#### Feasibility Studies for Di-Electron Spectroscopy with CBM

**Cornelius Feier-Riesen** 

Justus-Liebig-Universität Gießen



# Motivation

[https://theory.gsi.de/~friman/trento\_06.html]



- CBM: Compressed Baryonic Matter
- Explore QCD phase diagram at high densities and moderate temperatures
- Di-lepton spectroscopy: determine temperature and lifetime of fireball

# Motivation

- Excess yield in LMR → fireball lifetime: extra radiation due to latent heat around PT (& CEP?)?
- Invariant mass slope (LMR & IMR) → flattening of caloric curve due to PT ?



#### A rise in the excess yield and constancy in Temperature would be an indication of a 1<sup>st</sup> order phase transistion.

# Track Selection for Di-Electron Analysis at CBM

[Peter Senger, CBM Collaboration Meeting; Mar 24]



Problems we have to deal with:

- Many π<sup>0</sup> are created, decaying into 2γ or γe+e-
- A lot of material is deposited before the RICH
  - $\rightarrow$  enhancement of  $\gamma$  conversion and secondary electrons
- Secondaries are seen in RICH and TRD and can easily be matched to tracks of charged pions
- Suppression of background is difficult → good tracking is essential for background suppression

#### Track Selection for Di-Electron Analysis at CBM



Sequence of Analysis cuts used for Di-Electron Analysis.

#### Performance



- Efficiency for electrons (in geometrical acceptance) to be reconstructed in all detectors ε ≈ 80 %
- Pion suppression  $\approx$  15000 ( $S_{\pi} = \frac{\text{#reconstructed pions}}{\text{# rec. pions that passed El-ID step}}$
- Signal-to-Background ratio S/BG ≈ 1/100

#### **Current Status of Di-Electron Analysis**



- Contributions to the background are dominated by physical background, i.e. electrons from γ conversion and π<sup>0</sup> decay
- Also misidentified pions form a large part of the background

#### **Current Status of Di-Electron Analysis**



- Ratio S/BG  $\approx$  1/100  $\implies$  not possible to extract signal by means of e.g. see any peaks in  $N_{same}^{\pm}$  (= B<sub>MC</sub> + MC Cocktail) spectrum.
- Estimate background with mathematical expression (CB<sub>calc</sub>, calculated combinatorial background) and subtract it from total e+e- spectra.

$$CB_{calc} = 2 \ k \sqrt{B^{++}B^{--}}, \quad B^{++} = e^+e^+$$
 yields from same events  
 $k = \frac{b^{\pm}}{2 \sqrt{b^{++}b^{--}}}, \qquad b^{++} = e^+e^+$  yields from mixed events

- Problem in our simulations:
  - Two large numbers are subtracted to get a small number as result
  - not sufficient statistics (5x10<sup>6</sup> events)
    - → large fluctuations in calculated signal

#### **Current Status of Di-Electron Analysis**



- → Large fluctuations in calculated signal
- Result does not reflect the true signal
- Idea: Enhance statistics by implementing Fast Simulation techniques (Random Events) to enable large statistics for constructing a background with small fluctuations

# Implementation of a Fast Simulation (Random Event) Procedure

- Fast Simulations are based generally on approximations of geometry / models and parametrisations of outputs.
- Here: use output of "Slow Simulations" as basis to create large numbers of randomly generated events (via using GetRandom ()), seperately for each particle or charge
- Which parameters are needed to construct the background?

$$M_{\rm ee} = 2\sqrt{P_+ \cdot P_-} \cdot \sin\left(\frac{\vartheta}{2}\right)$$

→ Hence, only two parameters are needed:

- Multiplicity: Occurence of a particle per event after electronidentification
- **3D Momentum distribution of that particle**



[Andreas Salzburger: Fast Simulation in ATLAS; talk; 2013]



Cornelius Feier-Riesen

# Implementation of a Fast Simulation (Random Event) Procedure



Conventional Background  $B_{MC}$  with a statistics of  $5x10^6$  compared to the background obtained by Random Event Techniques ( $B_{RE}$ ) with a statistics of  $20x10^9$  events.

#### Result



Signal (red), calculated by subtracting the Calculated Combinatorial Background (CB<sub>calc</sub>) from the e+e- yield, obtained by Random Event techniques (left) and conventional methods (right), in comparison to the MC cocktail (blue).

#### **Reduced Signal**



Right: "Reduced" signal: Obtained signal minus electrons from known sources ( $\pi^0$ ,  $\eta_D$ ,  $\omega$ ,  $\omega_D$ ,  $\phi$ ; red). Remain should only electrons from in-medium rho ( $\rho_{i.m.}$ ) and QGP. In blue: MC input  $\rho_{i.m.}$ + QGP. From comparison with NN references at masses below 1 Gev/c<sup>2</sup> the excess yield can be determined.

# Temperature of the Medium



**Reduced Signal with temperature fit.** 

if  $M \gg T$ :

( -

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}N}{\mathrm{d}M} \propto (MT)^{3/2} \exp(-M/T)$$

- Acceptance and efficiency corrections are not applied yet in these results (bias  $\approx$  8 MeV).
- Location of data points is corrected due to asymmetric bin population to get true fit results <sup>1)</sup>

<sup>1)</sup> G.D. Lafferty, T.R. Wyatt: "Where to stick your data points: The treatment of measurements within wide bins". Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment, Volume 355, Issues 2–3, 1995, Pages 541-547, ISSN 0168-9002, https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-9002(94)01112-5.

# **Statistical Errors**



 Statistical errors calculated for quick energy scan at first year of CBM running (4-5 days beam each for 5-6 energies with moderate rate)

In last bin (1.5-2.5 GeV/c<sup>2</sup>):

 $\frac{S}{N} \approx \frac{1}{300}$   $N \approx 60,000^{1)} \rightarrow \Delta N = \sqrt{N} = 245$   $S = N - CB_{calc} \rightarrow \Delta S \approx \Delta N^{2} = 245 \approx S = 200$ 

 Ratio S/BG will be improved: e.g. MVD not included here yet (reduce contributions of γ and π<sup>0</sup>); better rejecting of pions and protons; etc.

Calculated signal with statistical error bars. In the IMR, the size of the error is about the size of the signal itself.

<sup>1)</sup> for full statistics of 20x10<sup>9</sup> events

<sup>2)</sup>  $\Delta CB_{calc} \ll \Delta S$  because of any wanted mixing depth

# Summary and Outlook

- Feasibility for Di-Electron measurement is shown
- Fast Simulation procedures were developed to enable realistic studies
- Suppression of background is difficult; improvements are ongoing and we are on well that way
- First year planned for quick energy scan; Thorough measurements for each energy will follow

Thank you for your attention!

Thanks to: Claudia Höhne, Jan-Hendrik Otto, Marten Becker