# Zero temperature properties of mesons and baryons from an extended linear sigma-model

Péter Kovács (Wigner RCP, Budapest, Hungary)

FAIRNESS, 15-21 September 2013, Berlin

In collaboration with

Gy. Wolf (Wigner RCP), D. Parganlija (Vienna TU), F. Giacosa, D. Rischke (Uni. Frankfurt)

- Motivation
- QCDs chiral symmetry, effective models
- Extended linear  $\sigma$ -model
- Tree-level masses, Decay widths
- Parametrization
- Results
- Summary

# **Motivation**

Our ultimate goal: finite temperature/chemical potential investigations  $\rightarrow$  determine the position of the chiral phase boundary in the  $T - \mu_B$  plane / determine existence of the CEP in

- an effective model based on global symmetries of QCD
- with all the lightest multiplets (scalar, pseudoscalar, vector, axialvector, baryon octet, baryon decuplet) to give a good approximation
- At first  $\longrightarrow$  need to describe the zero temperature spectrum as good as possible  $\longrightarrow$  through the parametrization of the model

included particles:

- scalars, pseudoscalars (2 nonets, 18 particles)
- vectors, axialvectors (2 nonets, 18 particles)
- octet baryons, decuplet baryons (1 octet, 1 decuplet; 18 particles)

# **Problem in the scalar sector**

	Mass (MeV)	width (MeV)	decays
$a_0(980)$	$(980 \pm 20)$	50 - 100	$\pi\pi$ dominant
$a_0(1450)$	$(1474 \pm 19)$	$(265 \pm 13)$	$\pi\eta,\pi\eta',Kar{K}$
$K_0^{\star}(800) = \kappa$	$(676 \pm 40)$	$(548 \pm 24)$	$K\pi$
$K_0^{\star}(1430)$	$(1425 \pm 50)$	$(270 \pm 80)$	$K\pi$ dominant
$f_0(500) = \sigma$	550 - 1200	400 - 700	$\pi\pi$ dominant
$f_0(980)$	$(990 \pm 20)$	40 - 100	$\pi\pi$ dominant
$f_0(1370)$	1200 - 1500	200 - 500	$\pi\pi \approx 250,  K\bar{K} \approx 150$
$f_0(1500)$	$(1505 \pm 6)$	$(109 \pm 7)$	$\pi\pipprox 38, Kar{K}pprox 9.4$
$f_0(1710)$	$(1720\pm6)$	$(135\pm8)$	$\pi\pi pprox 30, K\bar{K} pprox 71$

according to the table: 2  $a_0$ 's, 2  $K_0$ 's, 5  $f_0$ 's  $\leftrightarrow$ 

in our model: 1 scalar nonet with 1  $a_0$ 's, 1  $K_0$ 's, 2  $f_0$ 's

other possible scalar states: meson-meson molecules, glueballs, four quarks

# **Chiral symmetry**

If the quark masses are zero (chiral limit)  $\implies$  QCD invariant under the following global transformation (chiral symmetry):

 $U(3)_L \times U(3)_R \simeq U(3)_V \times U(3)_A = SU(3)_V \times SU(3)_A \times U(1)_V \times U(1)_A$ 

 $U(1)_V$  term  $\longrightarrow$  baryon number conservation

 $U(1)_A$  term  $\longrightarrow$  broken through axial anomaly

 $SU(3)_A$  term  $\longrightarrow$  broken down by any quark mass

 $SU(3)_V$  term  $\longrightarrow$  broken down to  $SU(2)_V$  if  $m_u = m_d \neq m_s$  (isospin symmetry)  $\longrightarrow$  totally broken if  $m_u \neq m_d \neq m_s$  (realized in nature)

Since QCD is very hard to solve  $\longrightarrow$  low energy effective models can be set up  $\longrightarrow$  reflecting the global symmetries of QCD  $\longrightarrow$  degrees of freedom: observable particles instead of quarks and gluons

Linear realization of the symmetry  $\longrightarrow$  linear sigma model (nonlinear representation  $\longrightarrow$  chiral perturbation theory (ChPT))

## **Extended linear sigma model (meson part)**

(based on: chiral symmetry)

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{\text{ext}} &= \text{Tr}[(D_{\mu}\Phi)^{\dagger}(D_{\mu}\Phi)] - m_{0}^{2}\text{Tr}(\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi) - \lambda_{1}[\text{Tr}(\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi)]^{2} - \lambda_{2}\text{Tr}(\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi)^{2} \\ &- \frac{1}{4}\text{Tr}(L_{\mu\nu}^{2} + R_{\mu\nu}^{2}) + \text{Tr}\left[\left(\frac{m_{1}^{2}}{2} + \Delta\right)(L_{\mu}^{2} + R_{\mu}^{2})\right] + \text{Tr}[\hat{\epsilon}(\Phi + \Phi^{\dagger})] \\ &+ c_{1}(\det \Phi - \det \Phi^{\dagger})^{2} + i\frac{g_{2}}{2}(\text{Tr}\{L_{\mu\nu}[L^{\mu}, L^{\nu}]\} + \text{Tr}\{R_{\mu\nu}[R^{\mu}, R^{\nu}]\}) \\ &+ \frac{h_{1}}{2}\text{Tr}(\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi)\text{Tr}(L_{\mu}^{2} + R_{\mu}^{2}) + h_{2}\text{Tr}[(L_{\mu}\Phi)^{2} + (\Phi R_{\mu})^{2}] + 2h_{3}\text{Tr}(L_{\mu}\Phi R^{\mu}\Phi^{\dagger}). \\ &+ g_{3}[\text{Tr}(L_{\mu}L_{\nu}L^{\mu}L^{\nu}) + \text{Tr}(R_{\mu}R_{\nu}R^{\mu}R^{\nu})] + g_{4}[\text{Tr}(L_{\mu}L^{\mu}L_{\nu}L^{\nu}) + \text{Tr}(R_{\mu}R^{\mu}R_{\nu}R^{\nu})] \\ &+ g_{5}\text{Tr}(L_{\mu}L^{\mu}) \text{Tr}(R_{\nu}R^{\nu}) + g_{6}[\text{Tr}(L_{\mu}L^{\mu})\text{Tr}(L_{\nu}L^{\nu}) + \text{Tr}(R_{\mu}R^{\mu})\text{Tr}(R_{\nu}R^{\nu})] \\ &+ \mathcal{L}_{\text{baryon}}, \end{split}$$

where

$$D^{\mu}\Phi = \partial^{\mu}\Phi - ig_1(L^{\mu}\Phi - \Phi R^{\mu}) - ieA^{\mu}[T_3, \Phi]$$

$$\begin{split} \Phi &= \sum_{i=0}^{8} (\sigma_{i} + i\pi_{i})T_{i} \\ R^{\mu} &= \sum_{i=0}^{8} (\rho_{i}^{\mu} - b_{i}^{\mu})T_{i} \\ L^{\mu} &= \sum_{i=0}^{8} (\rho_{i}^{\mu} + b_{i}^{\mu})T_{i} \\ L^{\mu\nu} &= \partial^{\mu}L^{\nu} - ieA^{\mu}[T_{3}, L^{\nu}] - \{\partial^{\nu}L^{\mu} - ieA^{\nu}[T_{3}, L^{\mu}]\} \\ R^{\mu\nu} &= \partial^{\mu}R^{\nu} - ieA^{\mu}[T_{3}, R^{\nu}] - \{\partial^{\nu}R^{\mu} - ieA^{\nu}[T_{3}, R^{\mu}]\} \\ \hat{\epsilon} &= \sum_{i=0}^{8} \varepsilon_{i}T_{i} \qquad U(3) \text{ generators: } T_{0} := \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\mathbf{1}, T_{i} = \frac{\lambda_{i}}{2} \ i = 1 \dots 8 \end{split}$$

determinant breaks  $U_A(1)$  symmetry explicit symmetry breaking: external fields  $\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_8 \neq 0 \iff m_u = m_d \neq 0, m_s \neq 0$  or  $\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_8 \neq 0 \iff m_u \neq m_d \neq 0, m_s \neq 0$ 

non strange – strange base:

$$\varphi_N = \sqrt{2/3}\varphi_0 + \sqrt{1/3}\varphi_8,$$
  
$$\varphi_S = \sqrt{1/3}\varphi_0 - \sqrt{2/3}\varphi_8, \qquad \varphi \in (\sigma, \pi, \varepsilon)$$

broken symmetry: non-zero condensates  $\langle \sigma_N \rangle, \langle \sigma_S \rangle \longleftrightarrow \phi_N, \phi_S$ 

#### **Pseudoscalar- and scalarmeson nonets**

$$\Phi_{PS} = \sum_{i=0}^{8} \pi_i T_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\eta_N + \pi^0}{\sqrt{2}} & \pi^+ & K^+ \\ \pi^- & \frac{\eta_N - \pi^0}{\sqrt{2}} & K^0 \\ K^- & K^0 & \eta_S \end{pmatrix} (\sim \bar{q}_i \gamma_5 q_j)$$

$$\Phi_S = \sum_{i=0}^{8} \sigma_i T_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sigma_N + a_0^0}{\sqrt{2}} & a_0^+ & K_S^+ \\ a_0^- & \frac{\sigma_N - a_0^0}{\sqrt{2}} & K_S^0 \\ K_S^- & K_S^0 & \sigma_S \end{pmatrix} (\sim \bar{q}_i q_j)$$

Particle content:

Pseudoscalars:  $\pi(138), K(495), \eta(548), \eta'(958)$ Scalars:  $a_0(980 \text{ or } 1450), K_0^{\star}(800 \text{ or } 1430), (\sigma_N, \sigma_S) : 2 \text{ of } f_0(500, 980, 1370, 1500, 1710)$ 

#### **Vector- and axialvectormeson nonets**

$$V^{\mu} = \sum_{i=0}^{8} \rho_{i}^{\mu} T_{i} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\omega_{N} + \rho^{0}}{\sqrt{2}} & \rho^{+} & K^{\star +} \\ \rho^{-} & \frac{\omega_{N} - \rho^{0}}{\sqrt{2}} & K^{\star 0} \\ K^{\star -} & \overline{K}^{\star 0} & \omega_{S} \end{pmatrix}^{\mu}$$
$$A_{V}^{\mu} = \sum_{i=0}^{8} b_{i}^{\mu} T_{i} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{f_{1N} + a_{1}^{0}}{\sqrt{2}} & a_{1}^{+} & K_{1}^{+} \\ \frac{a_{1}^{-}}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{f_{1N} - a_{1}^{0}}{\sqrt{2}} & K_{1}^{0} \\ K_{1}^{-} & \overline{K}_{1}^{0} & f_{1S} \end{pmatrix}^{\mu}$$

Particle content: Vector mesons:  $\rho(770), K^*(892), \omega_N = \omega(782), \omega_S = \phi(1020)$ Axial vectors:  $a_1(1230), K_1(1270), f_{1N}(1280), f_{1S}(1426)$ 

#### **Extended linear sigma model (baryon part)**

 $\mathcal{L}_{\text{baryon}} = \text{Tr} \left[ \bar{B} \left( i D - M_{(8)} \right) B \right]$  $- \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ \bar{\Delta}_{\mu} \left[ \left( i D - M_{(10)} \right) g^{\mu\nu} - i \left( \gamma^{\mu} D^{\nu} + \gamma^{\nu} D^{\mu} \right) \gamma^{\mu} \left( i D + M_{(10)} \right) \gamma^{\nu} \right] \Delta_{\nu} \right\}$ +  $C \operatorname{Tr} \left| \bar{\Delta}^{\mu} \cdot \left( -\frac{1}{f} (\partial_{\mu} - ieA^{e}_{\mu}[T_{3}, \Phi]) - \frac{g_{1}}{f} [\Phi, V_{\mu}] + g_{1}A_{\mu} \right) B \right| + h. \text{ c.}$  $- \xi_1 \operatorname{Tr}(\bar{B}B) \operatorname{Tr}(\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi) - \xi_2 \operatorname{Tr}(\bar{B}\{\{\Phi, \Phi^{\dagger}\}, B\}) - \xi_3 \operatorname{Tr}(\bar{B}[\{\Phi, \Phi^{\dagger}\}, B])$  $- \xi_4 \left( \operatorname{Tr} \left( \bar{B} \Phi \right) \operatorname{Tr} \left( \Phi^{\dagger} B \right) + \operatorname{Tr} \left( \bar{B} \Phi^{\dagger} \right) \operatorname{Tr} \left( \Phi B \right) \right) - \xi_5 \operatorname{Tr} \left( \bar{B} \{ [\Phi, \Phi^{\dagger}], B \} \right)$  $- \xi_6 \operatorname{Tr} \left( \bar{B} [ [\Phi, \Phi^{\dagger}], B ] \right) - \xi_7 \left( \operatorname{Tr} \left( \bar{B} \Phi \right) \operatorname{Tr} \left( \Phi^{\dagger} B \right) - \operatorname{Tr} \left( \bar{B} \Phi^{\dagger} \right) \operatorname{Tr} \left( \Phi B \right) \right)$  $-\xi_8 \left( \operatorname{Tr} \left( \bar{B} \Phi B \Phi^{\dagger} \right) - \operatorname{Tr} \left( \bar{B} \Phi^{\dagger} B \Phi \right) \right) + \chi_1 \operatorname{Tr} \left( \bar{\Delta} \cdot \Delta \right) \operatorname{Tr} \left( \Phi^{\dagger} \Phi \right)$  $\chi_2 \operatorname{Tr} \left( (\bar{\Delta} \cdot \Delta) \{ \Phi, \Phi^{\dagger} \} \right) + \chi_3 \operatorname{Tr} \left( (\bar{\Delta} \cdot \Phi) (\Phi^{\dagger} \cdot \Delta) + (\bar{\Delta} \cdot \Phi^{\dagger}) (\Phi \cdot \Delta) \right)$ +  $\chi_4 \operatorname{Tr} \left( (\bar{\Delta} \cdot \Delta) [\Phi, \Phi^{\dagger}] \right)$ 

note: mass terms based on every possible invariants which contains 2 B (or  $\Delta$ ) and  $2 \Phi$  fields  $\longrightarrow$  only blue terms contribute

#### **Baryon octet, decuplet**

$$B = \sqrt{2} \sum_{i=0}^{8} b_a T_a = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Sigma^0 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \Lambda^0 & \Sigma^+ & p \\ \Sigma^- & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Sigma^0 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \Lambda^0 & n \\ -\Xi^- & \Xi^0 & -\frac{2}{\sqrt{2}} \Lambda^0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{split} \Delta_{\mu}^{111} &= \Delta_{\mu}^{++}, \quad \Delta_{\mu}^{112} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \Delta_{\mu}^{+}, \quad \Delta_{\mu}^{122} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \Delta_{\mu}^{0}, \quad \Delta_{\mu}^{222} = \Delta_{\mu}^{-}, \\ \Delta_{\mu}^{113} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \Sigma_{\mu}^{\star+}, \quad \Delta_{\mu}^{123} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \Sigma_{\mu}^{\star0}, \quad \Delta_{\mu}^{223} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \Sigma_{\mu}^{\star-}, \\ \Delta_{\mu}^{133} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \Xi_{\mu}^{\star0}, \quad \Delta_{\mu}^{233} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \Xi_{\mu}^{\star-}, \\ \Delta_{\mu}^{333} &= \Omega_{\mu}^{-} \end{split}$$

#### Particle content:

Octet baryons:  $p/n(938), \Sigma(1193), \Xi(1315), \Lambda(1116)$ Decuplet baryons:  $\Delta(1232), \Sigma^*(1385), \Xi^*(1530), \Omega(1672)$ 

# Spontaneous symmetry breaking and particle mixing

SSB  $\longrightarrow$  through Higgs mechanism generates particle masses  $\longrightarrow$  since vacuum has zero quantum numbers  $\longrightarrow$  only  $\sigma_0, \sigma_8, \sigma_3$  (equivalently  $\sigma_N, \sigma_S, \sigma_3$ ) can have non-zero vev ( $\sigma_3 \longrightarrow$  isospin violation  $\longrightarrow$  neglected)

note: pion/kaon condensates  $\longrightarrow$  even other  $\sigma$ 's have non-zero expectation values ( $\longrightarrow$  parity, charge violation)

shifting with vev in the Lagrangian:  $\sigma_i \rightarrow \sigma_i + \phi_i$  ( $\longrightarrow$  mass generation)

- For (pseudo)scalars this shifting results in particle mixing in the N S sector  $\longrightarrow \sigma_N/\pi_N, \sigma_S/\pi_S$  fields are not mass eigenstates  $\longrightarrow$  orthogonal transformations needed to resolve
- For (axial)vectors —> mixing between different nonets —> resolved by certain field shiftings —> results in: field renormalization constants
- For baryons there is no mixing

## **Tree-level meson masses**

Pseudoscalar mass squares:

$$\begin{split} m_{\pi}^{2} &= Z_{\pi}^{2} \left[ m_{0}^{2} + \Lambda_{N} \Phi_{N}^{2} + \lambda_{1} \Phi_{S}^{2} \right] \\ m_{K}^{2} &= Z_{K}^{2} \left[ m_{0}^{2} + \Lambda_{N} \Phi_{N}^{2} - \frac{\lambda_{2}}{\sqrt{2}} \Phi_{N} \Phi_{S} + \Lambda_{S} \Phi_{S}^{2} \right] \\ m_{\eta_{N}}^{2} &= Z_{\pi}^{2} \left[ m_{0}^{2} + \Lambda_{N} \Phi_{N}^{2} + \lambda_{1} \Phi_{S}^{2} + c_{1} \Phi_{N}^{2} \Phi_{S}^{2} \right] \\ m_{\eta_{S}}^{2} &= Z_{\eta_{S}}^{2} \left[ m_{0}^{2} + \lambda_{1} \Phi_{N}^{2} + \Lambda_{s} \Phi_{S}^{2} + \frac{c_{1}}{4} \Phi_{N}^{4} \right] \\ m_{\eta_{NS}}^{2} &= Z_{\pi} Z_{\pi_{S}} \frac{c_{1}}{2} \Phi_{N}^{3} \Phi_{S} \end{split}$$

Scalar mass squares:

$$\begin{split} m_{a_0}^2 &= m_0^2 + \Lambda'_N \Phi_N^2 + \lambda_1 \Phi_S^2 \\ m_{K_S}^2 &= Z_{K_S}^2 \left[ m_0^2 + \Lambda_N \Phi_N^2 + \frac{\lambda_2}{\sqrt{2}} \Phi_N \Phi_S + \Lambda_S \Phi_S^2 \right] \\ m_{\sigma_N}^2 &= m_0^2 + 3\Lambda_N \Phi_N^2 + \lambda_1 \Phi_S^2 \\ m_{\sigma_S}^2 &= m_0^2 + \lambda_1 \Phi_N^2 + 3\Lambda_s \Phi_S^2 \\ n_{\sigma_NS}^2 &= 2\lambda_1 \Phi_N \Phi_S \end{split}$$

Mass square eigenvalues for  $\sigma$  and  $\pi$  in the N-S sector

$$m_{f_0^{\prime}/f_0^{I}}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left[ m_{\sigma_N}^2 + m_{\sigma_S}^2 \pm \sqrt{(m_{\sigma_N}^2 - m_{\sigma_S}^2)^2 + 4m_{\sigma_{NS}}^2} \right]$$
$$m_{\eta^{\prime}/\eta}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left[ m_{\eta_N}^2 + m_{\eta_S}^2 \pm \sqrt{(m_{\eta_N}^2 - m_{\eta_S}^2)^2 + 4m_{\eta_{NS}}^2} \right]$$

Vector mass squares:

$$m_{\rho}^{2} = m_{1}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}(h_{1} + h_{2} + h_{3})\Phi_{N}^{2} + \frac{h_{1}}{2}\Phi_{S}^{2} + 2\delta_{N}$$

$$m_{K^{\star}}^{2} = m_{1}^{2} + H_{N}\Phi_{N}^{2} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Phi_{N}\Phi_{S}(h_{3} - g_{1}^{2}) + H_{S}\Phi_{S}^{2} + \delta_{N} + \delta_{S}$$

$$m_{\omega_{N}}^{2} = m_{\rho}^{2}$$

$$m_{\omega_{S}}^{2} = m_{1}^{2} + \frac{h_{1}}{2}\Phi_{N}^{2} + \left(\frac{h_{1}}{2} + h_{2} + h_{3}\right)\Phi_{S}^{2} + 2\delta_{S}$$

Axialvector meson mass squares:

$$\begin{split} m_{a_1}^2 &= m_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} (2g_1^2 + h_1 + h_2 - h_3) \Phi_N^2 + \frac{h_1}{2} \Phi_S^2 + 2\delta_N \\ m_{K_1}^2 &= m_1^2 + H_N \Phi_N^2 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Phi_N \Phi_S (h_3 - g_1^2) + H_S \Phi_S^2 + \delta_N + \delta_S \\ m_{f_{1N}}^2 &= m_{a_1}^2 \\ m_{f_{1S}}^2 &= m_1^2 + \frac{h_1}{2} \Phi_N^2 + \left( 2g_1^2 + \frac{h_1}{2} + h_2 - h_3 \right) \Phi_S^2 + 2\delta_S \end{split}$$

# **Tree-level baryon masses**

Octet masses:

$$m_{p} = m_{n} = \frac{1}{2}\xi_{1}(\Phi_{N}^{2} + \Phi_{S}^{2}) + \frac{1}{2}\xi_{2}(\Phi_{N}^{2} + 2\Phi_{S}^{2}) + \frac{1}{2}\xi_{3}(\Phi_{N}^{2} - 2\Phi_{S}^{2})$$

$$m_{\Xi} = \frac{1}{2}\xi_{1}(\Phi_{N}^{2} + \Phi_{S}^{2}) + \frac{1}{2}\xi_{2}(\Phi_{N}^{2} + 2\Phi_{S}^{2}) - \frac{1}{2}\xi_{3}(\Phi_{N}^{2} - 2\Phi_{S}^{2})$$

$$m_{\Sigma} = \frac{1}{2}\xi_{1}(\Phi_{N}^{2} + \Phi_{S}^{2}) + \xi_{2}\Phi_{N}^{2}$$

$$m_{\Lambda} = \frac{1}{2}\xi_{1}(\Phi_{N}^{2} + \Phi_{S}^{2}) + \frac{1}{3}\xi_{2}(\Phi_{N}^{2} + 4\Phi_{S}^{2}) + \frac{1}{3}\xi_{4}(\Phi_{N} - \sqrt{2}\Phi_{S})^{2}$$

Decuplet masses:

$$\begin{split} m_{\Delta} &= \frac{1}{2} \chi_1 (\Phi_N^2 + \Phi_S^2) + \frac{1}{2} \chi_2 \Phi_N^2 \\ m_{\Sigma^{\star}} &= \left( \frac{1}{2} \chi_1 + \frac{1}{3} \chi_2 \right) (\Phi_N^2 + \Phi_S^2) + \frac{1}{6} \chi_3 (\Phi_N - \sqrt{2} \Phi_S)^2 \\ m_{\Xi^{\star}} &= \frac{1}{2} \chi_1 (\Phi_N^2 + \Phi_S^2) + \frac{1}{6} \chi_2 (\Phi_N^2 + 4 \Phi_S^2) + \frac{1}{6} \chi_3 (\Phi_N - \sqrt{2} \Phi_S)^2 \\ m_{\Omega} &= \frac{1}{2} \chi_1 (\Phi_N^2 + \Phi_S^2) + \chi_2 \Phi_N^2 \end{split}$$

# **Decay widths**

For a  $A \rightarrow BC$  decay process the decay with is:

$$\Gamma_{A \to BC} = \frac{k_A}{8\pi m_A^2} \left| \mathcal{M}_{A \to BC} \right|^2$$

 $k_A \longrightarrow$  three momentum of the produced particles in the rest frame of  $A \mathcal{M}_{A \to BC} \longrightarrow$  transition matrix element

• If A vector meson and  $C = B^{\dagger}$  (pseudo)scalar meson:  $|\mathcal{M}_{A\to BB^{\dagger}}|^2 = \frac{4}{3}k_A^2V_{\mu}V^{\mu\star}$ 

 $V_{\mu} \longrightarrow$  vertex function directly followed from the three-coupling terms of  $\mathcal{L}$ 

• If A vector meson, B scalar meson and  $C = \gamma$  (photon):

$$|\mathcal{M}_{A\to B\gamma}|^2 = \frac{1}{3} \left( g^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{k_A^{\alpha}k_A^{\beta}}{m_A^2} \right) V_{\alpha\alpha'} V_{\beta}^{\star\alpha'}$$

• If A vectorspinor B pseudoscalar and C spinor:

$$|\mathcal{M}_{A\to BC}|^2 = \frac{2}{3}|G|^2k_A^2m_A(m_C + E_C)$$

where the vertex function from the Lagrangian  $V^{\mu} = iGk_{B}^{\mu}$ 

#### Some decay widths

• The  $\rho \rightarrow \pi \pi$  decay width:

$$\Gamma_{\rho \to \pi\pi} = \frac{m_{\rho}^5}{48\pi m_{a_1}^4} \left[ 1 - \left(\frac{2m_{\pi}}{m_{\rho}}\right)^2 \right]^{3/2} \left[ g_1 Z_{\pi}^2 - \frac{g_2}{2} \left( Z_{\pi}^2 - 1 \right) \right]^2$$

The experimental value from the PDG:  $\Gamma_{\rho \to \pi\pi}^{(exp)} = (149.1 \pm 0.8) \text{ MeV}$ 

• The  $a_1 \rightarrow \pi \gamma$  decay width:

$$\Gamma_{a_1 \to \pi\gamma} = \frac{e^2 g_1^2 \Phi_N^2}{96\pi m_{a_1}} Z_\pi^2 \left[ 1 - \left(\frac{m_\pi}{m_{a_1}}\right)^2 \right]^3$$

The experimental value:  $\Gamma_{a_1 \to \pi\gamma}^{(exp)} = (0.640 \pm 0.246) \text{ MeV}$ 

• The  $\Delta \rightarrow \pi p$  decay width:

$$\Gamma_{\Delta \to \pi p} = \frac{k_{\Delta}^3}{24m_{\Delta}} (m_p + E_p) C^2 Z_{\pi}^2 (\frac{1}{f^2} + g_1^2 w_{a_1}^2)$$

The experimental value:  $\Gamma^{(exp)}_{\Delta \to \pi p} \approx 110 \text{ MeV}$ 

# **Parametrization: general considerations**

In order to make predictions  $\longrightarrow$  unknown constants of the model must be determined

 $\implies$  choose a set of (well known) physical quantities/conditions for fitting procedure

For instance:

- PartiallyConservedAxialCurrent  $\longrightarrow$  fix the condensates (2 parameter)
- Particle masses (which can be compared with PDG)
- Decay widths (which can be compared with PDG)

Finding a good parameter set  $\longrightarrow$  non-trivial task (usually there are lots of solutions, but non of them is perfect)

The parameters are determined in several steps (first: mesons without  $f_0$ , second:  $f_0$  mesons, third: baryons)

## **Parametrization**

21 unknown parameters  $\longrightarrow$  Determined by the minimalization of the  $\chi^2$ :

$$\chi^2(x_1,\ldots,x_N) = \sum_{i=1}^M \left[ \frac{Q_i(x_1,\ldots,x_N) - Q_i^{\mathsf{exp}}}{\delta Q_i^{\mathsf{exp}}} \right]^2,$$

where  $(x_1, \ldots, x_N) = (m_0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots)$ ,  $Q_i(x_1, \ldots, x_N)$  calculated from the model, while  $Q_i^{exp} \pm \delta Q_i^{exp}$  taken from the PDG multiparametric minimalization  $\longrightarrow$  MINUIT

- PCAC  $\rightarrow 2$  physical quantities:  $f_{\pi}, f_{K}$
- Tree-level masses  $\rightarrow 22$  physical quantities:

Solve mesons:  $m_{\pi}, m_{\eta}, m_{\eta'}, m_{K}, m_{\rho}, m_{\Phi}, m_{K^{\star}}, m_{a_{1}}, m_{f_{1}^{H}}, m_{K_{1}}, m_{a_{0}}, m_{K_{s}},$   $m_{f_{0}^{L}}, m_{f_{0}^{H}}$ Solve baryons:  $m_{p}, m_{\Xi}, m_{\Sigma}, m_{\Lambda}, m_{\Delta}, m_{\Sigma^{\star}}, m_{\Xi^{\star}}, m_{\Omega}$ 

• Decay widths  $\rightarrow 16$  physical quantities:

# **Results**

• First run  $\rightarrow$  which pairs of  $a_0, K_0^{\star}$  give acceptable fits

 $f_0$  mesons were left out  $\rightarrow$  their properties are very uncertain (Different analyses give different results)

13 parameters to fit 28 measured quantities

Pair	$\chi^2$	$\chi^2_{red}$
$a_0(1450)/K_0^{\star}(1430)$	12.33	1.23
$a_0(980)/K_0^{\star}(800)$	129.36	11.76
$a_0(980)/K_0^{\star}(1430)$	22.00	2.00
$a_0(1450)/K_0^{\star}(800)$	242.27	24.23

The best  $\chi^2$  is given by the pair:  $a_0(1450), K_0^{\star}(1430)$ 

- Second run  $\rightarrow$  which pair of  $f_0$ 's gives a better fit Detailed analysis shows  $\rightarrow (f_0(1370), f_0(1710))$  are favored
- Third run → Describe the baryon octet and decuplet masses and decuplet decays → +8 parameters for +12 physical quantities
   → results in a good description of the baryons

Observable	Fit [MeV]	Experiment [MeV]
$f_{\pi}$	$96.3 \pm 0.7$	$92.2 \pm 4.6$
$f_K$	$106.9 \pm 0.6$	$110.4\pm5.5$
$m_{\pi}$	$141.0 \pm 5.8$	$137.3\pm6.9$
$m_K$	$485.6 \pm 3.0$	$495.6 \pm 24.8$
$m_\eta$	$509.4 \pm 3.0$	$547.9 \pm 27.4$
$m_{\eta'}$	$962.5 \pm 5.6$	$957.8 \pm 47.9$
$m_{ ho}$	$783.1 \pm 7.0$	$775.5\pm38.8$
$m_{K^{\star}}$	$885.1 \pm 6.3$	$893.8 \pm 44.7$
$m_{\phi}$	$975.1 \pm 6.4$	$1019.5 \pm 51.0$
$m_{a_1}$	$1186 \pm 6$	$1230 \pm 62$
$m_{f_1(1420)}$	$1372.5 \pm 5.3$	$1426.4 \pm 71.3$
$m_{a_0}$	$1363 \pm 1$	$1474\pm74$
$m_{K_0^\star}$	$1450 \pm 1$	$1425 \pm 71$
$\Gamma_{\rho \to \pi\pi}$	$160.9 \pm 4.4$	$149.1 \pm 7.4$
$\Gamma_{K^{\star} \to K\pi}$	$44.6 \pm 1.9$	$46.2 \pm 2.3$
$\Gamma_{\phi \to \bar{K}K}$	$3.34 \pm 0.14$	$3.54\pm0.18$
$\Gamma_{a_1 \to \rho \pi}$	$549 \pm 43$	$425 \pm 175$
$\Gamma_{a_1 \to \pi \gamma}$	$0.66 \pm 0.01$	$0.64 \pm 0.25$
$\Gamma_{f_1(1420)\to K^\star K}$	$44.6 \pm 39.9$	$43.9 \pm 2.2$
$\Gamma_{a_0}$	$266 \pm 12$	$265 \pm 13$
$\Gamma_{K_0^{\star} \to K\pi}$	$285 \pm 12$	$270 \pm 80$

Observable	Fit [MeV]	$\chi^2$	Experiment [MeV]
$m_p$	939.0	0.0	$939.0 \pm 47.0$
$m_{\Lambda}$	1116.0	0.0	$1116.0 \pm 55.8$
$m_{\Sigma}$	1193.0	0.0	$1193.0 \pm 59.7$
$m_{\Xi}$	1318.0	0.0	$1318.0 \pm 65.9$
$m_\Delta$	1231.9	$5.0\cdot 10^{-6}$	$1232.0 \pm 61.6$
$m_{\Sigma^{\star}}$	1385.5	$6.1 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$1385.0 \pm 69.3$
$m_{\Xi^{\star}}$	1532.3	$7.4\cdot 10^{-5}$	$1533.0 \pm 76.7$
$m_\Omega$	1672.3	$1.0\cdot 10^{-5}$	$1672.0 \pm 83.6$
$\Gamma_{\Delta \to p\pi}$	67.3	15.1	$110.0 \pm 11.0$
$\Gamma_{\Sigma^{\star} \to \Lambda \pi}$	27.0	2.4	$32.0 \pm 3.2$
$\Gamma_{\Sigma^{\star} \to \Sigma \pi}$	4.9	2.0	$4.3 \pm 0.4$
$\Gamma_{\Xi^{\star} \to \Xi \pi}$	11.2	3.1	$9.5 \pm 1.0$

- 4 octet masses with 4 parameter  $\rightarrow$  perfect fit (not so surprising)
- 4 decuplet masses with 3 parameters → perfect fit (more surprising)
- 4 decuplet decays with 1 parameter (their ratios are purely kinematical)  $\longrightarrow$  acceptable fit

## **Comparison of theory and experiment for observables**



More detail in: Phys. Rev. D 87, 014011 (2013), [arXiv:1208.0585 [hep-ph]]

 $(f_0(1370), f_0(1500), f_0(1710))$ : mixing of glueball and the 2 scalar nonet states  $(f_0(500), f_0(980))$ : ? molecular states, tetraquark

# Summary

- Vacuum phenomenology was presented within the framework of an extended linear sigma model with the lowest lying particle multiplets including scalars, pseudoscalars, vectors, axialvectors, octet baryons, and decuplet baryons
- We used multiparametric  $\chi^2$  minimalization for the determination of Lagrangian parameters
- In the meson sector we found that the  $a_0(q\bar{q})$  must be assigned to  $a_0(1450)$ , while the  $K_S(q\bar{q})$  to  $K_0^{\star}(1430)$ , while the two  $f_0(q\bar{q})$  should be assigned to  $f_0(1370)$  and  $f_0(1710)$
- In the baryon sector we see that the octet and decuplet masses can be described with extremely good precision, while the decuplet decay width with acceptable precision
- The establishment of the vacuum phenomenology gives a good background to future finite temperature/density investigations