

IMPACT OF NEUTRINO INTERACTIONS AND NUCLEAR UNCERTAINTIES ON THE R-PROCESS NUCLEOSYNTHESIS

GSI WORKSHOP 19.10.2022

INA KULLMANN - UNIVERSITÉ LIBRE DE BRUXELLES

IN COLLABORATION WITH S. GORIELY, O.JUST A. BAUSWEIN, T. JANKA





COLLABORATION

- * Nucleosynthesis work:
 Stephane Goriely & Ina Kullmann
- * NS-NS merger hydrodynamical simulation:
 Oliver Just, Andreas Bauswein, Thomas Janka, Ricard Ardevol-Pulpillo





CONTENT

***** Introduction

Kullmann et al. 2021, MNRAS, 510, 2804 Just et al. 2021, MNRAS, 510, 2820

* Part 1: Dynamical ejecta including ν 's * conditions and composition * Impact on r-process nucleosynthesis ***** Part 2: Dynamical + BH-torus ejecta * Nuclear physics input variations * Impact on r-process (& cosmochronometry)



THE R-PROCESS

->RAPID NEUTRON CAPTURE PROCESS

- Synthesise ~50% of elements heavier than Iron
- Short timescales (~3s)
- Neutron rich environment
 - neutron-rich unstable & experimentally unknown nuclei



PART 1: DYNAMICAL EJECTA WITH NEUTRINO REACTIONS

Kullmann et al. 2021, MNRAS, 510, 2804 Just et al. 2021, MNRAS, 510, 2820



HYDRODYNAMICAL NS-NS MERGER SIMULATIONS (SPH)





HYDRODYNAMICAL NS-NS MERGER SIMULATIONS (SPH)

See Ardevol-Pulpillo et al. 2019, MNRAS, 485, 4754 = ILEAS





ADVANCED MODELING OF WEAK NUCLEONIC REACTIONS (ILEAS)





COMPOSITION OF THE DYNAMICAL EJECTA

~800-4000 trajectories





R-PROCESS CALCULATIONS

(animation)

Kullmann+2021









IMPACT OF NEUTRINOS?



Kullmann+2021



NUCLEOSYNTHESIS RESULTS

0.18

0.16 0.14 0.12 0.10 0.08 0.06 0.04 fraction

0.02

0.00

0.12 0.10

0.08 0.06

0.04

0.02

0.00

Mass

Kullmann+2021 No neutrinos: $\langle Y_{e, net} \rangle = 0.13$ 10⁰ φĻ no neutrinos With neutrinos: $(Y_{e,net}) = 0.27$ DD2 $1.35M_{\odot}$.1.35*M* ⊙ w. neutrinos Solar r-process þ 10^{-1} 0.5 0.0 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.6 0.1 Electron fraction at network start, $Y_{e, net}$ Mass fraction X 10^{-2} 10^{-3} 10^{-4} 120 80 160 200 40 240 Mass number A



HEATING RATE FROM RADIOACTIVE DECAY

Kullmann+2021





HIGH-VELOCITY COMPONENT





PART 2: TOTAL EJECTA & NUCLEAR UNCERTAINTIES

Kullmann et al. 2022 arXiv:2207.07421



HYDRODYNAMICAL NS-NS MERGER SIMULATIONS



Dynamical ejecta (ILEAS 2019) + BH-Torus (Just et al. 2015)



Illustration: O. Just & A. Bauswein



NUCLEAR INPUT VARIATIONS

2x SFHo Dynamical (ILEAS) + 2x BH-Torus (Just'15)







nuclear input



calculations



NUCLEAR PHYSICS INPUT

Kullmann et al. 2022 arXiv:2207.07421

Only use <u>global</u> models that reproduce "accurately" experimental data

Varied:

* 6 mass models (<u>rms<0.8 MeV</u>)

* 4 models for the β -decay rates



* 2 models for (n, γ) rates: CN or CN+DC

* 2 versions of the fission properties (barriers and fragment distr.)

* (2 NLD & 2 γSF)



NUCLEAR MASSES

Kullmann+2022





β -DECAY RATES

Kullmann+2022



22

- GT2= Tachibana et al. 1990 FRDM+QRPA= Möller et al. 2003 TDA= Klapdor et al. 1984
- RMF+QRPA= Marketin et al. 2016



CALCULATING (n, γ) RATES

Kullmann+2022



DC: direct capture CN: compound nucleus



FISSION BARRIERS (WHEN DO NUCLEI FISSION?)

Kullmann+2022



(and fragment distributions)



RESULTS (ALL VARIATIONS)



See Kullmann+2022 for details!

Kullmann+2022









R-PROCESS ANIMATION

Mass models BSkG2 (X₁) v.s. FRDM12 (X₂)





WHEN USING SINGLE TRAJECTORIES...





$HEATING \ RATES \ (\text{Before thermalization})$

Kullmann+2022

COSMOCHRONOMETRY

Kullmann+2022

$$\log \left(\frac{\mathrm{Th}}{\mathrm{U}}\right)_{\mathrm{obs}} = \log \left(\frac{\mathrm{Th}}{\mathrm{U}}\right)_{\mathrm{r}} + \log \left(\mathrm{e} \Big(\frac{1}{\tau(\mathrm{U})} - \frac{1}{\tau(\mathrm{Th})}\Big) \Big) * t^*_{\mathrm{U,Th}}$$

 U^{238} and Th^{232} of $\tau(\mathrm{U})$ = 6.45Gyr and $\tau(\mathrm{Th})$ = 20.27Gyr,

	SFHo-125-145	SFHo-135-135	SFHo-1123	M3A8m1a5	M3A8m3a5-v2	SFHo-1.35-1.35	SFHo-1.25-1.45	SFHo-11
						+ M3A8m1a5	+ M3A8m3a5-v2	+ M3A8m3
$(Th/U)_{mean}$	1.57	1.58	1.55	1.52	1.71	1.54	1.68	1.54
$\sigma_{({ m Th}/{ m U})}$	0.29	0.26	0.31	0.43	0.43	0.32	0.38	0.31
CS22892-052	11.78	11.72	11.96	12.33	11.10	12.01	11.22	11.97
CS29497-004	18.54	18.47	1 71	19.09	17.85	18.77	17.98	18.72
CS31082-001	16.36	16.29	1 53	16.91		16.59	15.80	16.54
HE1523-0901	14.61	14.55	1 10	15.16	13.93	14.85	14.06	14.80
J0954 + 5246	13.74	13.68	1 92	14.29	13.06	13.98	13.18	13.93
J2038-0023	15.49	15.42	15.66	16.04	14.80	15.72	14.93	15.67
σ_{t^*}	1.70	1.53	1.80	2.65	2.29	1.93	2.10	1.80
$t^*_{ m mean} - t^*_{ m min}$	3.03	2.83	3.43	4.28	4.00	2.96	3.35	3.44
$t^*_{\rm max} - t^*_{\rm mean}$	2.39	2.32	2.19	4.39	3.51	2.97	3.19	2.19

CONCLUSIONS NUCLEAR UNCERTAINTIES STUDY

<u>r-process abundance:</u>

- span a factor ~20 (for A>90), but global shape preserved
- actinide production varies by a factor of 5-7
- the position, shape and width of the r-process peaks vary with nuclear model

<u>Heating rate</u>

 insensitive for t<0.1d, large differences in fission contribution at late time (t>10 d)

<u>Cosmochronometric ages:</u>

Nuclear physics input give rise to an uncertainty of ~2 Gyr
 UNIVERSITE
 LIBRE
 DE BRUXELLES

Thank you for the attention!

Questions?

INA KULLMANN 19.10.2022

BACKUP

SUMMARY & CONCLUSIONS.

<u>Part 1:</u>

- Impact abundances, heating rate
- angular and velocity dependence
- high velocity component

c)sfho-125-145 0.860.240.568.7 2.400.804398d)sfho-135-135 0.541.000.263.312632.450.830.09g)sfho-11-23 131750.8540.40.820.480.043.090.26e)M3A8m1a5 0.2324.741500.100.583.000.80f) M3A8m3a5-v2 0.240.5670.121163.000.800.30-

