Evaluation of the Slow Extraction Survey iFAST-REX collaboration meeting

Florian Kühteubl

MedAustron/TU Wien

February 17, 2022



Background

- Survey compiled by Florian Kühteubl & Dale Prokopovich
 - Based on the survey from the Slow Extraction Workshop 2016
- Part of the iFAST-REX collaboration
 - Project lead: P. Forck
 - Parameter collection: August September 2021
- Collection of representative spill measurement data
 - October 2021 January 2022
- Expansion to the Slow Extraction Workshop

Goal of the survey:

Collect the 'status quo' of SX for all facilities and use the collection as baseline for future collaborations/common developments



Participants

- Brookhaven National Laboratory (BNL)
- European Organization for Nuclear Research (CERN)
- Centro Nazionale di Adroterapia Oncologica (CNAO)
- Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory (Fermilab)
- Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung (GSI)
- Heavy Ion Medical Accelerator in Chiba (HIMAC)
- Heidelberger Ionenstrahl-Therapiezentrum (HIT)
- Institute for High Energy Physics (IHEP)
- Japan Proton Accelerator Research Complex (J-PARC)
- MedAustron (MA)
- Marburger Ionenstrahl-Therapiezentrum (MIT)



	Name	Particle type(s)	Extraction method(s)	Bunched?
CERN	PS and SPS	Proton	COSE	×
CNAO	Synchrotron	Proton Carbon	Betatron Core RFKO	×
GSI	SIS-18	Proton all ions until Uranium	Tune Sweep RFKO	√or X ✓
ніт	HIT-Accelerator	Proton Carbon Helium	RFKO	1
MedAustron Synchrotron Proton Carbon		Proton Carbon	Betatron Core	×
міт	IONTRIS (Siemens)	Proton Carbon	RFKO	1



Accelerator Circumference



Energy range - medical-focused facilities



Ionentherapiezentrum

Energy range - research-focused facilities



Ionentherapiezentrum

			$\begin{array}{l} \text{Horizontal tune} \\ \rightarrow \text{Resonance} \end{array}$	Horizontal chromaticity	Mom. offset [‰]	Mom. spread [‰]
	Betatron	Proton		-4.0	-25/8.5	0.8*
CNAO		Carbon	$1.672 \rightarrow 5/3$		-20/8.5	0.8*
•••••	REKO	Proton	1.012 / 0/0	-1.0	-25/8.5	0.4*
	NI NO	Carbon			-10/8.5	0.25*
		Carbon	1.68 ightarrow 5/3	-0.7 ± 0.05		≈ 2
HIT		Helium	1.685 ightarrow 5/3		0	N/A
		Proton	$1.688 \rightarrow 5/3$			≈ 2
МА			$1.676 \rightarrow 5/3$	-4.0	-20/8.5	1.15**
МІТ		Proton	1.715 ightarrow 5/3	N/A	0	1
		Carbon	1.698 ightarrow 5/3			1.2

* root mean square

** uniform momentum distribution, $\sigma = \sqrt{dpp_{total}^2/12}$



		$\begin{array}{l} \text{Horizontal tune} \\ \rightarrow \text{Resonance} \end{array}$	Horizontal chromaticity	Mom. offset [‰]	Mom. spread [‰]
CERN	PS SPS	$\begin{array}{c} 6.323 \rightarrow 19/3 \\ 26.62 \rightarrow 80/3 \end{array}$	-1.67 -33.5	3 1.5	1.7* 0.87*
GSI		$4.29 \rightarrow 13/3$	-4	0	0.5

* uniform momentum distribution, $\sigma = \sqrt{\textit{dpp}_{\textit{total}}^2/12}$



Duty factor

		Time resolution $[\mu s]$	Duty Factor
CERN	PS	1,000	0.9
	SPS	500	0.986
CNAO		100 - 10,000	0.4 - 0.8
GSI		10	0.5
ніт		50	0.95 - 0.97
МА		100	0.83
міт		50	0.93

Attention:

No standardized definition of duty factor! Direct comparisons are limited!



Proposal of standardized parameter evaluation





Standardized Duty Factor (Proton)



CERN PS	CNAO RFKO	CNAO Betatron	GSI coasting	GSI bunched	НІТ	MedAustron	МІТ
0.9	0.4-0.8	0.4-0.8	0.5	0.5	0.95-0.97	0.83	0.93
0.948	0.713	0.774	0.951	0.983	0.947	0.895	0.918



Standardized Max Mean Ratio (Proton)



CERN	CNAO	CNAO	GSI	GSI	HIT	MedAustron	ΜΙΤ
PS	RFKO	Betatron	coasting	bunched			
1.421	3.468	2.727	1.612	1.349	1.716	1.984	1.888



Ripple control schemes

		HF empty bucket sweeping	RF channelling	Longitudinal RF noise	(Air core) quad
	PS	×	1	×	×
CERN	SPS	×	×	×	×
CNIAO	Betatron	1	1	×	×
CNAU	RFKO	×	×	×	 Image: A second s
GSI		×	✓- Tune wobbling	×	×
ніт		×	×	×	×
MA	Proton	×	✓*	×	×
	Carbon	×	(✓)	×	×
МІТ		×	×	×	(✔)

 $(\checkmark) = experimental/in testing$



Ripple control schemes - RF channelling (CERN)



CERN Sampling time = 1000.0us, Evaluation time = 10ms

Ripple control schemes - RF channelling (MedAustron)



MedAustron Sampling time = 100.0us, Evaluation time = 10ms

Ripple control schemes - Tune Wobbling (GSI)



MedAustron

GSI Sampling time = 11.0us, Evaluation time = 10ms

Ripple control schemes - Air Core Quad (MIT)



MedAustron

MIT Sampling time = 50.0us, Evaluation time = 10ms

- Written report containing all collected data
- Improvement of other common parameter definitions (extraction efficiency, non-delivery time, ...)
- FFT analysis of spill measurement data
- Fostering discussions between the institutions in an open dialogue

Thank you for your attention!

