

## Stability of K-isomeric states against fission

J. Khuyagbaatar

## GSI Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung GmbH

In the last two decades, many isomeric states have been discovered and studied in the region of deformed heavy nuclei with proton and neutron numbers around Z=100 and N=152, respectively [1]. Among these, so-called K-isomeric states [1-3], which formed by coupling of up to several quasiparticles, are of especial interest. In three nuclei <sup>270</sup>Ds [4], <sup>250</sup>No [5-7], and <sup>254</sup>Rf [8], high K isomeric states that are more stable than the respective ground states have been found. Accordingly, K isomeric states, which have extra stability against fission are one of the intriguing topics in both experimental and theoretical study of the superheavy nuclei (SHN).

Theoretically, an effect of the K number on fission is described within various models (e.g., [9-10]) in which results are often lead to or represented an increase of the fission-barrier height compared to that of the ground-state. Such results, indeed, qualitatively describe the extra fission stability of the isomeric state. However, still no quantitative estimates on fission half-lives of various K isomeric states in various SHN are exist. This is related to the complexity of the fission-process description.

In this talk, I will discuss a fission-hindrance due to K quantum number within a recently suggested semi-empirical approach, which had shown to be reasonably effective for descriptions of the electron-capture delayed fission [12] and the spontaneous fission [13]. Estimations on the fission half-lives of excited and high-K states in the SHN will be shown.

For completeness of the topic, I will present experimental results [14-15] on the study of K isomeric states at the gas-filled recoil separator TASCA of the SHE-Chemistry department (GSI).

## References

- [1] D. Ackermann and C. Theisen, Phys. Scr. 92, 083002 (2017).
- [2] P. Walker and F. R. Xu, Phys. Scr. **91**, 1 (2016).
- [3] G. Dracoulis et al., Rep. Prog. Phys. **79**, 076301 (2016).
- [4] S. Hofmann, F.P. He $\beta$ berger, et al., Eur. Phys. J. A **10**, 5 (2001).
- [5] D. Peterson et al., Phys. Rev. C 74, 014316 (2006).
- [6] A. Svirikhin et al., Phys. Part. Nucl. Lett. 14, 571 (2017).
- [7] J. Kallunkathariyil et al., Phys. Rev. C 101, 011301 (2020).
- [8] H. M. David et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 132502 (2015).
- [9] F. Xu, E. Zhao, R. Wyss, and P. Walker, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 252501 (2004).
- [10] P. Jachimowicz, M. Kowal, and J. Skalski, Phys. Rev. C 83, 054302 (2011).
- [11] H. L. Liu, P. M. Walker, and F. R. Xu, Phys. Rev. C 89, 044304 (2014).
- [12] J. Khuyagbaatar, Eur. Phys. J. A 55, 134 (2019).
- [13] J. Khuyagbaatar, Nucl. Phys. A 1002, 121958 (2020).
- [14] J. Khuyagbaatar et al., Nucl. Phys. A **994**, 121662 (2020).
- [15] J. Khuyagbaatar et al., Phys. Rev. C 103, 064303 (2021).