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## Lattice effective field theory

See Ulf's talk from Wednesday


Lähde, Meißner, Nuclear Lattice Effective Field Theory, Springer (2019)
D.L, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 63 117-154 (2009)

## A tale of two interactions

We consider two different interactions, A and B , at leading order ( LO ) in chiral effective field theory. They both have the same one-pion exchange potential and Coulomb potential. The difference between A and B resides with their short-range interactions.

## Interaction A

Nonlocal short-range interaction

## Interaction B

Nonlocal short-range interaction
$\qquad$
Local short-range interaction

## alpha-alpha S-wave scattering



Elhatisari, Li, Rokash, Alarcon, Du, Klein, Lu, Meißner, Epelbaum, Krebs, Lähde, D.L., Rupak, PRL 117, 132501 (2016)

## Sensitivity to short-distance physics

## nonlocal

## local



Rokash, Epelbaum, Krebs, D.L., PRL 118, 232502 (2017) Kanada-En'yo, D.L., PRC 103, 024318 (2021)

Nuclear physics near a quantum phase transition


Elhatisari, Li, Rokash, Alarcon, Du, Klein, Lu, Meißner, Epelbaum, Krebs, Lähde, D.L., Rupak, PRL 117, 132501 (2016)

## Essential elements for nuclear binding

What is the minimal nuclear interaction that can reproduce the ground state properties of light nuclei, medium-mass nuclei, and neutron matter simultaneously with no more than a few percent error in the energies and charge radii?

We construct an interaction with only four parameters.

1. Strength of the two-nucleon $S$-wave interaction
2. Range of the two-nucleon $S$-wave interaction
3. Strength of three-nucleon contact interaction
```
fit to
A=2,3 systems
```

4. Range of the local part of the two-nucleon interaction

The lattice Hamiltonian has the form of a smeared four-component Hubbard model with two-body and three-body interactions

$$
\begin{gathered}
H_{\mathrm{SU}(4)}=H_{\text {free }}+\frac{1}{2!} C_{2} \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}} \tilde{\rho}(\boldsymbol{n})^{2}+\frac{1}{3!} C_{3} \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}} \tilde{\rho}(\boldsymbol{n})^{3} \\
\tilde{\rho}(\boldsymbol{n})=\sum_{i} \tilde{a}_{i}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{n}) \tilde{a}_{i}(\boldsymbol{n})+s_{L} \sum_{\left|\boldsymbol{n}^{\prime}-\boldsymbol{n}\right|=1} \sum_{i} \tilde{a}_{i}^{\dagger}\left(\boldsymbol{n}^{\prime}\right) \tilde{a}_{i}\left(\boldsymbol{n}^{\prime}\right) \\
\tilde{a}_{i}(\boldsymbol{n})=a_{i}(\boldsymbol{n})+s_{N L} \sum_{\left|\boldsymbol{n}^{\prime}-\boldsymbol{n}\right|=1} a_{i}\left(\boldsymbol{n}^{\prime}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$



Lu, Li, Elhatisari, D.L., Epelbaum, Meißner, PLB 797, 134863 (2019)

Lattice Monte Carlo simulations can compute highly nontrivial correlations in nuclear many-body systems. Unfortunately, sign oscillations prevent direct simulations using a high-fidelity Hamiltonian based on chiral effective field theory due to short-range repulsion.

Wave function matching


Elhatisari, Bovermann, Epelbaum, Frame, Hildenbrand, Krebs, Lähde, D.L., Li, Lu, M. Kim, Y. Kim, Ma, Meißner, Rupak, Shen, Song, Stellin, arXiv: 2210.17488



## easily computable Hamiltonians



## Wave function matching

$$
V_{A}(r)
$$

$$
V_{B}(r)
$$





Let us write the eigenenergies and eigenfunctions for the two interactions as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{A}\left|\psi_{A, n}\right\rangle=\left(K+V_{A}\right)\left|\psi_{A, n}\right\rangle=E_{A, n}\left|\psi_{A, n}\right\rangle \\
& H_{B}\left|\psi_{B, n}\right\rangle=\left(K+V_{B}\right)\left|\psi_{B, n}\right\rangle=E_{B, n}\left|\psi_{B, n}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

We would like to compute the eigenenergies of $H_{A}$ starting from the eigenfunctions of $H_{B}$ and using first-order perturbation theory.

Not surprisingly, this does not work very well. The interactions $V_{A}$ and $V_{B}$ are quite different.

| $E_{A, n}(\mathrm{MeV})$ | $\left\langle\psi_{B, n}\right\| H_{A}\left\|\psi_{B, n}\right\rangle(\mathrm{MeV})$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| -1.2186 | 3.0088 |
| 0.2196 | 0.3289 |
| 0.8523 | 1.1275 |
| 1.8610 | 2.2528 |
| 3.2279 | 3.6991 |
| 4.9454 | 5.4786 |
| 7.0104 | 7.5996 |
| 9.4208 | 10.0674 |
| 12.1721 | 12.8799 |
| 15.2669 | 16.0458 |

Let $P$ be a projection operator that is nonzero only for separation distances $r$ less than $R$. We define a short-distance unitary operator $U$ such that

$$
U: P\left|\psi_{B}^{0}\right\rangle / \| P\left|\psi_{B}^{0}\right\rangle \| \rightarrow P\left|\psi_{A}^{0}\right\rangle / \| P\left|\psi_{A}^{0}\right\rangle \|
$$

There are many possible choices for $U$. The corresponding action of $U$ on the Hamiltonian is

$$
U: H_{A} \rightarrow H_{A}^{\prime}=U^{\dagger} H_{A} U
$$

and the resulting nonlocal interaction is

$$
V_{A}^{\prime}=H_{A}^{\prime}-K=U^{\dagger} H_{A} U-K
$$

Since they are unitarily equivalent, the phase shifts are exactly the same


## Ground state wave functions



With wave function matching, we can now compute the eigenenergies starting from the eigenfunctions of $H_{B}$ and using first-order perturbation theory.

| $R=2.6 \mathrm{fm}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $E_{A, n}=E_{A, n}^{\prime}(\mathrm{MeV})$ | $\left\langle\psi_{B, n}\right\| H_{A}\left\|\psi_{B, n}\right\rangle(\mathrm{MeV})$ | $\left\langle\psi_{B, n}\right\| H_{A}^{\prime}\left\|\psi_{B, n}\right\rangle(\mathrm{MeV})$ |
| -1.2186 | 3.0088 | -1.1597 |
| 0.2196 | 0.3289 | 0.2212 |
| 0.8523 | 1.1275 | 0.8577 |
| 1.8610 | 2.2528 | 1.8719 |
| 3.2279 | 3.6991 | 3.2477 |
| 4.9454 | 5.4786 | 4.9798 |
| 7.0104 | 7.5996 | 7.0680 |
| 9.4208 | 10.0674 | 9.5137 |
| 12.1721 | 12.8799 | 12.3163 |
| 15.2669 | 16.0458 | 15.4840 |

## Hamiltonian translators

Suppose $U_{A B}$ is a unitary transformation mapping all the eigenvectors of $H_{B}$ to all the eigenvectors of $H_{A}$. Let $U_{B A}$ be the inverse of $U_{A B}$. We note the curious fact that

$$
H_{A}^{\prime}=U_{B A} H_{A} U_{A B}
$$

has the eigenvectors of $H_{B}$ but has the eigenvalues of $H_{A}$. We call $U_{A B}$ and $U_{B A}$ Hamiltonian translators.

We can construct a Hamiltonian translator using quantum adiabatic evolution

$$
U_{T}=\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \overleftarrow{\mathcal{T}} \exp \left[-i \int_{0}^{T} H_{T}(t) d t\right]
$$

where $H_{T}(t)$ smoothly interpolates between $H_{B}$ and $H_{A}$ as $t$ goes from 0 to $T$.

Wave function matching is an approximate Hamiltonian translator at the two-body level.

straight lines mean the eigenvectors don't change with $x$

## N3LO chiral effective field theory interaction



## Tjon line



Tjon, Phys. Lett. B 56, 217 (1975); Nogga, Kamada, Glöckle, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 944 (2000); Platter, Hammer, Meißner, Phys. Lett. B607, 254 (2005)

## Sensitivity to short-distance physics



## Sensitivity to short-distance physics



## Sensitivity to short-distance physics



## Short-distance three-nucleon interactions



## Binding energy per nucleon



Elhatisari, Bovermann, Epelbaum, Frame, Hildenbrand, Krebs, Lähde, D.L., Li, Lu, M. Kim, Y. Kim, Ma, Meißner, Rupak, Shen, Song, Stellin, arXiv: 2210.17488

## Charge radius



Elhatisari, Bovermann, Epelbaum, Frame, Hildenbrand, Krebs, Lähde, D.L., Li, Lu, M. Kim, Y. Kim, Ma, Meißner, Rupak, Shen, Song, Stellin, arXiv: 2210.17488

## Neutron and nuclear matter



Figure adapted from Tews, Krüger, Hebeler, Schwenk, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 032504 (2013)
Elhatisari, Bovermann, Epelbaum, Frame, Hildenbrand, Krebs, Lähde, D.L., Li, Lu, M. Kim, Y. Kim, Ma, Meißner, Rupak, Shen, Song, Stellin, arXiv: 2210.17488

## Carbon isotopes


M. Kim, Song, Y. Kim, et al., work in progress

## Some things to do:

Calculate the binding energies, radii, and structure of the isotopic chains of carbon, oxygen, silicon, and calcium.

Search for clustering in medium mass nuclei.
Adapt and optimize GPU codes for Frontier and the new supercomputer at Forschungszentrum Jülich and extend calculations to heavy nuclei.

Extract ANCs from finite-volume energy corrections. See Sebastian's talk from Wednesday.

Extend calculations to light and medium mass hypernuclei.
Calculate resonance widths.
Compute thermodynamic properties of neutron matter and symmetric and asymmetric nuclear matter.

## Summary

We started with a discussion of the differences between local and nonlocal interactions and the fact that the interaction between two alpha particles is sensitive to short-distance physics. We used this information to produce a simple interaction that gave a decent description of light and medium mass nuclei.

We then introduced the technique of wave function. We demonstrated the basic concepts using simple examples and then applied wave function matching to calculations at N3LO in chiral effective field theory. We are able to control sensitivity to short-distance physics using several three-nucleon interactions beyond N3LO.

