



GSI Machine Coordination Meeting 4th June 2024

Thomas Sieber for the CCC Team

Cryogenic Current Comparators (CCC) for nA Beam Intensity Measurement at FAIR

Report from Engineering Runs 12/23 and 04/24





<u>Outline</u>

CCC-

- Principle + Layout

- Development steps
- Beam tests at HTA





Nondestructive measurement of low intensity (nA) beams at FAIR

- Slow extracted beam from SIS18/SIS100 (RIB, CBM), spill optimization
- Circulating beams (CRYRING, CR \rightarrow HESR)











CCC (Harvey 1972):

- Uses Meissner-effect and SQUID for $\rm I_1/\rm I_2$ measurement
- If $I_1 \neq I_2$ magn. field produces compensation current
- Magnetic flux through SQUID \rightarrow voltage change

For charged particle beams:

 $I_{comp} = I_1 - I_2 = I_{beam} - 0$ (position independent)

Proof of Principle in Accelerators: A. Peters at. al. 1994



- SC shielding for non-azimuthal fields
- SC pickup coil with toroidal core ($\mu_r\approx 50000)$
- Low noise, high performance DC SQUID + control electronics (FSU Jena) → commercial products (MAGNICON, SUPRACON)
- FLL electronics: $\rightarrow \mu \Phi_0$ Resolution





HELMHOLTZ



Experimental setup CCC prototype (A. Peters et al.)



Change requests for FAIR:

Beamline and isolation vacuum coupled \sim 5*10-6 mbar \rightarrow no UHV operation

Shield not completely enthalpy cooled \rightarrow additional cold head required

Material appropriate for FAIR beamline dimensions – Nb instead of Pb

Manual filling \rightarrow (no standalone operation, thermal drift unavoidable)

SQUID, electronics + controls from 80's

In general: improve magn. shielding, system noise, current resolution





Kurian et. al. 2015, Ni²⁶⁺, 600 MeV/u, t_{extr} 60 ms – 2 s; Magnicon SQUID + electronics





Pulsed Current Measurement from Calibration Loop

Direct = without Matching Transformer / Balanced = Matching Transformer adjusted







Low beam currents

- Resolution limited by external perturbations (liquefier, dipole ramp)
 - → improve software filtering
 - → hardware optimization

High beam currents

- High slew rates → loss of signal lock
- Threshold around 500 nA of D⁺
- → add low pass to reduce slew rate (10 kHz instead of 100 kHz cut off)



transition laboratory \rightarrow accelerator reduces current resolution by factor 10





Low Intensity





<u>High Intensity</u>







- CCC-XD (Niobium) successfully operated in storage ring, nA measurement could be demonstrated, support for Cryring experiments provided.
- strong influence of rf-background on V/Φ Curve when moving from lab to machine. Bias Current reduced, operation less stable than in laboratory
- unavoidable jump at injection, slew rate problems partly solved by damping the system
- magnetic ramp up to 30 nA \rightarrow better shielding required
- CCC used for calibration of standard diagnostics in the ring (IPM, PCT)
- filtering of periodic disturbances (liquefier, 30 nA) required, to be implemented in FESA class

Todos:

- improve slew rate limitations (→ damping + modified eigenmodes by matching trafo)
- improve magnetic shielding (\rightarrow shield from axial CCC)
- longer standing time (\rightarrow new thermal shield, bigger liquefier)
- less expensive (\rightarrow lead shielding)
- better noise behavior, current resolution etc. (→ matching transformer design, Dual Core CCC)









Axial/Coreless-CCC

Pros

- magn. shielding (-150 dB instead of -75 dB)
- lead / costs
- easy manufacturing
- [two stage SQUID]

Cons

- weak coupling (beam)
- excessive noise





Noise figures for classical and axial CCC



Dual-CCC

Pros

- magn. shielding (150 dB instead of 75 dB)
- lead / costs
- easy manufacturing
- [two stage SQUID]
- strong coupling
- low noise background
- redundancy



Engineering Run 12/2023 CCC-XD@SIS18





Crescimbeni et. al. 2023, Beam: U⁷³⁺, 2*10⁹,200 MeV/u, t_{extr} 50 ms – 1 s; Magnicon SQUID + electronics









Eliminating Pertubations, investigation of filtering effects (spill shape, particle numbers) ...







Analysis of spill optimization, tune wobbling ... FFT spectra for different wobbling frequencies Rf = 3 KOhm, G.B.P = 4 GHz, FLL, 100 ms/div, wobble 500 Hz Rf = 3 KOhm, G.B.P = 4 GHz, FLL, 100 ms/div, wooble 9 KHz Beam Current [µA] 10^{3} 10³ 500 Hz 10 Z/T-∠Z4/YU 10⁻¹ -1/2 ζZų/¥Ω 03 500 Hz 9 kHz 0.2 10-3 10-3 0.1 $Rf = \frac{10^{-2}}{3} KOhm, G.B.P^{-1} = 4 GHz, FLL, 100 ms/dV, wooble 3 KHz$ ${}^{10}{}^{-2}_{R}f = 3 \text{ KOhm}, \text{ G.B.P} = 4{}^{100}_{G}\text{Hz}, \text{ FLL}, 100{}^{10}_{M}\text{ ms/div}$ 10³ -0.110² 40 50 60 70 Time [ms] 2/10¹ √24/¥ 10⁻¹ nA/hZ^-1/2 Beam Current [µA] 100 10-2 3 kHz 0 kHz 3 kHz 10-3 0.6 10^{-2} 10^{-1} 100 10¹ 10 10-2 10^{-1} 10⁰ 10¹ 10² Frequency [KHz] Frequency [KHz] 0.4 0.2 ... and bunching 65 Time [ms] Spill collected in data set n.19 spill collected in data set n 20 Beam Current [µA] 0.4 I (nA (nA) bunched 9 kHz 0.3 bunched wobbled 0.2 0.4 0.1 0.2 55 60 65 70 75 t (ms) t (ms) 75 Time [ms]







60

50

40

30

30

50

60

70 Time [ms]

60

FFT from Er beam Rf = 10 KOhm, G.B.P = 0.23 GHz 10/30 Hz cryostat Eigenmodes ~300 Hz Vacuum pumps 4.2/8.4 Hz 1.4 Hz Cryocooler cryocooler vibrations Red squid Yellow squid 100 10¹ 10² 103 **Red squid** 0 -50 -100-2 -1 ò 2 Time [s]

30

40

150

125

100

Current [nA]

⁷⁰Time [ms]







FAIR

Feedback Detector for KO extr. Beam









- Dual Core CCC successfully operated in Testinghalle and with beam in Cave A no significant performance reduction from lab to beamline

- direct comparison with CCC XD shows comparable behavior/resolution or better

- idea of dual-core noise subtraction works (partially)
- \rightarrow symmetry of the system must be improved
- spill measurements performed for different extraction times → filtering
 spill optimization (tune wobbling) could be analyzed in detail
- feedback for spill optimization of KO extracted beam did not work out
- HEBT CCC FESA was available during beamtime, to be completed

Todos:

- improve symmetry of the DCCC, introduce matching transformer for adjustment
- longer standing time \rightarrow new thermal shield, new liquefier
- improved signal processing and filtering required







- CCC focus at FAIR changed from storage rings to transport sections → FAIR Early Science ... FS, FS+ scenarios
- CCC-XD successfully operated in storage rings and transport lines, nA and spill measurement could be demonstrated, system fulfils FAIR requirements (almost)
- Beamtime in Dec. 2023 showed capability for online spill analysis
- Axial CCC type provides excellent magnetic shielding, but is extremely noise sensitive
- Dual core CCC combines advantages of both systems and will be the choice for FAIR
- DCCC successfully tested with beam, operation as detector for feedback system did not work out (so far)

Current activities:

- New thermal shield test (June 2024)
- Liquefier test (June 2024) → collaboration with TransMIT Gießen?
- FESA class development ongoing, including filtering, online data processing and SQUID controls (and input for spill optimization)
- finalization of DCCC design
- collaboration with FH Jena for advanced signal processing
- purchasing of cryostat for 2nd CCC end of 2024
- slow controls (Simatic) for cryostat, optimization of commercial electronics \rightarrow whenever ressources available













- HI Jena: Laboratory tests, shielding and SQUID circuit design, SPICE models → signal processing
- FSU Jena: Laboratories (cold lab, EMV shielded), administration (BMBF appl.)

- **IPHT Jena:** SQUID development and production, SQUID electronics, magn. shield
- TEMF: Simulations, shielding factors, electrical and mechanical eigenmodes and stability



CERN: Cryostat development, FESA, beamline tests (ring)



- GSI: Cryostat, beam test (HEBT/ring), detector development (2 PhD thesis), FESA, electronics optimization
- ... AND Magnicon: SQUID electronics, expertise, radiation test; FH Jena: Signal processing





Thank you for your attention !





Appendix





- CCC in CERN AD standard diagnostics, commercial electronics, standalone system, ring FESA class incl. SQUID controils
- cryostat for FAIR CCC developed and built up
- CCC-XD for FAIR beamline dimensions designed, produced and tested, cryostat commissioning, commercial electronics optimized (PhD thesis D. Haider)
- in parallel design of axial CCC and DCCC, both built from lead
- systematic investigation of noise behavior, magnetic cores all CCC types
- test of axial CCC prototypes in Jena (thesis D. Haider and L. Crescimbeni)
- development of DCCC (PhD thesis M. Stapelfeld, Jena))
- laboratory- and beamtest of DCCC, HEBT FESA class started (PhD thesis L. Cresciembeni)

Next steps: ES FAIR CCCs and CCC for ELENA and SPS extraction Lines



CCC Working Principle II





Α





Pb-DCC-Sm-300

CHALLENGE: LOW TEST CURRENT

CCC_XD_Calib-Strom_Sym_ohneMT-Cs - • × I(L3)l(La) 4.9nA-1.1nA--2.8nA-80µs 120µs 160µs 200µs 240µs 360µs 40us 280µs 320µs 0µs 400µs CCC_XD_Calib-Strom_Sym_ohneMT-C - - -K1 La La1 La2 La3 1 **R15** Pickup-200p C6 Parasitic La3 La1 La2 Coils & 300µ .tran 0 400u 0 ;ac dec 1000 1 1meg 150µ? 150µ° C4 200p Cs PULSE(0 40m 100µ 1n 1n 100µ 10m 100) **SQUID** 100k **C**R14 \sum_{1}^{R16} AC **R12** C3 Calib-coil¹ C2 3 100p 1n 300u R10 **R8** 100k Ŷ Asymmetrical

June 4th 2024

Α





Evolution from cylindrical over coaxial tp meandrical shielding

Analytical approach by PTB:

→ Damping is function of radius ratio (R_{out}/R_{in}) and number of meanders









COMSOL + Microwave-Studio calculations for field penetration into the shielding

Example Dipole field:

Attenuation achieved: ~75 dB

BUT: Beam field (10nA): 50 fT Earth magn. field: 50 µT



Production of the CRYRING Cryostat



















<u>Tolerable rise time of pulses in flux locked mode \rightarrow Slew Rate</u>







Main disturbances: liquefier pulse + dipole ramp



Signal from helium refrigerator (1.4 Hz)

(no mechanical coupling!)



background measurements

Dipole ramp

deterministic: subtracted using transfer function



correction

February 13th 2024



Investigation of new Shielding Design



Simulations performed with MWS to check shielding properties

Assumptions:

- Material = perfect diamagnet
- Shield currents + toroid not included
- Homogeneous dipole field used
- Real meander geometry used



Shielding design for the FAIR and AD CCC

- Attenuation decreases for larger R_{in}
- For given R_{in}: Attenuation increases for larger R_{out}
- R_{out} related to total shield mass and cryostat dimensions



- Number of meanders increases attenuation
- CCC-Prototype: R_{in}=75 mm, R_{out}=125 mm → Att. 108 dB
- FAIR-CCC: R_{in} = 125 mm, R_{out} =175 mm \rightarrow Att. \sim 80 dB
- → 4 more meanders required for comparable attenuation



Laboratory Tests: Comparison of CCC Types









Offset temperature/pressure dependence

- Baseline drift of ~1nA/s observed during beam tests, curve flattens when thermal equilibrum is reached
- If T/P effect, high relevance due to backpressure + fluctuations from recovery lines
- \rightarrow offline tests with closed exhaust line
- Effect investigated at Jena with 'anticryostat' setup, decoupling T and P

Reason identified as T-dependent inductance variation

 \rightarrow can this be avoided ? \rightarrow coreless CCC ?











J. Golm, V. Tympel, FSU / HIJ





IPHT cross type JJ technology

sub µm thickness low junction capacitance

- → high voltage swings without hysteresis,
- \rightarrow low noise
- \rightarrow high tolerable background fields
- \rightarrow inductance

SQUID	Standard CSBlue	Sub-µm CE1K
L_{SQ} [nH]	0.30	0.18
L_K [μ H]	0.32	2.7
$\Delta \Phi_{SQ}/I_A \left[\mu A/\Phi_0\right]$	0.21	0.10
$\Phi_n \ [\mu \Phi_0 / \sqrt{Hz}]$	≈ 5	pprox 2
Noise performance	1.0	0.2
$[pA/\sqrt{Hz}]$		



Figure 1. False-colored scanning electron microscope image showing the central part of a SQUID current sensor CE1K34 with the four washers (in light blue) starting in the corners and parts of the input coils (yellow) on top of them. The shunt resistors and washer shunts are shown in red, the Josephson junctions in green, respectively.