

Experience with Philips dSiPM Studies on efficiency and time resolution for SciTil

Lukas Gruber, SMI



Stefan Meyer Institute for Subatomic Physics, Vienna

The digital SiPM

- Tile consists of 16 independent die sensors with 4 pixels each
- Two types: DPC-3200, DPC-6400 (gives the cell number per pixel)
- Possibility to enable/disable single cells
- One can create dark count maps and switch off noisy cells
- Data acquisition
 - One can set a trigger threshold (>= 1 ph.) per die and validation threshold (>= 4 ph.) per die
 - One can set a validation and integration interval
 - Time stamp per die at trigger occurrence
 - Number of photons (breakdowns) per pixel



2



The dSiPM for SciTil tests

- The dSiPM has a big sensitive area (32.6 x 32.6 mm²)
- One can cover the whole surface of a tile for SciTil and measure the photon distribution and time resolution as a function of the position of a pixel on the surface
- Without removing and repositioning the sensor several times
- The results can give then the ideal position of the SiPM on the tile surface



Experimental setup



BC408 30 x 30 x 5 mm³ and 20 x 20 x 5 mm³ (no wrapping) Philips dSiPM + PDPC-TEK unit + PC Strontium-90 source 2 Pinholes with 2 mm diameter Source and pinholes moveable with step motor and µm-stage Water- and Peltier-cooling

SiPM workshop, 16 Feb. 2013, Vienna

L. Gruber

Experimental setup



Measurement procedure

Idea: Scan the scintillator in 2 dimensions and measure the efficiency (# of detected photons) and time resolution as a function of the position of the beam (e-) for different positions of the photo sensor. Then evaluate the best position of the sensor on the scintillator surface.

Compare different geometries (30x30x5 and 20x20x5) of the scintillator



Photon distribution

30 x 30 x 5 mm³ without grease



Photon distribution



- Plots show the average values of 25 different measurements (positions of the beam on scintillator)
- No favored position of the sensor can be determined (maybe edge slightly better)
- Photons more or less distributed equally
- Using grease helps to increase number of photons collected (factor 1.5)
- More photons collected with 20 x 20 x 5 mm³

Time resolution

The dSiPM gives a time stamp per die at the moment of trigger occurrence (arrival of the 1st photon). One can use this time stamps to calculate arrival time difference between dies.

30 x 30 x 5 mm³ (4 dies) \rightarrow 6 equations to calculate σ_i (i = 1,2,3,4) 20 x 20 x 5 mm³ (3 dies) \rightarrow 3 equations to calculate σ_i (i = 1,2,3)

Perform a fitting to solve the equations and evaluate time resolution of a channel/die.



Time resolution

The dSiPM gives a time stamp per die at the moment of trigger occurrence (arrival of the 1st photon). One can use this time stamps to calculate arrival time difference between dies.

30 x 30 x 5 mm³ (4 dies) \rightarrow 6 equations to calculate σ_i (i = 1,2,3,4) 20 x 20 x 5 mm³ (3 dies) \rightarrow 3 equations to calculate σ_i (i = 1,2,3)

Perform a fitting to solve equations and evaluate time resolution of a channel/die.



L. Gruber

SiPM workshop, 16 Feb. 2013, Vienna



- Average time resolution of 25 different measurements (positions of the beam on scintillator)
- Values are not very good (?)
- Better time resolution for central dies
- Using grease helps to improve the timing
- Better time resolution with 20 x 20 x 5 mm³

Simulation

- Simulations with SLitrani (LIght TRansmission in ANIsotropic media) to compare with measurement
- Monte Carlo based on ROOT to simulate light propagation



1000 e- per shot25 positions as done in measurement

Simulation: photon distribution



Simulation: collection efficiency

30 x 30 x 5 mm³

Collection efficiency: $\epsilon_{col} = N_{seen}/N_{gen}$





Simulation: collection efficiency



- Plots show the average values of 25 different positions of the beam on scintillator
- Values are very close together, variations at per mill level
- Good agreement between measurement and simulation

Summary

- The dSiPM has been used to study the photon distribution and time resolution of a scintillator tile for SciTil.
- Simulation and measurement showed that the collected photons are distributed rather equally over one tile surface.
- However, it seems that a better time resolution can be obtained by placing the sensor at the center.
- Using grease helps to improve timing and collection efficiency.
- Using the 30 x 30 x 5 mm³ scintillator with grease, one can achieve approx. the same results (timing ~ 230 ps and collected photons ~30/event) as with the 20 x 20 x 5 mm³ without grease.
- The best value for the time resolution from this first measurement is $\sigma = 230$ ps for a single channel (die).
- There is a good agreement between simulation and measurement.

To do

- Study the time resolution.
- Measure time resolution of the dSiPM itself, using a laser.
- Place sensors on two neighboring sides of the tile.
- Study the influence of wrapping.
- Do more simulations, also with timing (include PDE, electronics threshold,...)

Remarks on dSiPM

+

- Large active area ↔ bulky (large frame)
- "Plug and play"
- Quick information: # of photons, time stamp
- Change settings quickly
- Enable/disable single cells

- Gets hot (10° in first 20 s if all dies enabled) \rightarrow cooling needed
- "Black box"
- "Easy" things \leftrightarrow "difficult" things
- Manual can be improved