# Equation of state studies with HADES (perspectives)



Manuel Lorenz

Goethe-University Frankfurt

## Outline:

## 1. HADES

experimental runs, apparatus, performance

#### 2. Experimental highlights

dilepton radiation, HBT, Coulomb potential, strangeness, flow (see Behruz's talk)

#### 3. Caveats (Devil's advocate)

world data on pion-, bound and free proton-yields

#### 4. Perspectives

Au+Au energy scan at 0.8-0.2 A GeV 2024, HADES @SIS100 ..



## <u>Overview: experimental runs</u>



•Au+Au  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$  = 2.42 GeV, 7.2 bil. evts. (2012) •Ag+Ag  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$  = 2.55 / 2.42 GeV 15.2 billion events (2019)



Fast detector: 16 kHz Ag+Ag Large acceptance: full azimuthal and polar angle coverage of  $\Theta = 18^{\circ} - 85^{\circ}$ 

## Performance:





Eur.Phys.J.A 56 (2020) 259.

Weak decay topology recognition enforced by aNN.

2. Experimental highlights

### Dilepton radiation from dense baryon matter



- First measurement for a heavy system at low  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ .
- Strong excess (0.15<M<0.7 GeV/c<sup>2</sup>) above components of meson decays at freeze-out and NN-reference.
- Isolation of excess by subtracting the NN-reference.

### Dilepton radiation from dense baryon matter



- First measurement for a heavy system at low  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ .
- Strong excess (0.15<M<0.7 GeV/c<sup>2</sup>) above components of meson decays at freeze-out and NN-reference.
- Isolation of excess by subtracting the NN-reference.
- Medium radiation: Strong broadening of the  $\rho$  due to direct  $\rho$ -baryon scattering
- Exponentially falling spectrum,
- $\rightarrow$  extraction of source temperature  $\langle T_{ee} \rangle = 72 \text{ MeV}$
- Predicted factor 2 3 larger yield in low-mass region near first-order phase transition e-Print: <u>2209.05267</u> [nucl-th]

### Dilepton radiation from dense baryon matter



- First measurement for a heavy system at low  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ .
- Strong excess (0.15<M<0.7 GeV/c<sup>2</sup>) above components of meson decays at freeze-out and NN-reference.
- Isolation of excess by subtracting the NN-reference.
- Medium radiation: Strong broadening of the  $\rho$  due to direct  $\rho$ -baryon scattering
- Exponentially falling spectrum,
- $\rightarrow$  extraction of source temperature  $\langle T_{ee} \rangle = 72 \text{ MeV}$
- Predicted factor 2 3 larger yield in low-mass region near first-order phase transition e-Print: <u>2209.05267</u> [nucl-th]

Ideal observable for energy scan.

#### Identical $\pi$ Intensity Interferometry



Indications for charge-sign differences reported previously:

E866 R. A. Soltz, M. Baker, J. H. Lee, Nucl. Phys. A 661, 439c (1999) E877 D. Miskowiec et al., Nucl. Phys. A590, 473c (1995) NA44 I.G. Bearden et al., Nucl. Phys. A638, 103c (1998)

First time observation of substantial differences!

#### Identical $\pi$ Intensity Interferometry



#### Identical $\pi$ Intensity Interferometry



#### Influence of Coulombpotential on $\pi$ yields



Take Coulomb interaction via potential into account. Difference in extrapolated 4pi yields: 3% for pi- and 8% for pi+ in case of HADES.

Important to take into account for symmetry energies studies based pions.

#### <u>Strangeness</u>



Strange particle yields rise stronger than linear with

$$(M \sim ^{\alpha})$$

Universal <A<sub>part</sub>> dependence of strangeness production

→ Hierarchy in production threshold not reflected in scaling

> NN→NYK<sup>+</sup>: √s<sub>NN</sub>= 2.55 GeV NN→NNK<sup>+</sup>K<sup>-:</sup> √s<sub>NN</sub>= 2.86 GeV

Scaling with absolute amount of ssbar, not with individual hadron states.

## **Excitation functions: Centrality**

Mult /  $\langle A_{Part} \rangle$ HADES Preliminary Ag+Ag,  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.55 \text{ GeV}$  - $\alpha$  = 1.48 ± 0.06  $\chi^2$ /NDF = 0.47/9 = 0.05  $10^{-3}$ Λ  $K^+$  $K_{e}^{0}$ K⁻ 10-60 90 100 200

Strange particle yields rise stronger than linear with

$$(M \sim ^{\alpha})$$

Universal <A<sub>part</sub>> dependence of strangeness production

→ Hierarchy in production threshold not reflected in scaling

NN→NYK<sup>+</sup>: √s<sub>NN</sub>= 2.55 GeV NN→NNK<sup>+</sup>K<sup>-:</sup> √s<sub>NN</sub>= 2.86 GeV

Scaling with absolute amount of ssbar, not with individual hadron states.

## **Excitation functions: Centrality**

Mult /  $\langle A_{Part} \rangle$ HADES Preliminary Ag+Ag, √s<sub>NN</sub> = 2.55 GeV  $\alpha$  = 1.48 ± 0.06  $\chi^2$ /NDF = 0.47/9 = 0.05  $10^{-3}$  $\Lambda$  $K^+$  $K_{e}^{0}$ K 10-200 (A 60 90 100

Strange particle yields rise stronger than linear with

$$(M \sim ^{\alpha})$$

Universal <A<sub>part</sub>> dependence of strangeness production

→ Hierarchy in production threshold not reflected in scaling

NN→NYK<sup>+</sup>: √s<sub>NN</sub>= 2.55 GeV NN→NNK<sup>+</sup>K<sup>-:</sup> √s<sub>NN</sub>= 2.86 GeV

Scaling with absolute amount of ssbar, not with individual hadron states.

EOS properties based on strangeness assume fast formation of hadrons relative to the in-medium propagation time.

## 3. Caveats (Devil's Advocat)



Discrepancy between HADES and FOPI data (factor  $\approx$  1.35).



Discrepancy between HADES and FOPI data (factor  $\approx$  1.35).



Discrepancy between HADES and FOPI data (factor  $\approx$  1.35).

350





What about protons?

Eur.Phys.J.A 56 (2020) 259, private communication W.Reisdorf

#### Proton yields and spectra @ $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 2.42 GeV



Comparison of HADES 1.23A GeV Au+Au 0-5% results to FOPI 1.20A GeV C4 (≈ 0-6%) results

► FOPI "Mid-Rapidity" spectrum actually  $y_{cm} \in [0, 0.072]$  vs. HADES  $y_{cm} \in [-0.05, 0.05]$ 

Almost perfect agreement in the high transverse momentum region covered by CDC of FOPI and some tension in the low transverse momentum region covered by Helitron of FOPI

 dN/dy distributions agree with ≤ 10% differences

> Overall fair agreement between HADES and FOPI data  $\rightarrow$  Not 30%+ difference like for Pions

private communication W.Reisdorf

## Proton and light nuclei vs. transport @ $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 2.42 GeV



To much baryons stopped and emitted around mid-rapidity in transport for 0-10% most central events.

## Proton and light nuclei vs. transport @ $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 2.42 GeV



To much baryons stopped and emitted around mid-rapidity in transport for 0-10% most central events.

More direct observable: Number of charged tracks in HADES



Similar picture and conclusion.

#### Proton and light nuclei vs. transport @ $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.42 \text{ GeV}$

Systematics of central heavy ion collisions in the 1A GeV regime

W. Reisdorf, <sup>a,1</sup>, A. Andronic<sup>a</sup>, R. Averbeck<sup>a</sup>,
M.L. Benabderrahmane<sup>f</sup>, O.N. Hartmann<sup>a</sup>, N. Herrmann<sup>f</sup>,
K.D. Hildenbrand<sup>a</sup>, T.I. Kang<sup>a,j</sup>, Y.J. Kim<sup>a</sup>, M. Kiš<sup>a,m</sup>,
P. Koczoń<sup>a</sup>, T. Kress<sup>a</sup>, Y. Leifels<sup>a</sup>, M. Merschmeyer<sup>f</sup>,
K. Piasecki<sup>f,ℓ</sup>, A. Schüttauf<sup>a</sup>, M. Stockmeier<sup>f</sup>, V. Barret<sup>d</sup>,
Z. Basrak<sup>m</sup>, N. Bastid<sup>d</sup>, R. Čaplar<sup>m</sup>, P. Crochet<sup>d</sup>,
P. Dupieux<sup>d</sup>, M. Dželalija<sup>m</sup>, Z. Fodor<sup>c</sup>, P. Gasik<sup>ℓ</sup>,
Y. Grishkin<sup>g</sup>, B. Hong<sup>j</sup>, J. Kecskemeti<sup>c</sup>, M. Kirejczyk<sup>ℓ</sup>,
M. Korolija<sup>m</sup>, R. Kotte<sup>e</sup>, A. Lebedev<sup>g</sup>, X. Lopez<sup>d</sup>,
T. Matulewicz<sup>ℓ</sup>, W. Neubert<sup>e</sup>, M. Petrovici<sup>b</sup>, F. Rami<sup>k</sup>,
M.S. Ryu<sup>j</sup>, Z. Seres<sup>c</sup>, B. Sikora<sup>ℓ</sup>, K.S. Sim<sup>j</sup>, V. Simion<sup>b</sup>,
K. Siwek-Wilczyńska<sup>ℓ</sup>, V. Smolyankin<sup>g</sup>, G. Stoicea<sup>b</sup>,
Z. Tymiński<sup>ℓ</sup>, K. Wiśniewski<sup>ℓ</sup>, D. Wohlfarth<sup>e</sup>, Z.G. Xiao<sup>a,i</sup>,
H.S. Xu<sup>i</sup>, I. Yushmanov<sup>h</sup>, A. Zhilin<sup>g</sup>



also clear that the 'residual' interaction, i.e. the explicit collision term, influences the outcome. The present parameterization of IQMD as used here is obviously not able to reproduce the data, in particular the rapid drop of varxz(1) beyond 0.8A GeV is not reproduced. A fair reproduction of a portion (0.25A to 1.0A GeV) of the excitation function was achieved in [50].

#### Similar conclusion as FOPI.

#### Proton and light nuclei vs. transport @ $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 2.42 GeV



4. Perspectives

#### Proposals for beam time at SIS18: 2021 - 2025



Pion induced reactions on  $CH_2$ and C, Ag targets

The HADES Collaboration



Spokespersons: J. Stroth (j.stroth@gol.de), P. Thusty (6 GSI contact: J. Pietraszko ()-pietraszko@g

Infrastructure: SIS18, pion production target and B

Beam: Nitrogen at 2A GeV, maximum intensity, slow

Abstract

Ye will study baryon excitation and decay in the third resonance be electromagnetic structure of baryons and the role of intermedia by states in the decay process. The measurement of  $e^+e^-$  product milities to the electromagnetic transition form factors of baryons is indive to the electromognetic transition form factors of mayone only publics the relie of vector mesons  $(\mu, \omega)$ . To fiberential errors on section the second sector of the sector of t mong which are  $\rho N$  and  $\omega N$  with unprecedented precision. Proved pertiple mellom effects in cold nuclear matter. The whole data orbat input to calculations of the emissivity of dense and hot had blow is an executive summary of the proposed study with  $\pi^-$  in

pectrometer

This is a new experiment proposal. We request 89 shifts.

> Done 2022, YN and YY interaction

Short-range correlations.

#### HADES energy scan 2024

System	Energy (A GeV)	Requested shifts	DAQ rate (kHz)	Estimated #events
Au+Au	0.8	30	10	3×10 <sup>9</sup>
Au+Au	0.6	30	10	3×10 <sup>9</sup>
Au+Au	0.4	9	10	$1 \times 10^{9}$
Au+Au	0.2	9	10	$1 \times 10^{9}$
C+C	0.8	6	30	2×10 <sup>9</sup>
C+C	0.6	6	30	2×10 <sup>9</sup>

 $\circ$  Beam intensity (flat top) 1.2×10<sup>6</sup> Au ions/s, 3×10<sup>6</sup> C ions/s

- 1.5% interaction length gold target
- 2% interaction length carbon target

 Count rate estimate includes 0.66 (lifetime) x 0.56 (duty cycle)
 Estimated count rates and requested beam time based solely on experimental results and known spectrometer performance

### HADES energy scan 2024

System	Energy (A GeV)	Requested shifts	DAQ rate (kHz)	Estimated #events
Au+Au	0.8	30	10	3×10 <sup>9</sup>
Au+Au	0.6	30	10	3×10 <sup>9</sup>
Au+Au	0.4	9	10	1×10 <sup>9</sup>
Au+Au	0.2	9	10	1×10 <sup>9</sup>
C+C	0.8	6	30	2×10 <sup>9</sup>
C+C	0.6	6	30	2×10 <sup>9</sup>

sad day the rolling stones you can't always get what you want



3 weeks instead of 4 weeks.

 $_{\circ}$  Beam intensity (flat top) 1.2×10<sup>6</sup> Au ions/s, 3×10<sup>6</sup> C ions/s

- 1.5% interaction length gold target
- 2% interaction length carbon target

 Count rate estimate includes 0.66 (lifetime) x 0.56 (duty cycle)
 Estimated count rates and requested beam time based solely on experimental results and known spectrometer performance

#### Probing similar density and temperature profiles like merging neutron stars



Phys.Rev.D 107 (2023) 4, 043034

#### HADES energy scan 2024

Multi messenger: new observables not accessible by previous experiments dileptons, higher o. flow c., strangeness, e-b-e-fluctuations



+systematic comparison to previously measured ones pion, proton and light nuclei yields and spectra, lower order flow c.



#### HADES @ SIS 100



- HADES and CBM will be operated at the SIS100
- Angular coverage of both detectors complementary, very important for measuring pion, kaon, proton (..) 4pi yields at energies below 4 A GeV!

#### HADES @ SIS 100



- HADES and CBM will be operated at the SIS100
- Angular coverage of both detectors complementary, very important for measuring pion, kaon, proton (..) 4pi yields at energies below 4 A GeV!

Systematic uncertainties vs. statistical errors!



Dilepton radiation: temperature measurement and sensitive to rapid changes in the EOS. HBT: extracted radii follow trend from SPS and LHC. Strangeness: universal Apart scaling with number of ssbar quarks.

Pion and proton yields: discrepancy on pions between HADES and FOPI, agreement on protons, to much baryons stopped and emitted around mid-rapidity in 0-10% most active events in transport codes for beam energies 1-2 A GeV.

Perspectives: HADES Au+Au energy scan at 0.8, 0.6, 0.4 and 0.2 A GeV 2024: new observables and systematic comparison to previous measurements. HADES @SIS100 important for beam energies below 4 A GeV.







## EM Formfactors of baryonic resonances



 $p+p(1.25 \text{ GeV}) \rightarrow p+p+e^-+e^+$ 





Good agreement with model of Ramahlo & Pehna if pion cloud is taken into account

## Consequences of the created system?



Can we connect this to an observable?

Figures from W. Weise

# Weak decay topology recognition with neural networks



# Weak decay topology recognition with neural networks



# Weak decay topology recognition with neural networks





D. Cebra, INT Workshop 22-84W: Dense Nuclear Matter Equation of State 2022

## Φ-AntiKaon Interplay in HIC

(1/m<sup>2</sup>) × (d<sup>2</sup>N/(dm<sub>d</sub>dy))) [(MeV/c<sup>2</sup>)<sup>-3</sup>)

10<sup>-12</sup>⊢

0

K cocktail

50

----- K<sup>-</sup> thermal ---- K<sup>-</sup> from  $\phi$ 



Increased in HIC at low  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ :  $\rightarrow$  25% of K<sup>-</sup> result from  $\Phi$  decays!  $\Phi$  feed-down can explain lower inverse slope parameter of K<sup>-</sup> spectrum (T<sub>eff</sub> = 84 ± 6 MeV) in comparison to the one of K<sup>+</sup>(T<sub>eff</sub> = 104 ± 1 MeV)

100

---- Fit T=84 MeV "cold" K<sup>-</sup> from φ

84 MeV

104 MeV

m<sub>t</sub>-m<sub>k</sub> [MeV/c<sup>2</sup>]

150

 $\rightarrow$  No indication for sequential K<sup>+</sup>K<sup>-</sup> freeze-out from K<sup>-</sup> spectrum if corrected for feed-down.

M. Lorenz et al. PoS BORMIO2010 (2010) 038

Phys.

ett.

B77

 $\infty$ 

(20)

)18)

403-40,

200

## Φ-AntiKaon Interplay in Cold Matter



Phys.Rev.Lett. 123 (2019) 2, 022002

## <u>Virtual Photon Radiation from</u> <u>Dense Baryon Matter</u>



Onset of medium radiation in Ar+KCl collisions

- First measurement for a heavy system at low  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ .
- Strong excess (0.15<M<0.7 GeV/c<sup>2</sup>) above components of meson decays at freeze-out and NN-reference.
  - Isolation of excess by subtracting the NN-reference.
  - Medium radiation: Strong broadening of the  $\rho$  due to direct  $\rho$ -baryon scattering
  - Exponentially falling spectrum,  $\rightarrow$  extraction of temperature  $\langle T_{ee} \rangle = 72$  MeV
  - Thermal rates folded over coarse-grained transport medium evolution works at low energies
  - Supports baryon-driven medium effects at SPS, RHIC (LHC)!

