density =  $1.3 \times 10^{19}$  cm<sup>-3</sup> Plasma length = 2 mm



# Simulation - homogeneous plasma





3.0

#### Possible to improve gain?

#### Introduce plasma channel

Vieux et al, New Journal of Physics 13 (2011)

Pai et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101 065005 (2008)



#### density at boundary 50% higher than on axis

density at beam waist 5.6% higher than on axis

NRICH HEINE

Use similar parameters:

Probe amplitude = 0.001 Pump amplitude = 0.0172 Probe diameter = 55  $\mu$ m Probe diameter = 19  $\mu$ m Probe FWHM = 500 ps Plasma density =  $1.3 \times 10^{19}$  cm<sup>-3</sup> (on axis) Plasma length = 2 mm



# Movie





★ E ► ★ E ►

< 一型

Introduction of a plasma channel gives

- more focused pulse
- higher peak intensity



# Movie

Flat plasma Plasma channel 0.1 0 80 Position (µm) 0 -80 1.2 0.6 0.6 1.2 0.6 1. 0 0 Position (pls)



★ E ► ★ E ►

# Simulation results

Introduction of a plasma channel gives

- more focused pulse
- higher peak intensity

Contributions from both

- the use of a matched pump
- and refractive guiding of probe



## Motivation

- 2 Raman amplification
- 3 Simulation model







< ∃ > < ∃ >

- Development of fast simulation model for Raman amplification
- Allows simulation of multidimensional effects, refraction, dispersion
- Much faster than conventional methods (Yee-PIC/Vlasov)
  - Parameter scans
  - Long interaction lengths
  - Large diameter beams



#### Initial simulations run for realistic parameters

#### Introduction of a plasma channel gives higher intensity



We have a code. It works.

If you have a laser and you'd like to do some Raman

get in touch!

-FIN-





æ

John Farmer Fast simulation tools for Raman amplification