







Wen-Te Liao



Christoph H. Keitel



EMMI Workshop, 26th Sept 2012

sumanta.das@mpi-hd.mpg.de



Quest for a Nuclear Frequency standard

Why ??

Better accuracy and stability

Minimum perturbation - thus easier interrogation



C. J. Cambell, A. G. Radnaev and A. Kuzmich, PRL 106, 223001 (2011).









lpha - background

Possible Solution

Measuring the signal in the forward direction





Possible Solution

Measuring the signal in the forward direction



Coherent scattering in the forward direction leads enhancement of linewidth But what about measuring the transition energy ?



Measuring the Transition energy





Kernphysik FÜR MAX-PLANCK-INSTITU



energy with high accuracy



Coherent Forward Scattering - ⁵⁷Fe the perfect testbed

Coherent Forward Scattering and enhancement of line-width in ²²⁹Th

Measurement of Isomeric transition energy using coherent enhancement of line-width





ERNPHYSIK \simeq MAX-PLANCK-INSTIT





Semi-classical approach



M. D. Crisp, PRA. 1, 1604 (1970)
Yu. Shvyd'ko, et. al, PRB. 59, 9132 (1999)
U. van Brück, Hyperfine Interact. 123, 483 (1999)
R. Röhlsberger, Book, Springer-Verlag (2004)
W.-T. Liao, A. Pálffy, C. H. Keitel, arXiv:1205.5503v1 (2012)

ERNPHYSIK ANCK-INSTITU



In presence of magnetic field





²²⁹Th:LiCaAIF₆

 $^{229}Th:CaF_2$

Transparent around the probable isomeric wavelength ~ 160 nm

High doping density of Thorium ~ 10¹⁸-10¹⁹ /cm³ achievable

Electronic band gap of 10 eV, no internal conversion

 229 Th in the crystal lattice confined to Lamb-Dicke regime, Lamb-Mossbauer factor ~ 1

W.G. Rellergert et. a. PRL 104, 200802 (2010) G. A. Kazakov et. al. NJP 14, 083019 (2012)



Shifts and Broadening

Spontaneous line-width of isomeric transition ~ 0.1 mHz

Temperature dependent shifts due to electric monopole interaction ~ 10 kHz/K

Temperature dependent shifts due to 2nd order doppler effect \sim 70 Hz (77 k)

Temperature dependent inhomogeneous broadening due to 2nd order Doppler effect ~ 70 Hz (77k)

Inhomogeneous broadening due to magnetic dipole interaction ~ Few hundred Hz

Homogeneous broadening ??

W.G. Rellergert et. a. PRL 104, 200802 (2010)
C. J. Cambell, A. G. Radnaev and A. Kuzmich, PRL 106, 223001 (2011)
G. A. Kazakov et. al. NJP 14, 083019 (2012)



Coherent Line-broadening in ²²⁹Th

Why ??

High density of Thorium ~ 10¹⁸-10¹⁹ /cm³

²²⁹Th in the crystal lattice confined to Lamb-Dicke regime

Mossbauer like transition - no recoil

Narrow line-width, weak coupling - favourable condition for formation of exciton

Coherent forward scattering same as Fe can be used

How much is the coherent broadening ?

W.G. Rellergert et. a. PRL 104, 200802 (2010)C. J. Cambell, A. G. Radnaev and A. Kuzmich, PRL 106, 223001 (2011)G. A. Kazakov et. al. NJP 14, 083019 (2012)





E. V. Tkalya, PRL 106, 162501 (2011)G. A. Kazakov et. al. NJP 14, 083019 (2012)



Coherent Line-broadening in ²²⁹Th

$$I(\tau) = \mathcal{E}_0^2 \xi e^{-\tau} [J_1(\sqrt{4\xi\tau})]^2 / \tau \qquad \tau = \Gamma t$$

Effective resonance thickness $\xi = \frac{1}{4}\sigma NL$

Nuclear resonance cross-section

$$\sigma = 2\pi \frac{2I_e + 1}{2I_g + 1} \left(\frac{\hbar c}{E_n}\right) \frac{1}{1 + \alpha} f_{LM}$$

Immediately after excitation $I(\tau) = \mathcal{E}_0^2 \xi^2 e^{-(\xi+1)\tau}$ $t < 1/(\xi\Gamma)$

Coherent enhancement of decay rate by a factor ξ







Utilization of line-broadening towards determination of the isomeric transition energy



- IIII.		
¥	$\left \frac{3}{2}\right $	$\left \frac{3}{2}\right\rangle$
Kernphys	$\Delta_c = \Delta$	$\Delta_p = \Delta$
ITUT FÜR]	Ω_c	Ω_p
ANCK-INST	$\left \frac{5}{2},\frac{3}{2}\right\rangle$	$\left \frac{5}{2},\frac{5}{2}\right\rangle$
MAX-PI	Maxwell - F	Bloch Equation

Two field spectroscopy

Strong couple, weak probe

Autler-Townes Splitting

Splitting induced Quantum beats

Maxwell - Bloch Equations

$$\frac{1}{c}\partial_t\Omega_p + \partial_y\Omega_p = i\eta a_{31}\rho_{31}$$

Poster by Wen-Te Liao, EMMI Worshop

$$\eta = \frac{\Gamma\xi}{2L}$$



Clebsch - Gordon co-efficients of allowed transition

$$(a_{31}, a_{32}) = (\sqrt{2/3}, -2/\sqrt{15})$$

Relaxation and decoherence rates

$$(\gamma_{31}, \gamma_{32}, \gamma_{21}) = 2\pi \times (251, 108, 30) Hz$$

Rabi Frequency



$$\Omega_{p(c)} = \frac{4\sqrt{\pi}}{\hbar} \left[\frac{I_{p(c)}^{eff}(L+1)B(\mu L)}{c\epsilon_0 L} \right]^{1/2} \frac{k_{31(2)}^{L-1}}{(2L+1)!!} Exp[\frac{-n\tau}{\sqrt{2}T}]$$

n = 1 for probe, = 0 for control

 $T = 10 \mu s$

Wen-Te Liao, S. Das, A. Palffy and C. H. Keitel, arxiv: 1210.3611 (2012) G. A. Kazakov et. al. NJP 14, 083019 (2012), Poster by Wen-Te Liao, EMMI Worshop







Conclusions

Coherent scattering from Th-ensemble in forward direction leads to faster decay - in ms time scale

Forward direction suitable for signal measurement, high signal to background ratio, more signal collection in a time interval

NFS time spectra of the probe in a couple-probe scheme gives quantum beat - a clear signature of isomeric transition

Energy of the isomeric transition can be evaluated to an accuracy of 10Hz by fitting the detuning dependent measured NFS time spectra with theory

Thanks for your interest