

Thermodynamics of the fireball formed in ultra-relativistic nuclear collisions

- Introductory remarks – is quark matter at LHC in equilibrium?
- Energy dependence of hadron production and the quark-hadron phase boundary
- The fireball expands and flows collectively
- The initial temperature of the fireball


FIAS-Frankfurt

3



EMMI workshop „QGP meets Cold Atoms-Episode III“
Hirschegg, Austria
Aug. 28, 2012

Evolution of the Early Universe




QCD Phase Boundary

Homogeneous Universe in Equilibrium, this matter can only be investigated in nuclear collisions

- Charge neutrality
- Net lepton number = net baryon number
- Constant entropy/baryon

neutrinos decouple and light nuclei begin to be formed

The Space-Time Evolution of a Relativistic Nuclear Collision



CGC


Initial Singularity

Glasma

sQGP

Hadron Gas


Hot fireball, equilibrated matter



one possible view
(courtesy
Larry McLerran)

Charged particle multiplicity in central PbPb collisions


analysis based on Silicon pixel detector tracklets within $\eta < 0.5$
 corrected for acceptance, tracklet efficiency and random comb. background



increase with beam energy significantly steeper than in pp

Complete angular (pseudo-rapidity) distributions

complete angular distr.
between 1 and 179 deg



ALI-PREL-37241

- Statistical model analysis of (u,d,s) hadron production: a test of equilibration of quark matter near the phase boundary
- No (strangeness) equilibration in hadronic phase
- Present understanding: multi-hadron collisions near phase boundary bring hadrons close to equilibrium – supported by success of statistical model analysis pbm, Stachel, Wetterich, Phys.Lett. B596 (2004) 61-69
- This implies little energy dependence above RHIC energy
- Analysis of hadron production → determination of T_c

Is this picture also supported by LHC data?

Parameterization of all freeze-out points before LHC

note: establishment of limiting temperature


$$T_{\text{lim}} = 164 \pm 4 \text{ MeV}$$

get T and μ_B for all energies



for LHC predictions we picked $T = 164 \text{ MeV}$

A. Andronic, pbm, J. Stachel,
Nucl. Phys. A772 (2006) 167
nucl-th/0511071

data



overall systematics, including ALICE data, on proton/pion and kaon/pion ratios



Important note: corrections for weak decays

All ALICE data do not contain hadrons from weak decays of hyperons and strange mesons – correction done in hardware via ITS inner tracker

The RHIC data contain varying degrees of such weak decay hadrons. This was on average corrected for in previous analyses.

In light of high precision LHC data the corrections done at RHIC need to be revisited.

Re-evaluation of fits at RHIC energies – special emphasis on corrections for weak decays


Note: corrections for protons and pions from weak decays of hyperons depend in detail on experimental conditions

RHIC hadron data all measured without application of Si vertex detectors



In the following, corrections were applied as specified by the different RHIC experiments

Au+Au central at 200 GeV, all experiments combined

T = 162 MeV



RHIC lower energies, STAR data alone




reasonable fits, $T = 160 - 164$ MeV

now new ALICE data at LHC energy arXiv:1208.1974 [hep-ex]

rather poor fit,
low $T = 152$ MeV,
all hyperons
underestimated


is there no equilibrium
near T_c ?



fitting the data without protons and antiprotons

good fit, $T = 164$ MeV

is there a proton anomaly?



could it be weak decays from charm?



weak decays from charmed hadrons are included in the ALICE data sample

at LHC energy, cross sections for charm hadrons is increased by more than an order of magnitude compared to RHC

first results including charm and beauty hadrons indicate changes of less than 3%, mostly for kaons

not likely an explanation

overall systematics, including ALICE data, on proton/pion and kaon/pion ratios



Summary, light flavors (i)



- with more precision data, differences to thermal model 'predictions begin to appear, especially for protons and hyperons. Note that data precision at LHC is about 6% including systematic and statistical errors.
- at RHIC energies, differences of data for different experiments are of the order of the observed deviations, fits including weak decay corrections yield T close to 160 MeV and good χ^2
- all thermal model fits at RHIC energies closely follow the systematics established previously
- fits to ALICE data are poor and yield anomalously low T (152 MeV)
- fits to ALICE data excluding protons are excellent and yield $T \approx 164$ MeV

Summary, light flavors (ii)

- one scenario: flavor chemistry of QGP matter at LHC is established close to T_c as at RHIC but protons and anti-protons are anomalous
- maybe result of annihilation in hadronic phase close to T_c
- modelling annihilation in hadronic phase needs detailed balance (Rapp and Shuryak, Phys.Rev.Lett. 86 (2001) 2980-2983)
- what is the role of the 'quasi-mixed phase' and the asymmetry between protons and hyperons?
- what is the role of the 2x longer QGP lifetime at LHC energy compared to that at RHIC?
- simultaneous description of protons and all hyperons is required to settle the issue - **a challenge to theory**

hydrodynamic expansion of fireball


Lesson from RHIC: fireball expands collectively like an ideal fluid



$$dN/d\phi = 1 + 2 V_2 \cos 2 (\phi - \psi) + \dots$$

hydrodynamic flow characterized by azimuthal anisotropy coefficient v_2 + higher orders, sensitivity to η/s

Elliptic Flow in PbPb Collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV




rapidly rising v_2 with p_t and mass ordering are typical features of hydrodyn. expansion
nearly ideal (non-dissipative) hydrodynamics reproduces data,
system fairly strongly coupled

Is there valence quark scaling?


significant scaling violations at LHC energy

this is not a signal for partonic collectivity



The 2-particle correlation function – higher moments

ALICE, PRL 107 (2011) 032301



measurement of the first 8 harmonic coefficients


v_1 - v_5 significantly larger than 0, maximum at v_3

current understanding: higher harmonics (3,4,5,...) are due to initial inhomogeneities caused by granularity of binary parton-parton collisions

Analogy with early universe power spectrum of CMB



Propagation of sound in the quark-gluon plasma

Staig & Shuryak arXiv:1109.6633



- hydrodynamics describes even small perturbations of exploding fireball
 - sensitivity to ratio shear viscosity/entropy density and to expansion velocity

ALICE, PRL 107 (2011) 032301



Introducing initial quantum fluctuations into calculation


B. Schenke, QM2012

Given the initial energy density distribution we solve

$$\partial_\mu T^{\mu\nu} = 0$$

$$T^{\mu\nu} = (\epsilon + P)u^\mu u^\nu - P g^{\mu\nu} + \pi^{\mu\nu}$$

using only shear viscosity: $\pi_\mu^\mu = 0$





Note: alternate
means to
determine η/s

Energy density B.Schenke, P.Tribedy, R.Venugopalan, Phys.Rev.Lett. 108, 252301 (2012)



Solve for gauge fields after the collision in the forward lightcone

Compute energy density in the fields at $\tau = 0$ and later times with CYM evolution

Lattice: Krasnitz, Venugopalan, Nucl.Phys. B557 (1999) 237





Quantitative description of ATLAS and ALICE data



Experimental data:
 ATLAS collaboration, Phys. Rev. C 86, 014907 (2012)
 ALICE collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 032301 (2011)



Hydro evolution
 MUSIC



calc.: B. Schenke et al., QM2012, $\eta/s = 0.2$

Excellent description of event-by-event flow fluctuations

B. Schenke et al., QM2012



Determination of η/s of fireball


Model-independent determination of η/s still outstanding

Current best limits: $0.07 < \eta/s < 0.43$

Luzum and Ollitrault, QM2012

Measurement of the fireball temperature via photon emission


Photons and neutral mesons measured via the conversion method in the ALICE TPC, see, .e.g, M. Wilde (ALICE coll.) QM2012




method

- Direct Photon Signal: $\gamma_{direct} = \gamma_{inc} - \gamma_{decay} = \left(1 - \frac{\gamma_{decay}}{\gamma_{inc}}\right) \cdot \gamma_{inc}$
- Double Ratio: $\frac{\gamma_{inc}}{\pi^0} / \frac{\gamma_{decay}}{\pi^0_{param}} \approx \frac{\gamma_{inc}}{\gamma_{decay}}$ if > 1 direct photon signal
→ cancellation of uncertainties
- **Numerator**: Inclusive γ spectrum per π^0
- **Denominator**: Sum of all decay photons per π^0
Decay photons are obtained by a cocktail calculation
- Photons and π^0 s are measured via conversion method
 $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma + \gamma, \gamma \rightarrow e^+e^-$

Inclusive photon measurement in Pb-Pb collisions



Final result




average $T = 304 \pm 51$ MeV


highest ever measured temperature

Summary of fireball parameters at LHC energy

Andronic, pbm, Stachel, Winn
arXiv:1201.0693



Fireball parameters at
chemical freeze-out



Summary of fireball parameters at LHC energy

$$T_{\text{gamma}} = 305 \text{ MeV}$$

$$T_{\text{ini}} > 400 \text{ MeV}$$

$$T_{\text{chem}} = 160 \text{ MeV}$$

$$V = 5200 \text{ fm}^3$$

entropy = 12100 per unit rapidity

energy density = 315 MeV/fm³

pressure = 59 MeV/fm³

$0.07 < \eta/s < 0.43$