



# What does progress in the field mean?



### From effective field theory to first principle calculations







# **Particle production at the LHC accelerator**

Large Hadron Collider LHC



 $E = mc^2$ 

Each pp collision leads to the creation of  $\sim 30$  new particles

From 2022 we can record 500.000 collisions per second



## Biggest accelerator worldwide where the highest energies are achieved : $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV for pp collisions



# **Particle production and decays in ALICE**





 $\Lambda \to \pi^- + p$  $\Xi^- \rightarrow \Lambda + \pi^ \Omega^- \to \Lambda + K^ \Sigma^0 \to \Lambda + \gamma$  $\varphi \to K^+ + K^ D \to K \pi \pi$ 

The very good PID capabilities of the detector result in very pure samples!



# **Hyperons reconstruction with ALICE**





## Measurement of the hyperon-nucleon interaction



C(k\*)>1: attractive interaction

 $C(k^*) = \xi(k^*) \frac{SE}{ME}$ 

## C(k\*) = 1: no interaction

C(k\*) <1: repulsive interaction



### ALICE Coll. PLB 832 (2022) 137272





ALICE Experiment

ALICE



L

## Measurement of the hyperon-nucleon interaction

### ALICE Coll. PLB 832 (2022) 137272



CATS (<u>Correlation Analysis Tool using the Schödinger equation</u>) D. Mihaylov, L. Fabbietti et al. EPJC 78 (2018)



ALICE Experiment

ALICE



### Anisotropic

## Pressure gradients

+

Given the pair transverse mass  $m_T = \sqrt{\frac{1}{4}(p_{1T} + p_{2T})^2 + (m_1 + m_2)^2}$ 

$$\rightarrow S(r) = G(r, r_{core}(m_T)) = \frac{1}{(4\pi r_{core}^2)^{3/2}} \exp\left(\frac{-r}{4r_{core}^2}\right)$$





# **Determination of the particle-emitting source**

Radial



### Anisotropic

### Pressure gradients

Given the pair transverse mass  $m_T = \sqrt{\frac{1}{4}(p_{2T} + p_{1T})^2 + (m_1 + m_2)^2}$ 

 $\rightarrow S(r) = G(r, r_{core}(m_T)) = \frac{1}{(4\pi r_{core}^2)^{3/2}}$ - exp  $4r_{core}^2$ 



# Determination of the particle-emitting source



### ALICE Coll., PLB, 811 (2020)



$$C(k^*) = \left| S(r) | \psi(\vec{r}, \vec{k^*}) \right|^2$$

pp Correlation: AV18 + Coulomb potentials used with CATS to calculate  $\psi(\vec{k} *, \vec{r})$ 





Radial



### Anisotropic

### Pressure gradients

+

Given the pair transverse mass  $m_T = \sqrt{\frac{1}{4}(p_{1T} + p_{2T})^2 + (m_1 + m_2)^2}$ 

$$\rightarrow S(r) = G(r, r_{core}(m_T)) = \frac{1}{(4\pi r_{core}^2)^{3/2}} \exp\left(\frac{-r}{4r_{core}^2}\right)$$



# **Determination of the particle-emitting source**



 $< c\tau >$ 

1.6 fm

4.7 fm



## Resonances with $c\tau \sim r_{core} \sim 1 \text{fm} (\Delta + +, N*, \Sigma*)$

		fraction
$(n_2)^2$	Proton	33 %
	Lambda	34 %

Particle

U. Wiedemann and U. Heinz PRC 56 (1997)

Primordial

$$E(r,s) = \frac{1}{s} \exp\left(-\frac{r}{s}\right)$$

$$s = \beta \gamma \tau_{res}$$

 $\mathbf{X}$ 

Radial



L



# Two body interaction among many hadrons



L



# *k*\* (MeV/*c*)

### Our recent papers:

CATS: EPJA 78 (2018) Projector: EPJC 82 (2022) Review 1: Prog.Part.Nucl.Phys. 112 (2020) Review 2: Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 71 (2021) p- $\phi$  bound state: arXiv:2212.12690 p-K: PRL 124 (2020) 092301 p-K: PLB 822 (2021), EPJC (2022) p-p, p-Λ, Λ-Λ: PRC 99 (2019) 024001 Λ-Λ: PLB 797 (2019) 134822 p-E-: PRL. 123 (2019) p-Ξ-, p-Ω-: Nature 588 (2020) 232–238 p-Σ<sup>0</sup>: PLB 805 (2020) 135419 p-φ: PRL 127 (2021)  $p - \bar{p}, \Lambda - \bar{\Lambda}, p - \bar{\Lambda}$ : PLB 829 (2022) р-Л: PLB 832 (2022) 137272 Λ – Ξ: PLB 137223 (2022) D-p: PRD **106**, 052010 (2022) ppp, ppΛ: arXiv:2206.03344

# What can be done better elsewhere

- Reconstruction of  $\Sigma^0$  via decay to  $\Lambda + \gamma$
- γ reconstructed from conversion e+e-
- p  $\Sigma^0$  compatible to the baseline

 $\rightarrow$  stay tuned for data of Run 3 for higher statistics!

But a measurement in HADES with p+p collisions and the electromagnetic calorimeter could be competitive. Same for  $\Xi^0$ 







# Scattering in nuclear matter



## Λ-p source: 1.24 times smaller than p-p source (from UrQMD)

p-scattering in the nucleus





## A-scattering in the nucleus





## **A-p Correlation in p+Nb collisions at** $\sqrt{s} = 3.5$ GeV

J. Adamczewski-Musch et al., [HADES coll.] Phys. Rev. C. 94 (2016).



New data on p+p collisions at 3.5 GeV Factor 100 (?) more statistics than this plot





### **Λ-p Correlation in p+p collisions at** $\sqrt{s}$ = 13 TeV



# **Vector Meson- Nucleon final state interaction**



H. Gao, T.S.H. Lee & V. Marinov, Phys Rev C 63 (2001) 022201
Y. Koike & A. Hayashigaki, Prog Theor Phys 98 (1997) 631
F. Kling, N. Kaiser & W. Weise, Nucl.Phys. A 624 (1997) 527-563
IS, L. Pentchev, & A.I. Titov, Phys Rev C 101 (2020)
W.C. Chang *et al*, Phys Lett B 658, 209 (2008)
S. Acharya *et al*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 127 (2021) 172301





# Spin averaged scattering parameters

C(K\*)

- Observation of **attractive**  $p-\phi$  interaction
- Spin-averaged scattering parameters extracted by employing the analytical Lednicky-Lyuboshits approach
   R. Lednicky and V.L. Lyuboshits, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 53 (1982) 770
- Imaginary contribution to the scattering length f<sub>0</sub> accounts for inelastic channels

 $\Re(f_0) = 0.85 \pm 0.34(stat.) \pm 0.14(syst.)$  fm  $\Im(f_0) = 0.16 \pm 0.10(stat.) \pm 0.09(syst.)$  fm  $d_0 = 7.85 \pm 1.54(stat.) \pm 0.26(syst.)$  fm

- Elastic p– $\varphi$  coupling dominant contribution to the interaction in vacuum





MÜNCHEN

ALICE Collab., PRL 127 (2021) 172301 1.5 ALICE pp √s = 13 TeV High-mult. (0 - 0.17% INEL > 0)1.4  $0.7 < S_T < 1.0$ p-φ ⊕ <u>p</u>-φ 1.3 Lednický-Lyuboshits model 1.2  $d_0 = 7.85 \pm 1.54$  (stat.)  $\pm 0.26$  (syst.) fm  $\Re(f_0) = 0.85 \pm 0.34 \text{ (stat.)} \pm 0.14 \text{ (syst.) fm}$ 1.1  $\Im(f_0) = 0.16 \pm 0.10 \text{ (stat.)} \pm 0.09 \text{ (syst.) fm}$ 50 250 400 300 350 100 150 200

*k*\* (MeV/*c*)

# Lattice potential <sup>4</sup>S<sub>3/2</sub>

- First simulation of the N-φ system in large lattice
- $(m_{\pi} = 146.4 \text{ MeV})$
- due to no common quarks







# **Studying spin dependent interaction**

- Yan Lyu et al., Phys. Rev. D 106 (2022) 074507



19





# Studying spin dependent interaction

<sup>4</sup>S<sub>3/2</sub> channel

- Dominated by elastic scattering states
- Modelled using HAL QCD potential Yan Lyu et al., *Phys. Rev. D* **106** (2022) 074507
- Potential at physical-pion mass



IMPRS Colloquium | Emma Chizzali





- Shows signs of open channels
  - $\Lambda K (^{2}S_{1/2}), \Sigma K (^{2}S_{1/2})$
- No potential available from lattice QCD yet, due to possible effects from these open channels
- Modelled using complex potential provided by Dr. Yuki Kamiya

$$V_{\frac{1}{2}}(r) = V_{LATTICE, MOD}(r) + i \cdot \sqrt{f(r; b_3)} \cdot \frac{\gamma}{r} e^{-m_K \cdot r}$$

Kaon exchange considered to give most significant contribution to coupling of decay channels

**Imaginary Part of Pot** 

**Real Part of Pot**  $V_{LATTICE, MOD}(r) = \beta \cdot V_{short}(r) + V_{2\pi}(r)$ - 1/Z



arXiv:2212.12690 [nucl-ex]



 $\Re(d_0) = 0.37^{+0.07}_{-0.08}(stat.)^{+0.03}_{-0.03}(syst.)$  fm  $\Im(d_0) = 0.00^{+0.00}_{-0.02}(stat.)^{+0.00}_{-0.01}(syst.)$  fm

# **Results on** $\phi$ **-proton**

![](_page_20_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_6.jpeg)

$$E_B = 14.7 - 56.6 \text{ MeV}$$

![](_page_21_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_6.jpeg)

# Residual strong interaction among charmed hadrons

The residual strong interaction among hadrons is rather well known for NN, less known for YN and barely known for Charmed hadrons-light hadrons combination

![](_page_22_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_3.jpeg)

Determine the hierarchy of the hadron-hadron coupling for urce sys.) fm <sup>o</sup> 160 180 200 quark flavours

![](_page_22_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_6.jpeg)

M. He et al, PLB 701 (2011) 445–450

Determine the scattering parameters among charmed hadrons as a tool to study molecular states with charm content

![](_page_22_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_12.jpeg)

# D mesons in Run 2

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Relevant sources of background

- 1. Uncorrelated (K<sup>+</sup>  $\pi^{-} \pi^{-}$ ) background candidates
  - Parametrised from the measured C(k\*) computed with D- candidates in the sidebands
- 2. D- from D\*- decays (~30% of D-)
  - ➡ p− D\*- strong interaction not known, only Coulomb considered
- All these contributions must be considered for the interpretation of the correlation function

![](_page_23_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_10.jpeg)

# ND raw correlation function

 $C_{\exp}(k^*) = \lambda_{pD^-} \times C_{pD^-}(k^*) + \lambda_{p(K^+\pi^-\pi^-)} \times C$ 

**ALICE**, arXiv: 2201.05352

![](_page_24_Figure_3.jpeg)

$$C_{p(\mathbf{K}^+\pi^-\pi^-)}(k^*) + \lambda_{p\mathbf{D}^{*-}} \times C_{p\mathbf{D}^{*-}}(k^*) + \lambda_{\text{flat}} \times C_{\text{flat}}.$$

- The different  $\lambda$  parameters are extracted from the weight of the side-bands, the evaluated D\* contribution and the purity for the D and p reconstruction
- There is no mini-jets background for the  $\overline{\mathbf{D}}N$  correlation

![](_page_24_Picture_7.jpeg)

# $\pi$ D interaction: fit with Lednický-Lyuboshits formula

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_5.jpeg)

# Thank you for your attention

![](_page_26_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_8.jpeg)