



The WITCH Experiment

T. Porobić²,

G. Ban¹, M. Breitenfeldt², V. De LeeBeeck², X. Fléchard¹, P. Friedag³,
F. Glück⁵, V. Kozlov⁵, E. Liénard¹, G. Soti², M. Tandecki², S. Van Gorp², Ch. Weinheimer³, D. Zákoucký⁴, N. Severijns²

¹LPC-Caen, ENSICAEN, Université de Caen, CNRS/IN2P3, Caen, France ²Instituut voor Kern- en Stralingsfysica, KUL, Leuven, Belgium ³Universität Münster, Institut für Kernphysik, Münster, Germany ⁴NPI Rez, Czech Republic

⁵Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Institut fur Kernphysik, Germany

5th International Symposium on Symmetries in Subatomic Physics June 18-22, 2012 KVI, Groningen



Outline

- Introduction & motivation
- Overview of the WITCH setup
- Online experiments
- Results
- Outlook



Motivation: New Physics



Introduction



WITCH experiment (CERN/ISOLDE)



Penning traps at WITCH



- Scattering-free sources
- He buffer gas in the cooler trap
- Dipole excitation at magnetron ω frequency – mass independent removal from trap center
- Quadrupole excitation at cyclotron frequency ω_c – mass selective centering & buffer gas - > cooling of the ion cloud



WITCH: MAC-E filter



- High field (9 T) at the traps, low (0.1 T) in the analyzing plane
- Adiabatic approximation: field gradient in a single cyclotron gyration radius is small
- E_{cycl} /B is an adiabatic invariant -> if B_{source} >> B_{plane} , then $E_{cycl,plane}$ << $E_{cycl,source}$
- Combination of electrostatic filter and inhomogenous mag. field => high energy resolution + high statistics

5th International Symposium on Symmetries in Subatomic Physics June 18-22, 2012 KVI, Groningen



Unwanted Penning-like traps in the spectrometer



•Formed at undesirable locations due to the combination of magnetic and electric fields

- Two electrodes connected by a magnetic field line
- Primary electron emission: by particle collisions (electron and ion sputtering, Compton scattering, photoelectric effect) and by field emission

 Typical mechanism of a Penning discharge: electrons from the cathode enter the Penning-like trap and gain kinetic energy -> causing ionisation of the rest gas -> secondary electrons create more ionisation and positive ions travel to the cathode and create more secondary electrons

• Solutions: compensating magnet and a wire in the spectrometer

5th International Symposium on Symmetries in Subatomic Physics June 18-22, 2012 KVI, Groningen

Charge state distribution

• Test case: ¹²⁴In



- snowing that ions can be trapped and retarded
- but taken with the einzel lens as retardation electrode

¹M. Beck et al., The European Physical Journal A 47, 9 (2010).

5th International Symposium on Symmetries in Subatomic Physics June 18-22, 2012 KVI, Groningen

Recoil spectrum



WITCH results: June 2011





WITCH results: June 2011²



Fit of *a* to simulated values



- Result: *a* = 1.12(33)
- SM: a=0.9004(16)
- First determination of *a* with the WITCH setup

²Van Gorp et al, Determination of the Beta-neutrino angular correlation coefficient, a, on ³⁵Ar with the WITCH setup (submitted to PRL)

5th International Symposium on Symmetries in Subatomic Physics June 18-22, 2012 KVI, Groningen

Difference in retardation spectra



WITCH results: Simulations



- Ion cloud in the traps simulations: Simbuca¹
- Electric field map calculated with COMSOL
- Magnetic field map provided by manufacturer



- Ion tracking in the spectrometer: SimWITCH
- Ion transport simulated for various retardation voltages (0 V – 450 V)
- Also for all ³⁵Ar charge states (1⁺, 2⁺, 3⁺, 4⁺, 5⁺) (charge state measurement by LPC trap@GANIL)





WITCH results: October/November 2011



June 18-22, 2012 KVI, Groningen



WITCH: Outlook

- Analysis of October/November data
- Systematic effects (misalignment of the traps due to baking)
- Misc. upgrades of the system
- Next run in Autumn 2012

