Ap scattering experiment with a polarized Λ beam at the K1.1 beam line --and K1.1 physics programs--

> K. Miwa (Tohoku Univ.) on behalf of the HIHR/K1.1 task force

Joint THEIA-STRONG2020 and JAEA/Mainz REIMEI Web-Seminar 2021/2022

Outline

- Physics goal at the J-PARC Hadron Experimental Facility
- Hyperon puzzle in neutron star Strategy for understanding neutron star matter from HIHR/K1.1 experiments
- K1.1 physics programs
 Ap scattering experiment
 Hypernuclear γ-ray experiment
 Weak decay experiment of hypernuclei
- Summary



Baryon spectroscopy

Perform physics programs in parallel with twice more beam lines

From Quark to Neutron star



Based on the basic nature of main player of each hierarchy, we are going to investigate the dynamics of quantum many body system and bridge each hierarchy.

From Quark to Neutron star



Based on the basic nature of main player of each hierarchy, we are going to investigate the dynamics of quantum many body system and bridge each hierarchy.

In J-PARC, we are going to investigate "Hadron nature, interactions between quarks" and "Nature of high-density nuclear matter" strategically using 2nd generation quarks (strange, charm).



We received many support letters from many institutes all over the world. We really appreciate your support.

Matter in Extreme Conditions (Neutron star)

Baryon-baryon interaction and its density dependence play essential roles

- \checkmark to understand the composition at the inner core,
- $\checkmark\,$ to understand the mechanism to support the massive neutron star.

Hypernuclear physics based on Realistic YN interaction



Astrophysical constraints (Mass, Radius)

 $2M_{\odot}$ neutron stars

- PSR J1614-2230 (M = 1.928 ± 0.017 M_☉)
 PSR J0348+0432 (M = 2.01 ± 0.04 M_☉)
- PSR J0740+6620 ($M = 2.14^{+0.10}_{-0.09} M_{\odot}$)

GW detection from neutron star merger

Tidal deformability (A) \rightarrow constraint on compactness (M/R)



<u>NICER</u>

Hot spot information for neutron stars whose mass is wellmeasured.

 \rightarrow constraint on compactness (M/R)



Hyperon puzzle in neutron star

Strange Hadronic Matter in neutron star ? Hyperon's appearance is reasonable scenario because of the huge Fermi energy of neutrons in the inner core.



How can we reconcile ?

Hyperon appearance \rightarrow soften EOS

```
Two-solar-mass NS → require stiff EOS
```



<u>3 Baryon Force (3BF):</u>

Significant repulsive contribution at high density



We have to understand the density dependence of ΛN interaction from Λ binding energy data in hypernuclei. \rightarrow determine the strength of the ΛNN force

A binding energy measurement deep inside of nucleus : Unique for A hypernuclei 10



A binding energy measurement deep inside of nucleus : Unique for A hypernuclei¹¹

Realistic ΛN two-body interaction

High-resolution Λ hypernuclear spectroscopy at HIHR

by theoretical model based on ΛN scattering at K1.1 6000 Simulation h^ Differential cross section of Λp scattering g_{Λ} ²⁰⁸_^Pb HIHR 5000 Counts / (0.1 MeV) 0.50-0.55 (GeV/c) 0.55-0.60 (GeV/c) NSC97f $\Delta E = 0.4 \text{ MeV}$ - ESC16 117 (MeV) Simulation 140 (MeV) 4000 χEFT13 χEFT19 Julich 3000 - - · Simulation d^{\vee} 0.60-0.65 (GeV/c) 0.65-0.70 (GeV/c) 2000 163 (MeV) 188 (MeV) p_^ 1000 S -----THERE -5 <u>–</u>30 -15 -10 0 5 10 0.5 -0.5 -0.5 0 0.5 0 $-B_{\Lambda}$ (MeV) cos θ Difference Calculation w/ realistic ΛN interaction Accurate B_{Λ} measurement Effect of density dependence of ΛN interaction

Understand hypernuclei and extend to neutron star

12



K1.1 programs



K1.1/K1.1BR Beamline



Short length : 27.32 m (K1.1) Double 2m long electric separators SKS at K1.1

Kaon Yields at K1.1

Based on Decay-TURTLE simulation and Sanford-Wang Parametrization

 $5.0 \times 10^{13} \, \text{pp}\,$ (~46kW w/ 5.2s cycle) on 50% loss target

hx=4.3mm, hy=1.7mm (σx=2.5mm, σy=1.0mm + No Target Thickness)

Not including "cloud- π "

KEK

	Slit opening	Acceptance [msr %]	E _{sep} = 70 kV/cm	E _{sep} = 60 kV/cm	
	IFV: ±1.5mm, MS1/MS2: ±1.0mm	1.19	219k (98%)	220k (98%)	
	IFV: \pm 2.0mm, MS1/MS2: \pm 1.0mm	1.28	234k (98%)	234k (95%)	
	IFV: \pm 3.0mm, MS1/MS2: \pm 1.0mm	1.31	241k (98%)	242k (95%)	
	IFV: ±1.5mm, MS1/MS2: ±1.5mm	1.70	312k (71%)	312k (16%)	
	IFV: ± 2.0mm, MS1/MS2: ±1.5mm	1.94	357k (41%)	357k (10%)	
	IFV: \pm 3.0mm, MS1/MS2: \pm 1.5mm	2.14	393k (32%)	394k (9.2%)	
	IFV: \pm 1.5mm, MS1/MS2: \pm 2.0mm	1.95	358k (14%)	358k (4.0%)	
	IFV: \pm 2.0mm, MS1/MS2: \pm 2.0mm	2.38	437k (6.2%)	437k (2.8%)	
	IFV: ± 3.0mm, MS1/MS2: ± 2.0mm	2.79	511k (4.9%)	515k (1.5%)	
50 :	o ∉ Q € KEK 2021				

High intensity pion beams can also be available

Experimental progress on two-body YN interactions



Chiral EFT

Underlying chiral symmetry in QCD

Power counting feature to improve calculation systematically by going to higher order

Multi baryon force appears naturally and automatically in a consistent implementation of the framework



Source of attractive ΛN interaction $\Lambda N\text{-}\Sigma N$ coupling



AN-ΣN coupling can be suppressed in nuclear medium due to the Pauli blocking at the intermediate N state Pauli blocking

w/ ΛN interaction with large ΛN - ΣN coupling + ΛNN three-repulsive force (LECs for ΛNN are adjusted)



ΛN interaction and its uncertainty

Limited data

- Ap scattering data
- Λ binding energy of ${}^{3}_{\Lambda}$ H

 3S_1 and 1S_0 interactions ?

Scattering length of ¹S₀ and ³S₁
 Not sensitive to Λp total cross section
 Sensitive to ³_ΛH binding energy
 → Precise information of ³_ΛH is essential

(emulsion, (e, e'K⁺) experiment)

P-wave interaction ? No Ap scattering data with differential information Difficult to fix YN contact terms in P waves

Total cross section might be similar for each model But, Large uncertainty in the P-wave interaction

 \rightarrow Differential observables in Λp scattering





Such a difference clearly appears in differential observables ! We should measure these differential cross sections and spin observables to constrain theoretical model strongly.



Number of observables is still limited to determine each component separately. But measurements of many observables contribute to impose constraints on YN theoretical models.



We are going to measure following observables.

Differential cross section

Analyzing power (Polarization)

Depolarization

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{Tr}(MM^{\dagger}) = |U_{\alpha}|^{2} + \frac{3}{16} |U_{\beta}|^{2} + \frac{1}{2} (|S_{SLS}|^{2} + |S_{ALS}|^{2}) + \frac{1}{4} |T_{1}|^{2} + \frac{1}{2} (|T_{2}|^{2} + |T_{3}|^{2}).$$

$$A_{y}(Y) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\sigma(\theta)} \operatorname{Im} \left\{ (U_{\alpha} + \frac{1}{4}U_{\beta})^{*}S_{SLS} + (U_{\alpha} - \frac{1}{4}U_{\beta})^{*}S_{ALS} - \frac{1}{2}T_{\alpha}^{*}(-S_{ALS} + S_{SLS}) \right\},$$

$$D_{y}^{y} = \frac{1}{\sigma(\theta)} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \left(U_{0} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}U_{1} \right)^{*} U_{1} + \frac{1}{2} \left(U_{0} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}U_{1} \right)^{*} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}T_{1} + T_{3} \right) - S_{1}^{*}S_{2} + \frac{1}{2} |S_{3}|^{2} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}T_{1}^{*} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}T_{1} - T_{3} \right) - \frac{1}{2} |T_{2}|^{2} \right\}.$$

Number of observables is still limited to determine each component separately. But measurements of many observables contribute to impose constraints on YN theoretical models.

Ap scattering experiment at K1.1 beam line

 Λ beam identification



Polarized Λ beam

<u>High spin polarization of Λ for Λ production plane</u>

R.D. Baker et al., Nucl. Phys. B141 (1978) 29



$d\sigma/d\Omega$ and Spin observables in Ap scattering

Simulated results w/ 100M Λ



No differential observables of Λp scattering in present.

--> Large uncertainty in P-wave and higher-wave interaction.

Theoretical prediction shows quite different angular dependence in $d\sigma/d\Omega$, A_y and D_y^y

These new scattering data becomes essential constraint to determine spin-dependent ΛN interaction

Collaboration with Chiral EFT toward NNLO

Two body YN force

Num of LEC in decuplet saturation model

Three body YNN force



As a next step at K1.1, Ad scattering experiment can be performed using a liquid deuterium target with almost the same setup

Hypernucler γ -ray spectroscopy at K1.1

Used for gamma-ray

peak identification

J-PARC K1.1 beam line

0.8-1.1 GeV/c (K⁻, π ⁻) reaction

- Large production cross-section
- Small Doppler broadening (also good for hyperfragments)

1.05 GeV/c (π^{-} , K⁰) reaction (to be established)

• Study of neutron-rich hypernuclei

reaction- γ coincidence experiment

- Tag hypernuclear production
 - Beam line spectrometer
 - SksMinus spectrometer
- Detect γ-ray
 - Hyperball-J
- Tag weak decay π⁻
 - Range counter

SKS magnet π Exp. target **Ge detector array** Range counter **Hyperball-J** (for weak pion) K-**Beam line** J-PARC K1.1 spectrometer beamline 1 month beamtime $\rightarrow \sim 400 \gamma$ counts (~40 π - γ coincidence)

SKS spectrometer

Experimental setup

Slide from T.O. Yamamoto 27

Slide from T.O. Yamamoto 28

Search for ${}^3_{\Lambda}H$ spin-doublet by γ -ray measurement



Slide from T.O. Yamamoto 29



Slide from T.O. Yamamoto³⁰ γ-ray spectroscopy of neutron-rich hypernuclei



How strongly CSB effect appears in A>4 hypernuclei?

How large ΛNN force in neutron rich Λ hypernuclei?

Precise energy-spacing determination by γ -ray spectroscopy will play critical role

Precise data for proton-rich side produced by " $n \rightarrow \Lambda$ " reaction

Need to approach mirror pair (neutron rich) hypernuclei by introducing " $p \rightarrow \Lambda$ " reaction

Level structure of mirror hypernuclei → Study for CSB effect

Neutron-rich hypernuclei can be accessed \rightarrow Help for study of Λ NN force with $\Lambda\Sigma$ mixing effect

Slide from T.O. Yamamoto 31

Reaction and γ -ray spectroscopy of medium-heavy hypernuclei

High-resolution reaction spectroscopy at HIHR

[w/ B.E. accuracy better than 0.1 MeV]

Wide-mass Λ hypernuclei for study of density dependence of ΛN interaction

Gamma-ray data for the same hypernuclei

 $^{28}{}_{\Lambda}\mathrm{Si}$, $^{40}{}_{\Lambda}\mathrm{Ca}$, $^{51}{}_{\Lambda}\mathrm{V}$, $^{89}{}_{\Lambda}\mathrm{Y}$, $^{139}{}_{\Lambda}\mathrm{La}$, $^{208}{}_{\Lambda}\mathrm{Pb}$

- Spin splitting measurement (for both s_Λ- and p_Λ-states)
 → Reaction data to spin-averaged B.E. data Support reaction spectroscopy data
- E1 γ transition energy

 \rightarrow "Another barometer" for density dependence



Study of density dependence from p_{Λ} - s_{Λ} energy spacing measurement

A dependence of BE [rection spectroscopy]



Weak decays of Λ hypernuclei

- Three-body non-mesonic weak decay, $\Lambda NN \rightarrow NNN$ (E18)
- Mesonic and non-mesonic weak decays via ($\pi^{\scriptscriptstyle -},\,K^0)$ and ($\pi^{\scriptscriptstyle +},\,K^{\scriptscriptstyle +})$ reactions

Test of $\Delta I{=}1/2$ rule in NMWD for ${}^4_\Lambda H$ and ${}^4_\Lambda He$

p-induced NMWD rate (Γ_p) in ${}^4_{\Lambda}H$ n-induced NMWD rate (Γ_n) in ${}^4_{\Lambda}He$

Weak decay studies of various hypernuclei $({}^{3}_{\Lambda}H, {}^{4}_{\Lambda}H$ and neutron-rich p-shell hypernuclei)

- Beta decay of Λ hypernuclei

Weak decay of Λ in a nucleus is a unique probe to investigate possible modification of baryon structure in nuclear medium

Λ's beta decay (Λ → $pe^-\bar{\nu}$) branching ratio of ⁵_ΛHe



Summary

We are going to attack hyperon puzzle by gathering experimental programs at J-PARC Density dependent ΛN interaction is key issue and it should be represented from ΛNN three-body force.

